

Routy: a small routing protocol

Johan Montelius and Vladimir Vlassov

August 29, 2016

Introduction

Your task is to implement a link-state routing protocol in Erlang. The link-state protocol is used in for example OSPF, the most used routing protocol for Internet routers. The aim of this exercise is that you should be able to:

- describe the structure of a link-state routing protocol
- describe how a consistent view is maintained
- reflect on the problems related to network failures

We will implement routing processes with logical names such as `london`, `berlin`, `paris` etc. Routers can be connected to each other with directional (one-way) links and they can only communicate with the routers that they are directly connected to.

The routing processes should be able to receive a message of the form `{route, london, berlin, "Hello"}` and determine that it is a message from `berlin` that should be routed to `london`. A routing process should consult its routing table and determine which *gateway* (a routing process that it has direct connection to) is best suited to deliver the message. If a message arrives at its destination (the router called `london`) it is printed on the screen. Messages for which paths are not found are simply thrown away, no control messages are sent back to the sender.

During the seminar you will be divided into groups representing regions of the world (Europe, Asia, Africa etc). Each Erlang engine that you run will have a name of a country in that region (Sweden, UK, France etc). Assume that the Erlang shell named `sweden`, is running on a machine with the IP address 130.123.112.23. Routing processes that we create will be registered as `r1`, `r2` etc. The address of a routing process is thus for example:

```
{r1, 'sweden@130.123.112.23'}
```

The routing process will also have a logical name, for example `stockolm`, but this is a name on a different level.

Your task before the seminar will be to have a router up and running. At the seminar we will connect the routers together.

Before implementing the operations I advice you to study the `lists` library and learn how `keyfind/3`, `keydelete/2`, `map/2` and `foldl/3` works. It will make your life easier but, if you don't understand what `foldl/3` does, then don't even try to use it.

1 The map

Think of a good representation of a directional map where you should easily be able to update the map and find nodes directly connected to a given node. We could represent it as a list of entries where each entry consist of a city with a list of directly connected cities. This will give us a very quick way of updating the map, simply replace an entry with a new entry. For our purposes this is fine, in other situations one might want other operations to be efficient and therefore need another representation.

In a module `map`, implement and export the following functions:

- **`new()`**: returns an empty map (a empty list)
- **`update(Node, Links, Map)`**: updates the Map to reflect that Node has directional links to all nodes in the list Links. The old entry is removed.
- **`reachable(Node, Map)`**: returns the list of nodes directly reachable from Node.
- **`all_nodes(Map)`**: returns a list of all nodes in the map, also the ones without outgoing links. So if `berlin` is linked to `london` but `london` does not have any outgoing links (and thus no entry in the list), `london` should still be in the returned list.

Before going further make sure that your implementation of map works. In the tests below the map is represented as a list of entries holding the node and the links. Try the following tests:

```
> map:new().  
[]  
  
> map:update(berlin, [london, paris], []).  
[{"berlin", [london, paris]}]  
  
> map:reachable(berlin, [{"berlin", [london, paris]}]).  
[london, paris]  
  
> map:reachable(london, [{"berlin", [london, paris]}]).  
[]  
  
> map:all_nodes([{"berlin", [london, paris]}]).  
[paris, london, berlin]  
  
> map:update(berlin, [madrid], [{"berlin", [london, paris]}]).  
[{"berlin", [madrid]}]
```

Note that the representation of the map should not be known by the users of a map. A module using a map should only use the four functions described above.

2 Dijkstra

The Dijkstra algorithm will compute a *routing table*. The table is represented by a list with one entry per node where the entry describes which gateway, city, should be used to reach the node. The input to the algorithm is:

- a map
- a list of *gateways* to which we have direct access.

An example of a routing table is:

```
[{berlin,madrid},{rome,paris},{madrid,madrid},{paris,paris}]
```

This table says that if we want to send something to **berlin** we should send it to **madrid**. Note that we also include information that in order to reach **madrid** we should send the message to **madrid**.

A router will know its own name, a set of gateways, a map of the network and a hopefully not too old routing table.

