

Estimating Respondents by State of 2022 ACS USA*

Ziqi Zhu Yuanchen Miao

October 3, 2024

Table of contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Obtain Data	1
3	Overview of ratio estimators approach	2
4	Our estimates and the actual number of respondents.	2
5	Possible reasons of the difference	4
	References	5

1 Introduction

The R programming language (R Core Team (2023)), dplyr(Wickham et al. (2023)), and (Müller and Wickham (2023)) were used to estimate data and generate tables, package (Xie (2023)) and (Zhu (2024)) is used for adding caption to table. The data were gathered from USA (n.d.).

*Code and data are available at: <https://github.com/zzq20010617/2022ACS>

2 Obtain Data

The data is obtained from the IPUMS USA(USA n.d.), the sample of 2022 only is selected from all of the samples, and “GEOGRAPHIC” and “EDUCATION” are selected in harmonized variables to get variables of “STATEICP” from “GEOGRAPHIC” and “EDUCD” from “EDUCATION”. By using the code book, we are able to find out which state is the code of stateicp presents and what degree is the code of educd presents. The format of the data downloading from IPUMS USA has been changed to CSV files. This table Table 1 shows the first several rows of the data we use.

Table 1: 2022 ACS respondent state and educated level

STATEICP	EDUCD
41	71
41	64
41	26

Then we can filter by doctoral degree by EDUCD and get the number for each STATEICP as Table 2 shows, the number of California (STATEICP=71) shows in Table 3

Table 2: doctoral respondents number of each state in USA 2022

STATEICP	doctoral_respondents
1	600
2	165
3	2014
4	244
5	177

Table 3: Doctoral Respondents number in California 2022

STATEICP	doctoral_respondents
71	6336

3 Overview of ratio estimators approach

The ratio estimator is found by first filtering the doctor degree out from all of the respondents by reducing all the other rows which do not have the code of “EDUCD” equal to 116 (where

116 is the doctor degree according to the codebook of IPUMS USA) then we use the method group_by STATEICP to gather the number of doctor degrees together with the same stateicp. Then, by checking the code book, we find the row with stateicp equal to 71, California, and divide the number of doctor degrees in California with the total number of respondents given by Rohan to obtain the ratio estimators

4 Our estimates and the actual number of respondents.

Our estimates and actual number for all states is shown in following table Table 4

Table 4: estimate and actual respondents of each state in USA 2022

STATEICP	doctoral_respondents	estimated_total	actual_total_respondents
1	600	37042.708	37369
2	165	10186.745	14523
3	2014	124340.024	73077
4	244	15064.035	14077
5	177	10927.599	10401
6	131	8087.658	6860
11	152	9384.153	9641
12	1438	88779.024	93166
13	2829	174656.370	203891
14	1620	100015.312	132605
21	1457	89952.043	128046
22	620	38277.465	69843
23	991	61182.207	101512
24	1213	74888.009	120666
25	513	31671.516	61967
31	258	15928.365	33586
32	321	19817.849	29940
33	572	35314.049	58984
34	621	38339.203	64551
35	153	9445.891	19989
36	60	3704.271	8107
37	71	4383.387	9296
40	1531	94520.644	88761
41	460	28399.410	51580
42	251	15496.200	31288
43	2731	168606.061	217799
44	1451	89581.616	109349
45	450	27782.031	45040

Table 4: estimate and actual respondents of each state in USA 2022

STATEICP	doctoral_respondents	estimated_total	actual_total_respondents
46	263	16237.054	29796
47	1421	87729.481	109230
48	647	39944.387	54651
49	3216	198548.917	292919
51	448	27658.556	46605
52	1608	99274.458	62442
53	281	17348.335	39445
54	841	51921.530	72374
56	159	9816.318	18135
61	896	55317.111	74153
62	1031	63651.720	59841
63	175	10804.123	19884
64	113	6976.377	11116
65	282	17410.073	30749
66	350	21608.247	20243
67	428	26423.799	35537
68	72	4445.125	5962
71	6336	391171.000	391171
72	647	39944.387	43708
73	1195	73776.727	80818
81	51	3148.630	6972
82	214	13211.899	14995
98	311	19200.470	6718

5 Possible reasons of the difference

The primary reason for discrepancies is the varying levels of educational attainment across states. States with more urban areas and a higher concentration of educational institutions often have a greater proportion of respondents with doctoral degrees compared to California. In such cases, applying California’s ratio will result in an overestimation of the total number of doctoral degree holders, like Massachusetts Table 5. Conversely, states with fewer educational institutions or lower educational attainment levels will produce an underestimate.

Another contributing factor is population composition. Differences in population size and demographics can affect the distribution of educational attainment. For example, states with a larger number of immigrants or international students may have more individuals pursuing doctoral degrees. Additionally, states with older populations are more likely to have a higher

ratio of residents holding doctoral degrees, as advanced degrees are often obtained later in life.

Table 5: Doctoral respondents, and estimate/actual respondents in Massachusetts 2022

	STATEICP	doctoral_respondents	estimated_total	actual_total_respondents
3	3	2014	124340	73077

References

- Müller, Kirill, and Hadley Wickham. 2023. *Tibble: Simple Data Frames*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=tibble>.
- R Core Team. 2023. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- USA, IPUMS. n.d. “Variables by Group.” University of Minnesota. <https://usa.ipums.org/usa-action/variables/group%7D>.
- Wickham, Hadley, Romain François, Lionel Henry, Kirill Müller, and Davis Vaughan. 2023. *Dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation*. <https://dplyr.tidyverse.org>.
- Xie, Yihui. 2023. *Knitr: A General-Purpose Package for Dynamic Report Generation in r*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=knitr>.
- Zhu, Hao. 2024. *kableExtra: Construct Complex Table with 'Kable' and Pipe Syntax*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=kableExtra>.