Intrusion Detection Systems and Incident Handling 320/520

Fundamental Network Knowledge, and Introduction to TCPdump

Why is the fundamental networking and communication knowledge required?

 IDS can handle most attacks BUT some require advanced traffic analysis and network protocol knowledge

- Network layers:
 - Application Layer enables the data transfer an application server and a client
 - DNS
 - FTP
 - SNMP
 - SMTP
 - Transport Layer packages the data that needs to be transmitted between hosts - data packaged as packets
 - TCP
 - UDP

- Network layers:
 - Internet Protocol Layer handles the addressing and routing of the data received from the transport layer
 - Packet header
 - Source & Destination IP address
 - IP protocol number
 - Packet payload

- Network layers:
 - Hardware Layer handles the communications to the physical medium on which it resides
- The four layers work in tandem to exchange data between hosts
- Network based IDS/IPS system work mostly on the application layer
- Some also analyze the traffic at the transport layer

- TCP/IP Suite of Protocols Fundamentals
 - TCP
 - Provides reliable connection between two systems
 - Ensures that both system are ready to communicate and that the information moved is transferred without anything lost
 - Ensures that all packets are sequenced and acknowledged (using windowing)
 - Common applications using TCP FTP, SSH, SMTP

TCP

- TCP header information provides key information about a session
- TCP control bits
 - can be set independently
 - Total of 8: URG, ACK, PSH, RST, SYN, FIN, CWR and ECE
- TCP connections involve a three-way handshake

- TCP Problems
 - TCP connections are susceptible to SYN flood attacks
 - TCP is susceptible to non-random-sequence numbers
 - Random source and destination ports also can cause problems in detecting intrusions

UDP

- Provides an unreliable but much faster approach to deliver packets
- Does not involve a state of connection (unlike the TCP protocol which relies on state tracking)
- Used for application for which speed is preferred to completeness of information (such as audio or video data)
- Common Applications using UDP TFTP, Broadcasts, NFS

- UDP Problems
 - UDP is less secure than TCP
 - UDP is susceptible to spoofing
 - UDP can enable flooding attacks
 - UDP is a common target for open ports scan

IP

- Handles the datagram services between hosts
- Addresses consist of four numbers separated by full stops - the addresses are used to determine where the packets are delivered
- Supports packet fragmentation

- IP Problems
 - Susceptible to packet modification
 - Common attack method uses packet fragmentation
 - The IP identification can be used maliciously to gather information about the system (stealth scan)

- ICMP protocol for relaying messages
 - Functionality
 - Flow control
 - Unreachable destination alert
 - Redirecting route
 - Remote host check

- ICMP Problems
 - Protocol regularly used for attacks
 - ICMP is susceptible to traffic redirection
 - ICMP susceptible to message abuse (ping)

- Data Transmission Key Concepts
 - Data Flow
 - Source and destination do not "talk" to each other directly
 - Messages contain information for each of the layers
 - Data Encapsulation
 - Data added by each layer
 - Address information added to the frame
 - Addresses
 - Physical addresses used by the Ethernet card to interface with the network
 - Logical addresses translated using the ARP
 - IP address typically dynamic allocated using DNS

Service Ports

- Used to provide basic functionality
- Services are not port specific!
- Port allocation is "standardized"
- Requires careful checking for hacker activity

Client ports

- Selected for a connection
- Can be reused once the connection has been terminated

TCPdump Tool

- Gathers data from the network
- Can be critical for understanding how an attack is carried out
- Output from TCPdump can be challenging to interpret

 there are other tools that can used to analyse the
 data (such as Wireshark)

TCPdump Tool

- Filters can be used to specify to be collected
 - TCPdump provides a filter syntax
 - Filter rules can collated into an input file passed onto TPCdump
- Can generate very detailed but also very large output files
 - The amount of data can be specified at command line
- Standard mode shows the most relevant fields in the packet
- All fields can be shown in hexadecimal format

- TCPdump Tool
 - IP Header information can be extracted from the output and thus enables the extraction of the embedded protocol
 - Information that can be extracted
 - IP Datagram length
 - TCP Header length
- TCPdump Output
 - 09:32:43:910000 nmap.edu.1173 > dns.net.21: S 62697789:62697789(0)
 win 512
 - What does it represent?