The map will describe how all other nodes, including the gateways, are connected. The map will not include the router itself. When we build the router we should see that the map is updated quite frequently. The routing table is however, only updated once in a while (when we say so).

2.1 a sorted list

In the algorithm we will use a sorted list when we calculate a new routing table. We will start by implementing operations on a sorted list and then look at the algorithm itself.

Each entry in the list will hold the name of a node, the length of the path to the node and the gateway that we should use to reach the node. An entry showing that **berlin** could be reached in 2 hops using **paris** as a gateway could look like follows:

```
{berlin, 2, paris}
```

The list is sorted based on the length of the path. We should be able to update the list to give a node a new length and a new gateway but when we do an update it is important that we update an existing entry and that we actually have an entry in the list to update.

To implement the update procedure it could be an advantage to first implement two procedures that will help us. In a module **dijkstra** implement the two procedures:

- **entry(Node, Sorted):** returns the length of the shortest path to the node or 0 if the node is not found.
- **replace(Node, N, Gateway, Sorted):** replaces the entry for Node in Sorted with a new entry having a new length N and Gateway. The resulting list should of course be sorted.

Note that in **replace/4** we require a entry for the node to be present in the sorted list. Be careful and make sure that the resulting list is sorted based on the new entry.

Now when we have these two procedures it is easier to implement the update procedure.

- **update(Node, N, Gateway, Sorted):** update the list Sorted given the information that Node can be reached in N hops using Gateway. If no entry is found then no new entry is added. Only if we have a better (shorter) path should we replace the existing entry.

The procedure is implemented simply by first calling the **entry/2** procedure to get the length of the existing path. If we have a better (shorter) path then we use the **replace/4** procedure. Why did we make **entry/2** return 0 if the node is not found?

```
> dijkstra:update(london, 2, amsterdam, []).
[]
```

```
> dijkstra:update(london, 2, amsterdam, [{london, 2, paris}]).
[{london,2,paris}]
```

```
> dijkstra:update(london, 1, stockholm,
    [{berlin, 2, paris}, {london, 3, paris}]).
[{london,1,stockholm}, {berlin, 2, paris}]
```

2.2 the iteration

This is the heart of the algorithm. We will take a sorted list of entries, a map and a table that is what we have constructed so far. We have three cases:

- If there are no more entries in the sorted list then we are done and the given routing table is complete.
- If the first entry is a dummy entry with an infinite path to a city we know that the rest of the sorted list is also of infinite length and the given routing table is complete.

- Otherwise, take the first entry in the sorted list, find the nodes in the map reachable from this entry and for each of these nodes update the Sorted list. The entry that you took from the sorted list is added to the routing table.

Iterate this until we have no more entries in the sorted list - the table is then complete.

What is happening here? If the entry says that `berlin` can be reached in three hops by going through `paris` and the map says that `berlin` is directly linked to `copenhagen`, then `copenhagen` is reachable in four hops going through `paris`. We might already have an entry for `copenhagen` using only three hops over `amsterdam` and then nothing is done, but if we have an entry with more than four hops we will update the list.

If we have an entry for `copenhagen` with less than three hops, this entry has already been processed and removed from the list. This explains why we do not want to add another entry for `copenhagen`.

Note, since our network is connected by directional links it could actually be the case that some nodes in our map are not reachable at all. If `ulanbator` has a link to `beijing` but there is no link from `beijing` to `ulanbator` then the world will have `ulanbator` in the map. If all cities in the map are chosen to be part of the original sorted list that we try to iterate over we will in the end find an entry:

```
{ulanbator, inf, unknown}
```

as the first element in the list. If we have this situation we can conclude that the routing table we have is complete and contains all reachable cities.

- **iterate(Sorted, Map, Table):** construct a table given a sorted list of nodes, a map and a table constructed so far.

The second case is to handle the situation when nodes in the map are not reachable. In order to capture this we take a closer look at the first node in the sorted list. If we have a node with the length set to infinity, `inf`, then this node (nor any other node after it since the list is sorted) cannot be reached and need not be part of the final table.

