

Probability HW4

109350008 張詠哲

Problem1

Problem 1.

a).

For any $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$P(|X_n - c| \geq \varepsilon) \geq 0 = P(|X_n - c|^2 \geq \varepsilon^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(|X_n - c|^2 \geq \varepsilon^2) \leq \frac{E[|X_n - c|^2]}{\varepsilon^2} \quad (\text{Markov's inequality})$$

if $n \rightarrow \infty$, we will get.

$$0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - c| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E[|X_n - c|^2]}{\varepsilon^2} = 0.$$

$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - c| \geq \varepsilon) = 0$, which means that

$$X_n \xrightarrow{P} c.$$

b). Let $X_n = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{with probability } (1 - \frac{1}{n}) \\ \sqrt{n}, & \text{with probability } (\frac{1}{n}). \end{cases}$

We have $P(|X_n - 0| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{n}$, for any $n \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}$, $\varepsilon > 0$.

which implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - 0| \geq \varepsilon) = 0$, ($X_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$)

But, $E[(X_n - 0)^2] = \frac{1}{n} (\sqrt{n})^2 + (1 - \frac{1}{n}) \cdot 0^2 = 1 \neq 0$, for all n .

So, X_n doesn't converge to 0 in the mean square.

Problem 2

Problem 2.

We know $X_n \xrightarrow{P} a$ and $Y_n \xrightarrow{P} b$, we need to prove that

$$X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{P} ab. \quad \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n Y_n - ab| \geq \varepsilon) = 0 \right)$$

by Triangle inequality, we can know:

$$|X_n Y_n - ab| = |X_n Y_n - a Y_n + a Y_n - ab| \leq |Y_n| |X_n - a| + |a| |Y_n - b|$$

$$\Rightarrow P(|X_n Y_n - ab| \geq \varepsilon) \leq P(|Y_n| |X_n - a| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) + P(|a| |Y_n - b| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$$

$$\Rightarrow P(|Y_n| |X_n - a| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \leq P(|Y_n| \geq M) + P(|X_n - a| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2M})$$

for $M > 0$.

\therefore We know $Y_n \xrightarrow{P} b$ and $X_n \xrightarrow{P} a$.

\therefore for any $\delta > 0$, $\forall M > 0$ s.t. $P(|Y_n| \geq M) < \delta$.

also $\forall N > 0$ s.t. $P(|X_n - a| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2M}) < \delta$, for $n > N$

We can know $P(|Y_n| |X_n - a| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\textcircled{2} P(|a| |Y_n - b| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}) = P(|Y_n - b| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2|a|})$$

$$\therefore Y_n \xrightarrow{P} b,$$

\therefore For any $\delta > 0$, $\forall N > 0$ s.t. $P(|Y_n - b| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2|a|})$, for $n > N$.

We can know $P(|a| |Y_n - b| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \rightarrow 0$.

Therefore, from ①+②. we can know

$P(|Y_n| |X_n - a| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2})$ and $P(|a| |Y_n - b| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2})$ converge to 0.

Then, $P(|X_n Y_n - ab| \geq \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus, $X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{P} ab$.

Problem3

Problem3.

(a) We need to prove $E[C_{n+1} | C_n] = C_n$

We can know $C_{n+1} = X_{n+1} C_n$

$$\Rightarrow E[C_{n+1} | C_n] = E[C_n X_{n+1} | C_n]$$

$\because C_n$ is known (it's a constant with respect to the conditional expectation)

\therefore We can rewrite it as

$$E[C_n X_{n+1} | C_n] = C_n E[X_{n+1} | C_n]$$

Because $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are i.i.d, so X_{n+1} and C_n

are also independent: $E[X_{n+1} | C_n] = E[X_{n+1}] = 1$.

Therefore, we can get

$$E[C_{n+1} | C_n] = C_n E[X_{n+1} | C_n] = C_n \cdot 1 = C_n$$

(b) We need to prove $C_n \rightarrow 0$ almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\ln(C_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \ln(X_k).$$

By SLLN, we can know the average of i.i.d r.v. converges almost surely to their expected value.

