

EECS 336 Fall 2015
Homework Problem 1.4

This algorithm finds number of distinct TUBor tours from s to t .

Algorithm 1 Find total number of distinct TUBor paths

```
1: procedure FIND-TUBOR( $s, t$ )
2:   Initialize list  $L[0]$  to consist of a dictionary  $\{s : 1\}$ 
3:   Initialize layer counter  $i \leftarrow 0$ 
4:    $TUBorDone \leftarrow false$ 
5:   while not  $TUBorDone$  do
6:     Insert  $L[i + 1] = \{\}$ , an empty dictionary
7:     for each node  $u$  in  $L[i]$  do
8:       for each directed edge  $e = (u, v)$  do
9:         if  $v = t$  then
10:            $TUBorDone \leftarrow true$ 
11:         end if
12:         if  $L[i + 1][v]$  does not exist then
13:           Insert  $L[i + 1][v] = L[i][u]$ 
14:         else
15:           Update  $L[i + 1][v] = L[i + 1][v] + L[i][u]$ 
16:         end if
17:       end for
18:     end for
19:      $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
20:   end while
21:   return  $L[i][t]$ 
22: end procedure
```

Claim: The above implementation runs in $O(n + m)$ for a n -node m -edge G

Proof: Let n_u denotes degree of node u , which is number of edges incident to u . Within the inner For loop, time spent considering edges incident to u is $O(n_u)$, so total time is $O(\sum_{u \in G} n_u) = 2m$. Thus total time on edges is $O(m)$. For the outer For loop, list $L[i]$ needs $O(n)$ time to set up. Thus total time is $O(m + n)$.

Claim: The above implementation can obtain correct number of shortest paths given s and t .

Proof:

a) Alg. implementation produces shortest path.

By contradiction. Suppose there is at least one path with a shorter length n than length of paths from the alg., m , then the supposed shortest length n is at most $m - 1$. In each iteration i of the While loop, the search is propagated by one level from $L[i]$ to $L[i + 1]$. The

While loop will be terminated at iteration $i = m - 1$ to generate $L[m]$, when t is discovered for the first time. Thus, t is not in any of previous levels of $L[j]$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$). Since the shortest path length is at the level of t , then the shortest length is at least m , or $n \geq m$, which contradicts with $n < m$. Thus alg. produces shortest path.

- b) The counted number of shorted paths, $L[m][t]$, is correct. Here, m is length of shortest path.

Proof by induction on m :

- (a) Base case: $m = 1$, s is directly connected to t . Then $L[1][t] = 1$. Correct.
- (b) Induction hypothesis: value in $L[n][t]$ is correct.
- (c) Induction step: value in $L[n + 1][t]$ is also correct.
- (d) Induction case: Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k be all the vertices that are both in $L[n]$ and incident to vertex u , which is in $L[n + 1]$. According to induction hypothesis, from s to v_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, k$), there are $L[n][v_j]$ distinct paths, and from v_j to u there is only one path (v_j, u). so there should be $L[n][v_j]$ paths from s to u through v_j . As all the paths from s to u have to go through one or more v_j 's, the total number of shortest paths is $L[n][v_j]$ plus number of v_j 's that are incident to u , which is the same as $L[n + 1][u]$ implemented in the alg. at line 12-15. Since t belongs to set of u 's in $L[n + 1]$, $L[n + 1][t]$ is also correct number of distinct path for level $n + 1$.