This is a test of the iterate procedure:

```
> dijkstra:iterate([{paris, 0, paris}, {berlin, inf, unknown}],
  [{paris, [berlin]}], []).
[{paris, paris}, {berlin, paris}]
```

Now in the same module implement the function `table/2` that should take a list of gateways and a map and produce a routing table with one entry per node in the map. The table could be a list of entries where each

entry states which gateway to use to find the shortest path to a node (if we have a path). Follow the outline below and you will have your program running in no-time.

- **table(Gateways, Map)**: construct a routing table given the gateways and a map.

List the nodes of the map and construct a initial sorted list. This list should have dummy entries for all nodes with the length set to infinity, `inf`, and the gateway to `unknown`. The entries of the gateways should have length zero and gateway set to itself. Note that `inf` is greater than any integer (try). When you have constructed this list you can call `iterate` with an empty table. This is a test of the table procedure:

```
> dijkstra:table([paris, madrid], [{madrid,[berlin]}, {paris, [rome,madrid]})
[{berlin,madrid},{rome,paris},{madrid,madrid},{paris,paris}]
```

To complete the dijkstra module we need one more procedures.

- **route(Node, Table)** search the routing table and return the gateway suitable to route messages to a node. If a gateway is found we should return `{ok, Gateway}` otherwise we return `notfound`.

The `table/2` and `route/2` are the only procedures that we need to export. No one outside the module knows how the table is represented so you can re-implement it and make it even more efficient.

3 Interfaces

A router will also need to keep track of a set of interfaces. A interface is described by the symbolic name (`london`), a *process reference* and a *process identifier*. When you implement the router it will be clear what a process reference is. Implement the following procedures:

- **new()** return an empty set of interfaces.
- **add(Name, Ref, Pid, Intf)** add a new entry to the set and return the new set of interfaces.
- **remove(Name, Intf)** remove an entry given a name of an interface, return a new set of interfaces.
- **lookup(Name, Intf)** find the process identifier given a name, return `{ok, Pid}` if found otherwise `notfound`.
- **ref(Name, Intf)** find the reference given a name and return `{ok, Ref}` or `notfound`.

- **name(Ref, Intf)** find the name of an entry given a reference and return {ok, Name} or notfound.
- **list(Intf)** return a list with all names.
- **broadcast(Message, Intf)** send the message to all interface processes.

It should be quite straight forward to implement this.

4 The history

When we send link-state messages around we need to avoid cyclic paths; if we are not careful we will resend messages forever. We can solve this in two ways, either we set a counter on each message and decrement the counter in each hop, hoping that it will reach all routers before the counter reaches zero, or we keep track of what messages we have seen so far.

We will try the later strategy but to avoid having to keep a copy of all messages we will tag each constructed message with a per router increasing message number. If we know that we have seen message 15 from `london` then we know that messages from `london` with a lower number are old and can be thrown away. This strategy not only avoids circular loops but also prevents old messages from being delayed and later be allowed to change our view of the network.

Implement a data structure called history that keeps track of what messages that we have seen. In module `hist` implement two procedures.

new(Name) Return a new history, where messages from Name will always be seen as old.

update(Node, N, History) Check if message number N from the Node is old or new. If it is old then return `old` but if it new return {`new`, `Updated`} where Updated is the updated history.

To determine if a link-state message is old or new one need of course not store the message itself nor all previously received messages. The only thing we have to keep track of is the highest counter value received from each node. Can you create an entry for a node that will make any message look old?

5 The router

The router should be able to, not only route messages through a network of connected nodes but also, maintain view of the network and construct optimal routing tables. Each routing process will have a state:

- a symbolic name such as `london`

- a counter
- a history of received messages
- a set of interfaces
- a routing table
- a map of the network

When a new router process is created it will set all its parameters to initial empty values. We will also register the router process under a unique name (unique for the erlang machine it is running on, for example `r1`, `r2`, etc).

```
-module(routy).

-export([start/2, stop/1, ...]).

start(Reg, Name) ->
    register(Reg, spawn(fun() -> init(Name) end)).

stop(Node) ->
    Node ! stop,
    unregister(Node).

init(Name) ->
    Intf = intf:new(),
    Map = map:new(),
    Table = dijkstra:table(Intf, Map),
    Hist = hist:new(Name),
    router(Name, 0, Msgs, Intf, Table, Map).
```