Intrusion Detection Systems and Incident Handling 320/520

Introduction to Intrusion
Detection Systems
(IDS) and Intrusion
Prevention Systems
(IPS)

Concepts, Approaches, Functionality, Limitations, General System Threats

- IDS/IPS aim to automatically detect and identify possible security incidents
- IDS vs IPS IPS may have the capacity to stop incidents
- IDS/IPS
 - Recognize violations of existing security rules
 - Recognize reconnaissance activity

- What does and IDS/IPS do when an incident is detected?
 - Typical functions:
 - IDS/IPS collect/log data about the incident
 - Trigger alerts to the key personnel involved in the system security
 - Compile reports that summarize the events of interest

Intrusion Prevention Systems

- When an incident is detected
 - May stop the attack
 - Block access
 - Terminate connection
 - Reconfigure the security setup
 - Network devices
 - Firewall settings
 - Modifies the attack data
 - Email attachments deleted

- How do they work?
 - Data collected from various sources
 - Hardware network devices
 - Software system logs
 - Analysis of data to identify attacks and intrusions (worst case scenario)
 - Formulate response
 - Passive
 - Active

- IDS/IPS vs Firewalls
 - Firewalls
 - Can detect intrusions
 - Generate alerts in case of attacks
 - Key difference: packet analysis not done by firewalls
 - Firewalls work in tandem with IDS/IPS reduce the amount of traffic to be analyzed

- IDS/IPS approaches to detection
 - Signature based approach
 - Simple comparison with known attack patterns
 - Does not require advanced network communication knowledge
 - Good: works well with known threats
 - Bad: cannot handled previously unseen threats
 - Not flexible and cannot track state of communications
 - Lack of adaptation means that an attacker can split the payload into several parts that have no resemblance with a known threat

- Anomaly based approach
 - Uses existing definitions (profiles) of "normal" system behaviour to identify "abnormalities"
 - Mostly based on statistical analysis of the system activity
 - Users
 - Traffic
 - File access and CPU resources
 - Good: can recognize previously "unseen" abnormal activity
 - It is adaptive
 - · Can be applied to different levels
 - Bad: requires effective system profiles which are difficult to generate
 - Profile tuning is difficult at best
 - Can generate false alarms

- Stateful protocol analysis approach
 - Uses existing definitions of "normal" protocol activity to identify "abnormal" activity
 - The definitions of the "normal" activity is not host specific (unlike the anomaly based approach)
 - Requires tracking and understanding of states in protocols
 - Good: definitions are available
 - Bad: definitions not guaranteed to handle all threats (new threats need patching)

- Basic functionality of an IDS/IPS system
 - Continuous monitoring of the system
 - Enables the alert and response to be automatic
 - Detailed analysis of large amounts of data at various levels

- Basic functionality of an IDS/IPS system
 - Limit or prevent damage to the system
 - Can be combined with other network defenses to increase the effectiveness of the overall system

- Limitations of an IDS/IPS system
 - WILL NOT PREVENT ATTACKS OR GUARANTEE THAT ALL ATTACKS WILL BE DETECTED
 - Cannot be a substitute for skilled security personnel
 - Require tuning and updating
 - Will generate many false alarms which need to carefully analyzed and categorized

- Limitations of an IDS/IPS system
 - Cannot handle all types of session slicing
 - Proxy attacks can be used to bypass an IDS
 - Data intensive attacks may overload the system and thus reduce the effectiveness of the IDS/IPS

■ IDS/IPS additional usage:

- Can be used to evaluate the network perimeter devices
- Enforce security policy
- Source of forensic evidence

