#### VE280 Programming and Elementary Data Structures

Paul Weng
UM-SJTU Joint Institute

#### **Deep Copy**





#### Learning Objectives

- Understand the difference between a shallow copy and a deep copy
- Know how to implement deep copy by redefining the copy constructor and the assignment operator

#### Outline

- Shallow Copy versus Deep Copy
- Copy Constructor
- Assignment Operator


#### **Group Exercise**

- **Question**: What happens in the following code?
- <u>Hint</u>: Classes are passed by-value, just like structs. They are also bitwise-copied, just like structs!

```
void foo(IntSet x) {
   // do something
}
int main() {
   IntSet s;
   s.insert(5);
   foo(s);
   s.query(5);
}
```

The problem of dangling pointers

- The result of pass-by-value mechanism: only pointer value of elts is copied, not the array elts[].
  - The two objects end up sharing the same elts[] array!
- When foo finishes, x goes out of scope and is **destroyed**. As a result, s.elts **dangles**.
- When main finishes, the destructor of S is called. This causes double-deletion of S.elts.



The problem of dangling pointers


• It turns out that exactly the same thing happens in the following:

```
void foo() {
   IntSet s(5);
   s.insert(7);
   {
      IntSet x;
      x = s;
   }
   s.query(7); // Undefined!
}
```

• The assignment statement copies the elements of S to the elements of X, but they end up **sharing** the elts array. When X goes out of scope and is **destroyed**, S.elts **dangles**.

Fixing dangling pointers

• What we really want is to copy the entire **array**.



#### Fixing dangling pointers

- When a class contains pointers to **dynamic** elements, copying it is tricky.
- If we just copy the "members of the class", we get a shallow copy.
- Usually, we want a **full** copy of **everything**. This is called a **deep copy**.

#### Outline


- Shallow Copy versus Deep Copy
- Copy Constructor
- Assignment Operator

## Fixing Dangling Pointers

- The C++ class mechanism provides two very closely related mechanisms that copy class objects:
  - Copy constructor and assignment operator.


## Fixing Dangling Pointers

- **Copy constructor** it <u>creates</u> an object of this class by copying from another object of this class.
  - In other words, given a "blank" block of memory, and an "example" instance, make the "blank" block a copy of the example.
- The copy constructor plays a role identical to any other constructor.



# Fixing Dangling Pointers

- **Assignment operator** it copies the contents from one object (source) to another **existing** object (target).
  - Both objects already exist.



• We could declare a copy constructor for our IntSet class as follows:

#### IntSet(const IntSet &is);

- When passing arguments by value to a function, copy constructor is called.
  - The copy constructor is invoked on a "blank" instance of an IntSet, and must make the "blank" version look like an exact copy of the argument.

```
foo(s); //s is an IntSet
```

```
void foo(IntSet x) {
// copy constructor copies s to x
// do something
}
```

```
IntSet(const IntSet &is);
```

- The argument must be **passed by reference** to avoid infinite recursion.
- The argument is **const** for two reasons:
  - 1. Avoid accidentally changing the argument.
  - 2. Ensure that <u>any</u> instance (e.g., const object) of the class can serve as the <u>source</u>, not just a <u>lvalues</u>.

- The copy constructor has to accomplish the following tasks:
  - 1. Allocate an array of the same size as the source set's
  - 2. Copy each element from the source array to the new array
  - 3. Copy the numElts/sizeElts fields
- The copying part is going to have to happen in both the copy constructor and the assignment operator.
- So, we will abstract away the copying into a utility function.

• This adds a private method to our ADT:

```
class IntSet {
  int *elts; // array of elements
  int numElts; // number of elements in array
  int sizeElts; // capacity of array
 void copyFrom(const IntSet &is);
    // MODIFIES: this
    // EFFECTS: copies is' contents to this
public:
  IntSet(int size=MAXELTS); // client optionally
                            // names size
  IntSet(const IntSet &is); // copy constructor
};
```

- Before implementing copyFrom, think about what has to happen in copyFrom, in general, not just in the context of the copy constructor.
- We need to figure this out because CopyFrom will be called from the assignment operator.

- copyFrom is a method and it must maintain the representation invariants. Here's what it must do:
  - 1. CopyFrom has to assume that the source and destination sets might have different sizes. If so, it will have to resize the array appropriately, by **destroying** and **reallocating** it.
  - 2. Copy the source array to the destination array.
  - 3. Copy sizeElts and numElts.

```
void IntSet::copyFrom(const IntSet &is) {
  if (is.sizeElts != sizeElts) { // Resize array
    delete[] elts;
                              It is OK to visit is.sizeElts
    sizeElts = is.sizeElts;
                              in copyFrom, since copyFrom
    elts = new int[sizeElts
                              is a member function of IntSet
  // Copy array
  for (int i = 0; i < is.sizeElts; i++) {</pre>
    elts[i] = is.elts[i];
  // Establish numElts invariant
  numElts = is.numElts;
```

- With copyFrom, the copy constructor is simple.
- First, we have to establish its invariants, then call copyfrom.

```
IntSet::IntSet(const IntSet &is):
  elts(nullptr), sizeElts(0), numElts(0) {
  copyFrom(is);
}
```

• Contrast this copy constructor with the "default" method of copying, which does only a few things: Copies the elts/numElts/sizeElts fields

```
IntSet::IntSet(const IntSet &is):
  elts(is.elts), sizeElts(is.sizeElts),
  numElts(is.numElts) {}
```

- The copy constructor we've written **chases** pointers and **copies** the things they point to, rather than just copying the pointers.
- This is called a **deep copy**, as opposed to the default behavior of a **shallow copy**.