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \ln(X_k) \rightarrow E[\ln(X_n)] \text{ almost surely as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

From the problem, we can know $E[\ln(X_n)] < 0$.

$$\frac{\ln(C_n)}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \ln(X_k) \rightarrow E[\ln X_n] < 0.$$

$$\therefore \ln(C_n) \rightarrow -\infty \text{ almost surely as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Therefore, we can get:

$$C_n = e^{\ln C_n} \rightarrow e^{-\infty} = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow C_n \rightarrow 0$$

Problem 4

Problem 4.

1). $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$, where X_i are independent Bernoulli r.v with parameter p . So:

Mean =

$$E[S_n] = E[X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n] = np.$$

Variance:

$$\text{Var}(S_n) = \text{Var}(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n) = np(1-p)$$

for large n ,

$$\frac{S_n - np}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1).$$

$$\therefore S_n \sim N(np, np(1-p))$$

b).

$$Q_n(x) = P(S_n = x) = \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} = \binom{n}{x} \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^x \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-x}$$

$$\therefore Q_n(x) = \binom{n}{x} \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^x \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-x}$$

For large n :

$$\frac{n!}{x!(n-x)!} \approx \frac{n^x}{x!}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow Q_n(x) &\approx \frac{n^x}{x!} \cdot \frac{\lambda^x}{n^x} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^n \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{-x} \\ &= \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} \cdot e^{-\lambda} \cdot 1, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n(x) = \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} e^{-\lambda}$$

① Why (b) doesn't contradict to CLT:

CLT applies to "scaled sums" of r.v. In contrast, part (b) is talk about "exact distribution" of S_n (not standardized) when $p = \frac{\lambda}{n}$.

Also the p in part (b) will change with n , and making the variance of S_n shrink as n grows:

$$\text{Var}(S_n) = np(1-p) \approx \lambda(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}) \rightarrow \lambda \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This leads to a poisson limit, not normal limit.

Thus, (b) and CLT describe different scenarios:

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{CLT assumpt } p \text{ is fixed as } n \rightarrow \infty \\ \text{(b) assumpt } p = \frac{\lambda}{n}, \text{ leading to different limiting distribution} \end{array} \right.$
 \therefore part (b) doesn't contradict to CLT.

② When $p = \frac{\lambda}{n}$, the variance of S_n become small, making the normal approximation from CLT less accurate (the limiting distribution is poisson, not normal). In such case, using CLT approximate $N(np, np(1-p))$ can lead to the error because the variance is too small for the normal distribution to be a good fit.

Problem 5

Problem 5.

a). From the question, we have m students who take the RL course and distributed randomly among n roommate pairs. Also this pair can only contribute to X .

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore P(X|M=m) &= \frac{\binom{m}{2}}{\binom{2n}{2}} \\ &= \frac{m(m-1)}{2n(2n-1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow E[X|M=m] = \cancel{n} \cdot \frac{m(m-1)}{\cancel{2n}(2n-1)} = \frac{m(m-1)}{2(2n-1)}$$

b) By IIE:

$$E[X] = E[E[X|M=m]] = \sum_{m=0}^{2n} \frac{m(m-1)}{2(2n-1)} \cdot P(M=m)$$

$$\therefore M \sim \text{Binomial}(2n, p)$$

$$\therefore P(M=m) = \binom{2n}{m} p^m (1-p)^{2n-m}$$

$$\Rightarrow E[X] = \sum_{m=0}^{2n} \frac{m(m-1)}{2(2n-1)} \cdot \binom{2n}{m} p^m (1-p)^{2n-m}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2(2n-1)} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{2n} (m^2 - m) \binom{2n}{m} p^m (1-p)^{2n-m} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow = \frac{1}{2(2n-1)} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{2n} m^2 \binom{2n}{m} p^m (1-p)^{2n-m} - \sum_{m=0}^{2n} m \binom{2n}{m} p^m (1-p)^{2n-m} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2(2n-1)} (E[M^2] - E[M])$$

$$= \frac{1}{2(2n-1)} (\text{Var}(M) + (E[M])^2 - E[M])$$

$$= \frac{1}{2(2n-1)} (2np(1-p) + (2np)^2 - 2np)$$

$$= \frac{(2np(1-p) + 4n^2p^2 - 2np)}{2(2n-1)} = \frac{2n^2p^2 - np^2}{(2n-1)}$$