To route a message to a node, the router will simply consult the routing table to find the best gateway and then find the pid of that gateway given the list of interfaces. This is the easy part; the hard part is to maintain a consistent view of the networks as interfaces are added and removed. The algorithm of a links-state protocol is as follows:

- determine which nodes that you are connected to
- tell all neighbors in a *link-state message*
- if you receive a link-state message that you have not seen before pass it along to your neighbors

A node will thus collect link-state messages from all other routers in the network. The link-state messages are exactly what we need to build a map. Since we also know which nodes we can reach directly, our gateways, we can use Dijkstra's algorithm to generate a routing table.

In our first effort we will however only implement a process that can connect or disconnect to other nodes in the system and update its set of interfaces.

5.1 adding interfaces

We will use *monitors* to detect if a node is unreachable; a monitor will send an 'DOWN' message to the process and we can then remove links to the node. A skeleton code for the router process could look as follows.

```
router(Name, N, Hist, Intf, Table, Map) ->
    receive
    %           :
    %           :
    {add, Node, Pid} ->
        Ref = erlang:monitor(process,Pid),
        Intf1 = intf:add(Node, Ref, Pid, Intf),
        router(Name, N, Hist, Intf1, Table, Map);

    {remove, Node} ->
        {ok, Ref} = intf:ref(Node, Intf),
        erlang:demonitor(Ref),
        Intf1 = intf:remove(Node, Intf),
        router(Name, N, Hist, Intf1, Table, Map);

    {'DOWN', Ref, process, _, _} ->
        {ok, Down} = intf:name(Ref, Intf),
        io:format("~w: exit recived from ~w~n", [Name, Down]),
        Intf1 = intf:remove(Down, Intf),
        router(Name, N, Hist, Intf1, Table, Map);

    %           :
    %           :

    {status, From} ->
        From ! {status, {Name, N, Hist, Intf, Table, Map}},
        router(Name, N, Hist, Intf, Table, Map);

    stop ->
```

```
        ok
    end.
```

Note that creating a monitor for a process that does not exist will fail nor throw an exception. What will happen is that you're immediately sent a down message. The behaviour is thus the same if you add a monitor to a process that dies or if you add monitor to a process that died 10 milliseconds ago.

The `{status, From}` message can be used to do a pretty-print of the state. Add a function that sends a `status` message to a process, receives the reply and displays the information.

When we start Erlang shells we will all have to use the same magic cookie so let's agree on `routy`. We could also use a flag to reduce the underlying network traffic. The default behavior for distributed Erlang is to try to connect to all nodes available in the network. Connecting A with B where B is already connected to C will create a connection between A and C. Since we will allow our nodes to crash we can turn this feature off.

```
erl -name sweden@130.123.112.23 -setcookie routy -connect_all false
```

To try to keep things under control we name Erlang nodes after countries and routers after names in that country. Start two routers and send them messages so that they connect to each other. Terminate one of them and see that things work.

5.2 link-state messages

Next we need to implement the link-state message. When this is sent it is tagged with the counter value. The counter is then updated so subsequent messages will have a higher value. When receiving a link-state message a router must check if this is an old or new message. The handling of link-state messages can be implemented as follows:

```
{links, Node, R, Links} ->
    case hist:update(Node, R, Hist) of
    {new, Hist1} ->
        intf:broadcast({links, Node, R, Links}, Intf),
        Map1 = map:update(Node, Links, Map),
        router(Name, N, Hist1, Intf, Table, Map1);
    old ->
        router(Name, N, Hist, Intf, Table, Map)
    end;
```

When we have updated our map we should also update the routing table. This is where we invoke the Dijkstra algorithm. We should do it periodically,

maybe every time we receive a link-state message or better every time the map changes. In our experiment we will do it manually. We add a method `update` that we will send to order the router to update its routing table.

```
update ->
    Table1 = dijkstra:table(intf:list(Intf), Map),
    router(Name, N, Hist, Intf, Table1, Map);
```

