

# COMP90077 Advanced Algorithms and Data Structures

## Assignment 1

Zhen Cai  
Student ID: 1049487

### Problem 1. Amortized Analysis

Prove that the  $\text{rebuild}(u)$  can be performed in  $O(\text{size}(u))$  worst-case time.

Perform BST in-order traversal visits the tree along left subtree  $\rightarrow$  root  $\rightarrow$  right subtree, to return an ascending-ordered array  $A$  of  $T(u)$  elements, at the same time, know the number of nodes, i.e.  $\text{size}(u)$ . This takes  $O(\text{size}(u))$  time.

We take the median  $A[\text{size}(u)/2]$  as a root, recursively do this and insert child nodes at root from remaining two sides until no element's left. Each selection takes  $O(1)$ . Therefore, alongside the cost of tree traversal,  $\text{rebuild}(u)$  will be performed in  $O(\text{size}(u))$  worst-case time.

Because the split is at  $\text{size}(u)/2$ , the left subtree size will either be equal to, or 1 greater than the size of the right subtree, for all elements in destroyed  $T(u)$ . This ensures a perfect WB-BST.

Prove that the height of a WB-BST on  $n$  elements is at most  $\lfloor \log_{\beta} n \rfloor + 1$ .

When a node  $u$  has two child nodes, let's call them  $ul$  and  $ur$ .

$$h(u) = \begin{cases} \max\{h(ul), h(ur)\} + 1, & \text{if } ul, ur \text{ both exist} \\ h(ul) + 1 \text{ or } h(ur) + 1 = 2, & \text{if one of them exist} \\ 1, & \text{if both not exist} \end{cases}$$

The maximum height is obtained by maximizing  $\max\{h(ul), h(ur)\}$ , so we let one of them to have  $n/\beta$  elements, and it takes  $\lfloor \log_{\beta} n \rfloor$  recursions to be reduced to 1. Plus the root at the very beginning, the height is at most  $\lfloor \log_{\beta} n \rfloor + 1$ .

Show that after the rebuild operation on the highest node  $u$  that has violation on the weight balance, the entire tree  $T$  is restored to a WB-BST.

As I've shown in question (a): a  $\text{rebuild}(u)$  operation solves all "violation on the weight balance" problem for  $u$ 's descendants.

Since  $u$  was the highest node with such problem, the problem neither affect  $u$ 's ancestors, nor any other node our discussion left (this is obvious).

Therefore, the entire tree  $T$  is restored to a WB-BST.

Design an appropriate potential function and then show that the amortized cost for each insertion and deletion is bounded by  $O(\log_\beta n)$ .

First, it's trivial to prove the cost of BST insertion and deletion is  $O(\log_\beta n)$ .

Let root of a WB-BST be  $u_0$ , the highest node to be balanced be  $u'$ , and the inserted node be  $x$ . Define the potential function to be the sum of difference of subtrees among all nodes:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(T(u_0)) &= c \sum_{v \in T(u_0): \delta(v) > 1} \delta(v) \quad \text{where } \delta(v) \\ &= |\text{size}(v.l) - \text{size}(v.r)|, \quad c = f(\beta) \text{ as a constant.} \\ \Phi(T_0) &= 0, \quad \Phi(T_i) \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \geq 1.\end{aligned}$$

Now we consider two cases.

(i) No rebuild for insertion  $i$ :

Insertion of  $x$  only affects the potential of its ancestors whose  $\delta$  is at least 2. Why I made this threshold? Because I'd like to make the rebuilt tree have 0 potential. Thus, we have

$$\Delta \Phi = \Phi(T_i) - \Phi(T_{i-1}) = cO(\text{height}(x)) = O(\log_\beta n),$$

$$a(\sigma) = \text{cost}(\sigma) + \Delta \Phi = O(\log_\beta n) + O(\log_\beta n) = O(\log_\beta n).$$

(ii) Rebuild for insertion  $i$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \Phi &= -c \sum_{v \in T_{i-1}(u_0): \delta(v) > 1} \delta(v) \leq -c \left( \frac{1}{\beta} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \right) \text{size}(u') \\ &= c \left(1 - \frac{2}{\beta}\right) \text{size}(u'),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a(\sigma) &= \text{cost}(\sigma) + \Delta \Phi \leq O(\log_{\beta} n) + \text{size}(u') - c \left(1 - \frac{2}{\beta}\right) \text{size}(u') \\
&= O(\log_{\beta} n) \quad \text{if we set } c = \frac{\beta}{2 - \beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Same proof for deletion.

## Problem 2. The Quake Heap.

Prove that the maximum possible height  $h_{\max}$  is less than  $c \cdot \log_{1/\alpha} n + 2 \cdot c$ .

