Follow the four-step procedure in dynamic programming.

Step One: OPT(j) = "maximum number of feasible boxes that are contained by box j."

Step Two: Recurrence. For j > i

$$OPT(j) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} OPT(i) + 1 \left(h_i < h_j, w_i < w_j, l_i < l_j \right), \\ OPT(i) (otherwise) \end{array} \right\}$$

Step Three: Base case OPT(1) = 1

Step Four: Iterative DP

Algorithm 1 Iterative DP to find maximum number of boxes

- 1: **procedure** MAXBOXES(h, w, l)
- 2: Sort n boxes in increasing h, $h_i < h_{i+1}$
- 3: Initialize memo[i] = 0 for all $i \le n$
- 4: Set memo[1] = 1
- 5: **for** i = 1 up to n **do**

6:

$$memo(i+1) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} memo(i) + 1 \left(h_i < h_{i+1}, w_i < w_{i+1}, l_i < l_{i+1} \right), \\ memo(i)(otherwise) \end{array} \right\}$$

- 7: end for
- 8: end procedure

Correctness:

By induction on recurrence. Suppose the algorithm gets correct answer up to box i. According to the recurrence, number of boxes contained by i+1 will be one more than at step i (when all of h, w, l fit the criterion, the increment counts for box i+1 itself), or will be the same as at step i (when at least one of h, w, l does not fit the criterion, i+1 cannot contain i. Thus, the recurrence is correct from box i to i+1.

Runtime Analysis:

Sorting n boxes requires $O(n \log(n))$. During each of the n recurrence steps, constant time is used to compare and update memo table. Thus, total time in recurrence is O(n). Thus, total runtime is $O(n \log(n))$.