We also add a message so that we manually can order our router to broadcast a link-state message. This should of course be done periodically or every time a link is added but we want to experiment with inconsistent maps so we keep this as a manual procedure.

```
broadcast ->
    Message = {links, Name, N, intf:list(Intf)},
    intf:broadcast(Message, Intf),
    router(Name, N+1, Hist, Intf, Table, Map);
```

5.3 testing what we have

We can now test our protocol by starting several routing processes and letting them connect to each other. Let's call Erlang machines for countries and routers for cities. So start a Erlang node with the command:

```
erl -name sweden@130.123.112.23 -setcookie routy -connect_all false
```

Load the `routy` and `dijkstra` module and then start routers for different cities in Sweden. Then connect the routers by manually sending them `add` messages. Note that the `add` message contains both the logical name (`stockholm`) and the process identifier of the router (for example `{r1, 'sweden@130.123.112.23'}`).

```
(sweden@130.123.112.23)>routy:start(r1, stockholm).
```

```
(sweden@130.123.112.23)>routy:start(r2, lund).
```

```
(sweden@130.123.112.23)>lund ! {add, stockholm, {r1, 'sweden@130.123.112.23'}}.
true
```

If everything works out ok, you should be able to build a network of routers. When you send the message `broadcast` to a router the link-state messages should be generated and after a `update` message the routing table should be computed. Try it with some Erlang nodes running on one machine. If you have problems with the long network names you could start Erlang using short node names `-sname` or simply have all routers in the same Erlang process.

5.4 routing a message

It's now time to implement the actual routing. We have one easy case and that is when a message has actually arrived to the final destination.

```
{route, Name, From, Message} ->
    io:format("~w: received message ~w ~n", [Name, Message]),
    router(Name, N, Hist, Intf, Table, Map);
```

If the message is not ours we should forward it. If we find a suitable gateway in the routing table we simply forward the message to the gateway. If we do not find a routing entry or do not find a interface of a gateway we have a problem, simply drop the packet and keep smiling.

```
{route, To, From, Message} ->
    io:format("~w: routing message (~w)", [Name, Message]),
    case dijkstra:route(To, Table) of
        {ok, Gw} ->
            case intf:lookup(Gw, Intf) of
                {ok, Pid} ->
                    Pid ! {route, To, From, Message};
                notfound ->
                    ok
            end;
        notfound ->
            ok
    end,
    router(Name, N, Hist, Intf, Table, Map);
```

In the implementation we make use of the fact that the routing table contains entries even for our own gateways. Could we also have a dummy entry for the node itself so that we would not need to have a special message entry to handle messages directed to the router itself?

We also add a message so that a local user can initiate the routing of a message without knowing the name of the local router.

```
{send, To, Message} ->
    self() ! {route, To, Name, Message},
    router(Name, N, Hist, Intf, Table, Map);
```

This is how far you should have got to pass this assignment. Write up a 2-3 page report on what was difficult and how you solved it.

6 Optional task for extra bonus: The world

This is an optional task, which can be done either before or at the reporting seminar: form a group with your classmates and connect as many routers as possible, start killing nodes and watch how the network is still able to route messages. See more details below. If you have done it before the reporting seminar, describe results of your experiments in the report including screenshots, if possible. Optionally, you can perform and demonstrate such experiments with your partners at the seminar.

Form a group with your classmates and be responsible for a region in the world (Europe, Africa, South America etc.); coordinate with your partners so each partner/group has its own region. Then start a set of Erlang nodes on each machine where you give each Erlang node the name of a country (that is in your region).

In each Erlang node you can now create one or more routers with registered names of cities in that country. Then start to connect the routers to each other. Note that all cities in the world must have unique names so even if there is a Paris in Texas the network will only allow one node to be called `paris`. Start to send messages to other nodes and see that it works. Note that since you have not implemented automatic broadcast and update functionality, you must do this manually.

When things are working in your region chose two or more routers that should connect to other parts of the world. Make sensible connections to make it easier to understand what the network looks like. Can we send messages from Sydney to Oslo?

If everything works ok, you can try to either stop routers, close Erlang nodes or simply disable the network card. Will the routing functionality still work? How long time does it take between a disabled network card and the delivery of a 'DOWN' message to the other nodes?