If  $h_{\max} \geq c \cdot \log_{1/\alpha} n + 2 \cdot c$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{\max}/c - 2 \geq \log_{1/\alpha} n &\Rightarrow \alpha^{h_{\max}/c - 2} n \leq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha^{h_{\max}/c} n \leq \alpha^2 \Rightarrow \alpha^{\lfloor h_{\max}/c \rfloor} n \\
&\leq \alpha^{2 - (h_{\max}/c - \lfloor h_{\max}/c \rfloor)}.
\end{aligned}$$

As we have  $h_{\max}/c - \lfloor h_{\max}/c \rfloor < 1$ ,  $c \geq 1$ , and  $\alpha < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
2 - \left(\frac{h_{\max}}{c} - \left\lfloor \frac{h_{\max}}{c} \right\rfloor\right) &> 1 \Rightarrow \alpha^{2 - (\frac{h_{\max}}{c} - \lfloor \frac{h_{\max}}{c} \rfloor)} < \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha^{\lfloor \frac{h_{\max}}{c} \rfloor} n < \alpha \\
\Rightarrow 1 &> \alpha^{\lfloor \frac{h_{\max}}{c} \rfloor - 1} n = n_{(\lfloor \frac{h_{\max}}{c} \rfloor - 1) \times c + 1} = n_{\lfloor \frac{h_{\max}}{c} \rfloor \times c - (c - 1)} \geq n_{h_{\max}},
\end{aligned}$$

which goes wrong because  $n_{h_{\max}}$  can be 1.

Therefore, sby contradiction,  $h_{\max}$  is less than  $c \cdot \log_{1/\alpha} n + 2 \cdot c$ .

Prove that the space consumption bound of the quake heap now is  $O(c \cdot n)$ .

We can break down levels of tournament forests to create  $c$  ordinary forests. Then from the lecture, the space consumption of single quake heap is a geometric series, so takes no more than  $O(n)$ .  $c$  forests sum up to  $O(c \cdot n)$ .

Define a potential function related to the integer constant  $c$ , which can be used to perform amortized analysis for the subsequent questions.

$$\Phi(S_i) = N + 3 \cdot T + \frac{3}{c(2\alpha - 1)} \cdot B.$$

Show that the amortized cost of insert is bounded by  $O(1)$ .

$$a(\sigma) = \text{cost}(\sigma) + \Delta \Phi = O(1) + 1 + 3 + \frac{3}{c(2\alpha - 1)} \cdot 0 = O(1).$$

Show that the amortized cost of decrease-key is bounded by  $O\left(\frac{1}{c} \cdot \frac{1}{2\alpha - 1}\right)$ .

$$a(\sigma) = \text{cost}(\sigma) + \Delta \Phi = O(1) + 0 + 3 + \frac{3}{c(2\alpha - 1)} \cdot 1 = O\left(\frac{1}{c} \cdot \frac{1}{2\alpha - 1}\right).$$

Prove that the amortized cost of delete-min is bounded by  $O(c \cdot \log_{1/\alpha} n)$ .

Step 1-3 of delete-min has little difference to that of ordinary tournament forest.

$$\begin{aligned} a(\text{delete-min}_{1-3}) &\leq 2 \cdot (T^{(0)} + L) + (T^{(0)} - T^{(1)}) + 3 \cdot (T^{(1)} - T^{(0)}) + 0 \\ &= 2 \cdot (T^{(1)} + L) \leq 2 \cdot (2 \cdot h_{\max}^{(0)} + h_{\max}^{(0)}) < 6c \cdot (\log_{1/\alpha} n + 2) \\ &= O(\log_{1/\alpha} n). \end{aligned}$$

For step 4, let  $h-c+1$  be the index of smallest height index of the violation, the actual cost for maintenance is  $R = \sum_{h' > h} n_h^{(0)}$ . Thus,  $\Delta N = -R$ ,  $\Delta T \leq n_h^{(0)}$ .

Observe that  $n_i$  can be calculated as the sum of:

- the number of root nodes at height- $i$ ,
- twice of the number of nodes at height- $(i+1)$  with two children,
- the number of bad nodes at height- $(i+1)$  with single child.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} n_i^{(0)} &\geq 2 \cdot (n_{i+1}^{(0)} - b_{i+1}^{(0)}) + b_{i+1}^{(0)} = 2 \cdot n_{i+1}^{(0)} - b_{i+1}^{(0)} \Rightarrow b_{i+1}^{(0)} \geq 2 \cdot n_{i+1}^{(0)} - n_i^{(0)} \\ \Rightarrow \Delta B &= B^{(1)} - B^{(0)} \leq - \sum_{i=1}^c b_{h+i}^{(0)} \leq - \sum_{i=1}^c 2 \cdot n_{h+i}^{(0)} - n_{h+i-1}^{(0)} \\ &< - \sum_{i=1}^c 2\alpha \cdot n_{h+i-c}^{(0)} - n_{h+i-c}^{(0)} \leq - \sum_{i=1}^c (2\alpha - 1) \cdot n_{h+i-c}^{(0)} \\ &= -(2\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^c n_{h+i-c}^{(0)} \leq -c(2\alpha - 1)n_h^{(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $a(\text{delete-min}_4) < R - R + 3n_h^{(0)} - 3n_h^{(0)} < 0$ .

Put all together, we have delete-min operation bounded by  $O(c \cdot \log_{1/\alpha} n)$ .