	<pre>def ctc_decode(log_probs: torch.LongTensor, blank: int = 0, beam_width: int = 5) -> list: """Decoding with CTC. Use beam search to approximate the maximum likelihood decoding from `log_probs`. Make sure that blank tokens are removed afterwards and unnecessary repeated tokens are removed as well. Args: log_probs: log probabilities as defined in CTC. (shape: T x C) blank: The "epsilon" token that is used to represent silence.</pre>
	<pre>blank: The "epsilon" token that is used to represent silence. (integer <= C, default 0) beam_width: The number of candidates to keep around. Returns: outputs: [y'_1, y'_2,, y'_S], where each y'_t is between 0 and C-1. (shape: S (!= T)) """ ###############################</pre>
	T, C = log_probs.shape beam = [(tuple(), (0.0, -math.inf))] for t in range(T): next_beam = collections.defaultdict(lambda: (-math.inf, -math.inf)) for c in range(C): p = log_probs[t, c] # p_nb代表前缀不以blank结尾的概率, p_b代表以blank结尾的概率 for prefix, (p_b, p_nb) in beam: if c == blank: # 如果是blank, 前缀不改变, 只有概率改变 n_p_b, n_p_nb = next_beam[prefix] n_p_b = logsum(n_p_b, p_b + p, p_nb + p) next_beam[prefix] = (n_p_b, n_p_nb) continue # 如果不是blank, 只有不以blank结尾的前缀概率改变 end_t = prefix[-1] if prefix else None n_prefix = prefix + (c,)
	<pre>n_prefix = prefix + (c,) n_p_b, n_p_nb = next_beam[n_prefix] if c != end_t: n_p_nb = logsum(n_p_nb, p_b + p, p_nb + p) else: n_p_nb = logsum(n_p_nb, p_b + p) next_beam[n_prefix] = (n_p_b, n_p_nb) # 如果c在末尾重复,我们也要更新不变的前缀 if c == end_t: n_p_b, n_p_nb = next_beam[prefix] n_p_b, n_p_nb = next_beam[prefix] n_p_nb = logsum(n_p_nb, p_nb + p) next_beam[prefix] = (n_p_b, n_p_nb) beam = sorted(next_beam.items(), key=lambda x: logsum(*x[1]), reverse=True) beam = beam[0] return best[0]</pre>
In [29]:	Task 2.2 Demonstrate beam search decoding (3 points) Print the most likely transcript for each log_probs and character set we provide. Use the default beam width (=5). You can load the test data by calling get_log_probs(). Please loop through log_probs_batch to get log_probs input to test your beam search implementation. You will output 10 likely transcripts by using the test log_probs in log_probs_batch. Note: The most likely transcript could be gibberish. import string
	<pre>import string def get_log_probs() -> torch.LongTensor: """Get minibatches to test implementation Returns: lists of log_probs """ torch.manual_seed(FIX_SEED) np.random.seed(FIX_SEED) random.seed(FIX_SEED) T = 50 # input length N = 10 # Batch size C = 27 # Class size # "CTC model" probabilities log_probs_batch = torch.randn(N, T, C).log_softmax(2).detach().requires_grad_() return log_probs_batch</pre>
In [30]:	<pre>log_probs_batch = get_log_probs() # Shape: N x T x C (10, 50, 27) log_probs_list = get_log_probs() char_set = list(string.ascii_lowercase) # lowercase alphabet char_set.insert(0, "eps") # add blank as the first element ############################### N = log_probs_batch.shape[0] for i in range(N):</pre>
	<pre>pred_seq = ''.join(pred_seq) print(pred_seq) ###################################</pre>
In [31]:	Print the most likely transcript for each log_probs and character set we provide. Use a narrow beam (=2) and comment on any difference you see with the narrow beam as compared to using the default beam size above. Do you find the same sequences? You can load the test data by calling <code>get_log_probs()</code> . Please loop through <code>log_probs_batch</code> to <code>get_log_probs</code> input to test your implementation. You will output 10 likely transcripts by using the test <code>log_probs</code> in <code>log_probs_batch</code> . Note: The most likely transcript could be gibberish.
In [32]:	['eps', 'a', 'b', 'c', 'd', 'e', 'f', 'g', 'h', 'i', 'j', 'k', 'l', 'm', 'n', 'o', 'p', 'q', 'r', 's', 't', 'u', 'v', 'w', 'x', 'y', 'z']
	rzecdjuoxbmcszemnzaqvupdvgjfxubnfuwaiokauhrsbxb dwnwibcsroudtquafejflgvctnkzatnqcpyigrzkfnzb iudltveubgpathqgmbmajnqdfrlbuvejyaipmq yhcnpvhwclomufngxqwgmhorfywufkhatsrstxtbqnpc zqhjtgngmoamfeicwenkyxeuwfcejpsdgwsvbwqoukf vwmchnrdwdvwthgblpmyzfpqfaxvyzdbwiautkgtxkal nohakqcosaqackexjkmjbctwjmghuifymgdsecvsdnpwnzji pxrkpwsezuqykqkodqifkuvlcjzqrjfadrhwjrkuakfsvy Answer I find many same sequences, and I think the reason is that the probility dataset we build is too small and we use the softmax operation, so the likelihood can be very extreme. Thus the narrowed beam search can also find similar answers. However, when it applied to real scenes, I think the narrowed beam search is more likely to lead to a poor
	performance, resulting a suboptimal solution. This is the end of HW2. Great work!

next_beam[n_prefix] = n_p