STA302 Final Project Proposal*

Ziqi Zhu   Raghav Sinha   Christophe McWatt

October 11, 2024

Table of contents

---

*Code and data are available at: https://github.com/zzq20010617/sta302Paper

1 Contributions

We found the dataset together, and seperate the works as follow: Ziqi: Introduction and data description Raghav: Ethics discussion and some plot in preliminary result Christophe: Most of Preliminary results section

2 Introduction

The gaming industry has experienced exponential growth in recent years, with millions of players engaging in various games on platforms like Steam. Understanding what influences average playtime in games is crucial for game developers, publishers, and marketers. Average playtime is a key indicator of player engagement and game success, and investigating factors that impact it can provide valuable insights into game design, pricing strategies, and marketing efforts. So our research aims to explore the factors that influence the average playtime of games available on Steam using a dataset we get from Kaggle. Specifically, we will investigate how variables such as price, user ratings, and other game characteristics contribute to player engagement, as measured by playtime. From some previous papers, we learned that both positive and negative reviews have a significant correlation with playtime, but the effect is more pronounced for positive reviews(Brodschneider and Pirker (2023)). Another paper found out that game pricing significantly affects player engagement, with lower-priced games generally seeing higher playtime.(Luisa et al. (2021)). The third paper did an empirical study that also examined the influence of game reviews on playtime, focusing on how the number and sentiment of reviews can affect player engagement. It concludes that games with more positive reviews see increased playtime, especially if those reviews highlight the game's quality(Lin et al. (2019)). We found this problem suitable for linear regression because it allows us to model the relationship between average playtime (the dependent variable) and various predictors (price, ratings, developer, etc.). This approach helps quantify how each factor influences playtime, enabling a clear understanding of the impact of different game attributes. By fitting a linear regression model, we can determine which factors have statistically significant effects on playtime, providing actionable insights for game developers and marketers.

3 Data description

We get the Steam games data(Davis (2023)) from Kaggle. The R programming language (R Core Team (2023)) and packages readr(Wickham, Hester, and Bryan (2024)), httr(Wickham (2023)), jsonlite(Ooms (2014)) were used to download the data, dplyr(Wickham et al. (2023)) and lubridate(Grolemund and Wickham (2011)) were used to clean the data. Data was originally gathered from the Steam Store and SteamSpy APIs around May 2019. This table Table 1 shows the first 3 entries of cleaned data. We are going to take average_playtime as the response variable, it measures the mean time (in minutes) that players spend on a game, a

Table 1: Steam Games Data

release__month	english	developer	publisher	achievements	positive__ratings	negative__ratings	average__playtime	median__playtime	owners	price
Nov	1	Valve	Valve	0	124534	3339	17612	317	10000000-20000000	7.19
Apr	1	Valve	Valve	0	3318	633	277	62	5000000-10000000	3.99
May	1	Valve	Valve	0	3416	398	187	34	5000000-10000000	3.99

summary of this variable is shown in Table 2. This variable captures overall player engagement and serves as a good indicator of how immerse or entertaining a game is. It is suitable for a linear regression model because it is continuous and quantitative. The predictors selected are price, positive ratings, negative ratings, developer, and number of owners. All are numeric except for the number of owners, which is categorical since it represents estimated ranges. Price may influence playtime, as higher costs could lead to longer engagement. Positive ratings and negative ratings are reviews of players of that game and it can only be positive or negative. Positive ratings likely correlate with greater playtime, while negative ratings could indicate the opposite. Developer refers to the development company of the game, which could affect playtime, with established studios often producing longer, high-quality games, but since they are too many developers to fit we are planning to create bins or indicator variables in later phase. Lastly, the number of owners is an estimated number of owners, containing lower and upper bounds (like 20000-50000), it could signal popularity, where more owners may suggest higher average playtime. Summary of numerical predictors can be find in table Table 3.