#### Select all the correct answers

Let SetOfIntSet be a newly-defined class that is a set of IntSet. The set in SetOfIntSet is also defined as a dynamic array. We were careful enough to define a copy constructor in SetOfIntSet (using a code similar to copyFrom) to be able to deep copy its instances.

- **A.** The copy constructor performs a deep copy.
- **B.** The copy constructor performs a shallow copy.
- C. On some instances of SetOfIntSet, the copy constructor performs a deep copy.
- **D.** On some instances of SetOfIntSet, the copy constructor performs a shallow copy.

#### Outline

- Shallow Copy versus Deep Copy
- Copy Constructor
- Assignment Operator

#### Basics

- Assignment statement returns a value.
- The value is the **reference** to its left-hand-side object.
- Example

```
x = 4;
(y = x) += 2;
```

- Are the above statements legal?
- What is the value of y?

#### Basics

• Assignment statements can be "chained". The following is legal in C++:

$$x = y = z;$$

- This is a compound expression. Assignment operators binds right-to-left.
- Because "=" binds right-to-left, we first assign z to y, and this expression yields the (new) value "y" so that it can in turn be assigned to x.

On to overloading

• Now, how do we handle the following code?

```
IntSet s1(5);
IntSet s2(10);
s1 = s2; // assignment of s2 to s1
```

- By default, the compiler will use a shallow copy for this.
- However, like a copy constructor, assignment must do a deep
   copy of the right-hand-side to the left-hand-side.
- To implement this, we **redefine** the "assignment operator" for IntSet by doing **operator overloading**.

Operator overloading

Here's how we overload the assignment operator:

```
class IntSet {
  // data elements
  public:
  // Constructors
  IntSet &operator= (const IntSet &is);
             You can overload other operators such as
             +, *, etc. You need to use the keyword
             operator
```

Operator overloading

```
IntSet &operator= (const IntSet &is);
```

- Like the copy constructor, the assignment operator takes a reference to a const instance to copy from.
- However, it also **returns** a **reference** to the copied-to object.
- When we call the assignment operator

$$a = b;$$

- Essentially, we call the assignment operator of object a.
- b is the argument to the operator=() function.
  - Consider this as a . operator= (b)

Operator overloading

• The cool thing is that we have written copyFrom already:

```
void IntSet::copyFrom(const IntSet &is) {
  if (is.sizeElts != sizeElts) { // Resize array
   delete[] elts;
    sizeElts = is.sizeElts;
    elts = new int[sizeElts];
  // Copy array
  for (int i = 0; i < is.sizeElts; i++) {
    elts[i] = is.elts[i];
  // Establish numElts invariant
  numElts = is.numElts;
```

Operator overloading

• With copyFrom, the assignment operator is (almost) trivial:

```
IntSet &IntSet::operator= (const IntSet &is) {
  copyFrom(is);
  return *this;
}
```

Note: Every method has an implicit local variable "this", which is a pointer to the current instance on which that method operates.

Operator overloading

• With copyFrom, the assignment operator is (almost) trivial:

```
IntSet &IntSet::operator= (const IntSet &is) {
  copyFrom(is);
  return *this;
```

Note: This line dereferences that pointer and then returns a reference to it. We can't just return "this", because "this" is just a pointer, cannot be used as a reference.

Operator overloading

• With copyFrom, the assignment operator is (almost) trivial:

```
IntSet &IntSet::operator= (const IntSet &is) {
   copyFrom(is);
   return *this;
}

Note: We must return the
   reference to the assigned-to
   object, not the assigned-from
   object, i.e., we cannot
   return is.
```

Question

• **Question**: What happens if we do this?

```
IntSet s(50);
s = s;
```

- It is fine! Since their SizeElts are equal, no destroying and reallocating are needed.
- However, it is better to modify the code as follows:

```
IntSet &IntSet::operator= (const IntSet &is){
    if(this != &is)
        copyFrom(is);
    return *this;
}
```



# Which of the following statements are true about operator overloading?

Select all the correct answers.

- **A.** The code can become more legible.
- **B.** The code can become illegible.
- **C.** It is possible to perform operations that wouldn't be possible otherwise.
- **D.** We can always avoid operator overloading.



# The Rule of the Big Three

- What we have talked so far can be summarized with a simple rule: the Rule of the Big Three.
- Specifically, if you have any **dynamically allocated storage** in a class, you must provide:
  - A destructor
  - A copy constructor
  - An assignment operator
- If you find yourself writing one of these, you almost certainly need all of them.

#### Reference

- **Problem Solving with C++ (8<sup>th</sup> Edition)**, by *Walter Savitch*, Addison Wesley Publishing (2011)
  - Chapter 11.4 Classes and Dynamic Arrays