1. Can you confirm if you will be sending the header going forward? In the last test file, there was no header.
2. Invoice numbers 81470 and 83309.
3. The total amount due should be the sum of the extended price from the same invoice. For example, invoice number 82433 has two lines, and the total amount due field for both lines should be the same (1031.05 + 74.73) = 1105.87.
4. The unit of measurement field needs to be capitalized. For example, "ca" should be changed to "CA".
5. The UOM for Shipping (Freight) is still missing.

LUSAMERICA

* There should be 56 occurrences of the delimiter "|" (pipe) per record. However, I see that there are 57 per line. Please remove one pipe at the end of each line.
* If the field has no value, please leave it blank. Currently, you are sending 0 for null value fields.
* I see that the Manufacturer ID number, name, and MPN are also with 0 value. Can you double-check that too?
* The previous test file we received had no header. Can you confirm whether you are sending the header or not going forward?
* For the invoice/credit indicator, "2" refers to credit, and "1" is for debit.

"I have found that there is a field misalignment issue in the data. Starting from the 'Order cases' column, it appears that the data has shifted right by one column, as the 'BB' column contains data that should be in the 'SOLD DOLLARS' column.

Furthermore, starting from the 'CUSTOMER PO Number' column, the data seems to have shifted left by one column. As a result, the 'NAT ID' data should be in the 'NAT ID' column, and the 'LB' data should be in the 'Sold weight UOM' column."

Hi Taitana

Sorry that I totally missed this email.

Feedback below for Fresh and Ready test file, for BATCH only, thanks

* Please provide a list of DC IDs that you are going to send.
* All invoices are balanced in the test file.

ERRORS:  Please see attached file (fileLayout Sheet)

* The element delimiter should be "|" (pipe). There should be **56 occurrences**(57 Fields total) of the delimiter per record.  Currently I see that it is a comma-separated values file
* Please use the Compass Standard UOM: **CA, DZ, EA, LB, KG.** I see "EACH" in the test file.
* Please review Pack Size/Pack Pack Size/Size, it looks a bit off.
* Customer Address 1 is the customer name.
* Customer Address 2 is customer add 1.
* The Invoice/Credit Indicator field for every line in this file is set to "1" (which represents an Invoice). Credits should be labeled with a "0" in this field
* filename pattern:     Could you let me know the file name that you would be using going forward?

Hi Tatiana,

I apologize for not responding to this email earlier. Please find below the feedback for the Fresh and Ready test file, specifically for the BATCH:

• Please provide a list of DC IDs that you intend to send.

• All invoices are balanced in the test file.

ERRORS: Please refer to the attached file (fileLayout Sheet) for details.

• The element delimiter should be "|" (pipe), with a total of 56 occurrences (57 Fields in total) per record. Currently, I notice that it is a comma-separated values file.

• Please ensure the usage of the Compass Standard UOM: CA, DZ, EA, LB, KG. I observed "EACH" in the test file.

• Kindly review the Pack Size/Pack Pack Size/Size, as it appears to be inconsistent.

• Customer Address 1 should represent the customer name.

• Customer Address 2 should correspond to customer address 1.

• The Invoice/Credit Indicator field for each line in this file is set to "1" (representing an Invoice). Credits should be labeled with "0" in this field.

• Regarding the filename pattern, could you please provide the file name that you intend to use moving forward?

@Gaurav Gupta Hi Gaurav, Can you check this PFG\_ALL\_UFSO\_PI spend to UFSO\_ALL\_COMPASS batch script?

I tried to run the process for these 4 invoice files to get a compass batch file to process, however I am getting a empty file in sqeftp UFSO\_ALL\_COMPASS/incoming folder. I do see there was a message saying “4 Records are processed successfully in table ISDS\_ORG.T\_PFG\_MFR\_DETAILS”.

I grabbed couple invoices from production to test, but it seemed that its working.

Please see attached sample file.