Table 2: Summary of Average Playtime

Mean	Median	Std_Dev	Min	Max
657.37	222	3783.67	1	190625

Table 3: Summary of Predictors

| mean__price	median__price	mean__positive__ratings	median__positive__ratings	mean__negative__ratings	median__negative__ratings
7.47	4.99	4181.49	408	858.13	113

4 Ethics discussion

The Steam Games dataset(Davis (2023)) used in this research is a collected dataset, not simulated, comprising information on over 97,000 games published on the Steam platform. The metadata is comprehensively filled out, including details such as game titles, release dates, genres, and user ratings, which enhances the dataset's usability and reliability. The source of the data is clearly described, originating from the Steam store pages and Steam API, ensuring transparency and traceability. Additionally, the dataset is hosted on Kaggle, a reputable platform for data science and machine learning, which implies a level of vetting and popularity within the data science community. This widespread use and accessibility suggest that the

dataset is well-regarded and trusted by third parties, further validating its credibility. There are no ethical concerns to report.

# 5 Preliminary results

5.1 Fit multiple linear regression model

5.2 Create Response vs Fitted Scatterplot to check patterns in residuals before further analysis.

Response vs. Fitted

5.3 Create Pairwise Scatterplots for Predictors to look for multicollinearity

## 5.4 Create Residuals vs Fitted Scatterplot to check Linearity, Uncorrelated Errors, and Constant Variance

Residual vs. Fitted

## 5.5 Create Residuals vs each Quantitative Predictor scatterplot to check Linearity, Uncorrelated Errors, and Constant Variance

Residuals vs. Price   Residuals vs. Positive Ratings   Residuals vs. Negative Ratings

5.6 Create BoxPlot to check assumptions for Categorical Predictors

Residual vs Developer

Residual vs Owners

5.7 Create Normal QQ Plot to check Normality assumption

Normal Q–Q Plot

The four linear regression assumptions to be looked into are linearity, uncorrelated errors, constant error variance, and normality. Since this is a multiple linear regression, we must also check the conditional mean response and predictor conditions. To check the conditional mean response condition, we look at the Response vs. Fitted scatterplot. We see an that the data points are clustered fairly tightly in the bottom left corner of the scatterplot, which satisfies the condition. To check the conditional mean predictors assumption, we must look at the pairwise scatterplots of predictors, in which we see a lack of curves which satisfies this condition as well. When looing at the Residual vs. Fitted scatterplot, we can see a fanning pattern in the shape of a left pointing arrow, which leads to a possible violation of the constant variance assumption. Looking at the Residuals vs. Qualitative Predictor scatterplots suggests a possible violation of both linearity and uncorrelated errors due to the cluster of many points in all three as well as the curves that exist in the positive and negative ratings. Neither of the boxplots appear to suggest any kind of linear assumption violation regarding the categorical predictors. Looking at the Normal QQ Plot, there is some deviation from the diagonal at the extremes that appears to be too significant to ignore. This leads to a normality violation.

References

Brodschneider, Vinzenz, and Johanna Pirker. 2023. "On the Influence of Reviews on Play Activity on Steam - a Statistical Approach." *ResearchGate*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/376227235_On_the_Influence_of_Reviews_on_Play_Activity_on_Steam_-_A_Statistical_Approach.

Davis, Nik. 2023. "Steam Store Games (Clean Dataset)." https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/nikdavis/steam-store-games/data.

Grolemund, Garrett, and Hadley Wickham. 2011. "Dates and Times Made Easy with lubridate." *Journal of Statistical Software* 40 (3): 1–25. https://www.jstatsoft.org/v40/i03/.

Lin, Dayi, Cor-Paul Bezemer, Ahmed E. Hassan, and Ying Zou. 2019. "An Empirical Study of Game Reviews on the Steam Platform." In *Empir Software Eng*, 24. https://doi-org.myaccess.library.utoronto.ca/10.1007/s10664-018-9627-4.

Luisa, Andraž De, Jan Hartman, David Nabergoj, and Samo Pahor. 2021. "Predicting the Popularity of Games on Steam." *ResearchGate*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/355110719_Predicting_the_Popularity_of_Games_on_Steam.

Ooms, Jeroen. 2014. "The Jsonlite Package: A Practical and Consistent Mapping Between JSON Data and r Objects." *arXiv:1403.2805 [Stat.CO]*. https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.2805.

R Core Team. 2023. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/.

Wickham, Hadley. 2023. *Httr: Tools for Working with URLs and HTTP*. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=httr.

Wickham, Hadley, Romain François, Lionel Henry, Kirill Müller, and Davis Vaughan. 2023. *Dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation*. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr.

Wickham, Hadley, Jim Hester, and Jennifer Bryan. 2024. *Readr: Read Rectangular Text Data*. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=readr.