PFG170\_INV\_UFSO\_20230testgood.txt : the file that was able to generate batch file

PFG170\_INV\_UFSO\_20230609missing\_invoice.TXT : file generated empty batch file

Could you please check the PFG\_ALL\_UFSO\_PI spend to UFSO\_ALL\_COMPASS batch script? I tried running the process for these four invoice files to generate a compass batch file for processing. However, I'm getting an empty file in the sqeftp UFSO\_ALL\_COMPASS/incoming folder. I did receive a message saying, '4 Records are processed successfully in table ISDS\_ORG.T\_PFG\_MFR\_DETAILS'.

I tested it with a few invoices from production, and it seemed to be working. However, I am not able to get the batch file for the 4 missing invoices. Please find attached a sample file:

* PFG170\_INV\_UFSO\_20230testgood.txt: This file was able to generate the batch file successfully.
* PFG170\_INV\_UFSO\_20230609missing\_invoice.TXT: This file generated an empty batch file.

Could you please take a look?

Hi Sherry,

That is a batch file, and I can confirm there are duplicate invoices with the same dollar amount.

What I am trying to understand is that are we looking at the Reinhart/UFSO spend  or the Compass Batch side?

Lets take the example  account 24796 in Burlington from Jennifer

For Reinhart/UFSO spend, the data looks good, however not every file send to spend were sent to compass batch feed.

for ex:

"Hello Sherry,

I have identified duplicate invoices with the same dollar amount in the batch file.

I am seeking clarification on whether we should focus on the Reinhart/UFSO spend or the Compass Batch side.

To illustrate, let's consider account 24796 in Burlington, managed by Jennifer.

The Reinhart/UFSO spend data appears to be accurate, but not all files sent to spend were included in the compass batch feed.

For instance, ..."

please see the attached spreadsheet

for 46398:NFI VERMONT, INC  and   84198:WHITEHALL HIGH SCHOOL    we received files in both spend and batch feed, the amount looks good.

According to the spreadsheet that the Last Transacted Date from foodbuy is same as the Last Transacted Date for reinhart/compass feed(ITRADE)

My question is that if Reinhart is supposed to send two identical files, one to reinhart/ufso spend feed and one to compass batch feed?

Please see the attached spreadsheet.

For 46398:NFI VERMONT, INC and 84198:WHITEHALL HIGH SCHOOL, we received files in both spend and batch feed, and the amounts look good.

According to the spreadsheet, the Last Transacted Date from Foodbuy is the same as the Last Transacted Date for the Reinhart/Compass feed (ITRADE).

My question is, is Reinhart supposed to send two identical files, one to the Reinhart/UFSO spend feed and one to the Compass batch feed?"

"@jose: I apologize for any confusion. It appears that the absence of a CRLF (Carriage Return Line Feed) at the end of the file remains a significant issue. I kindly request that you make another attempt to ensure the inclusion of a final line feed in this flat file.

@sherry: While I can manually add a line feed and forward the User Acceptance Testing (UAT) to you, I would like to explore the feasibility of obtaining a final line feed from the vendor. We are encountering difficulties in adapting the schema to address this particular issue. Despite engaging in a few productive sessions with Connor, we have not yet found a resolution."

Top of Form

Re

Bottom of Form

@jose Sorry for the confusion, in fact the missing CRLF at the end of the file still a huge issue here. I would like to ask if you can try again to have final line feed at the end of this flat file. @sherry, I can manually add a line feed and send UAT to you, but I want to see if having final line feed from vendor is feasible. we are having trouble getting the schema to handle this issue. I have couple working session with connor already, however no luc

I do see that there are other Pepsi bottler using the similar format for Item Description/Pack(PID: itemdescription,  PO4 for pack packsize, packsizesize packsize UOM)

For example:  PEPSIADMIRAL, currently  they are also sending packsizesize, packsize UOM in item description. We won't able to parse the correct  packsizesize, packsize UOM, but they are in the itemdescitption. Is this okay?  I would like to get your feedback before I respond to the jennfier