- IDS/IPS additional usage:
 - Alert to internal attacks

- Alert to buffer overflow attacks
- Detect Backdoors and Trojans

- IDS/IPS additional usage:
 - Protect application integrity
 - Protect database access
 - Protect against Domain Name Server exploits
 - Protect against Email based exploits

■ IDS/IPS additional usage:

 Delay system intrusion or misdirect hacker attacks

- What are the main threats to a system?
 - External
 - Generated by personnel outside the network with no initial authorized access to the system
 - Internal
 - Generated by personnel from inside the network with some form of authorized access to the system

- What are the main threats to a system?
 - Unstructured
 - Based on well known system security holes
 - Less likely to result in major incidents
 - Structured
 - Typically involve more elaborate attacks requiring a good understanding of a system's security holes

- Network attacks categories:
 - Information gathering attacks
 - Access attacks
 - Data retrieval
 - System access
 - Privilege escalation
 - Denial of Service attacks

Intrusion Detection Systems and Incident Handling 320/520

Intrusion
Detection/Prevention
Systems (IDS/IPS)
Categories,
Organization, IDS/IPS
Customization and
Management

- Intrusion Detection/Prevention System Categories:
 - Network Based
 - Wireless
 - Network Behaviour Analysis
 - Host Based

- Network Based IDS (NIDS)
 - Focuses on monitoring and analyzing network activity
 - Can handle different types of incidents
 - Typically involves multiple systems
- Wireless
 - Monitors and analyzes the wireless network protocols
 - Cannot handle attacks at the application or higher layer network protocols

- Network Behaviour Analysis (NBA)
 - Focused on detecting anomalies in the traffic flows and policy violations
- Host Based
 - Focused on a single system (host)
 - Can check for suspicious activity at different levels (user profile, system profile)

- Typical IDS/IPS components:
 - Agents
 - monitor and analyze system activity (typically one host)
 - Agent Management Server
 - collect and combine data from multiple agents
 - correlated data can help identify incidents that individual agents cannot detect
 - used for multiple system monitoring and may be multi-layered

- Typical IDS/IPS components:
 - Database Server
 - stores useful incident information by agent for analysis
 - Console
 - used to interface with the IDS
 - may allow only monitoring and analysis of traffic and incidents
 - may also enable device and ruleset configuration
- The components are connected via either the existing network or a separate network (to provide better security).

- IDS/IPS customization key tools/parameters
 - Abnormal/Normal behaviour limit
 - Black/White lists
 - Alert Grading
 - Code IDE

- IDS/IPS management
 - IDS/IPS design key aspects
 - Agent placement
 - Server, Database and Console analysis (are they needed, were should they be placed?)
 - System connectivity and interface with other components

- IDS/IPS management
 - IDS design key aspects
 - Security analysis of existing communication network
 - Reliability of overall design
 - IDS/IPS component security
 - Use different user privileges
 - Set limits on traffic and deploy access rules
 - Use encryption to secure communication

- IDS/IPS management
 - IDS/IPS component deployment
 - May require a testing stage
 - Appliance based components require basic configuration
 - Software based components involves a more elaborate hardening process

- IDS/IPS management
 - IDS/IPS maintenance requires:
 - To monitor the IDS components to determine and address possible security problems
 - Consistent checking of the IDS performance
 - Regular system vulnerability evaluations
 - Prompt updates of the IDS's appliance and software components

Intrusion Detection Systems and Incident Handling 320/520

Introduction to Packet Analysis

- Packet analysis process of collecting and analyzing traffic data
 - Aim: gain an understanding of what is happening in the network
 - Involves a packet sniffer such as TCPdump and Wireshark
 - Three stages:
 - Gather raw data
 - Convert data into usable format
 - Analyse packet information

- Packet Analysis Setup
 - Access to raw data can be a challenge
 - Most current switches and routers do not allow port mapping
 - Network equipment handles data in different ways sniffer deployment requires network physical organization analysis
 - Requires network cards with promiscuous mode capability
 - Promiscuous mode enables the capture of all traffic
 - If not in promiscuous mode, the traffic not addressed to it is dropped

- Packet Analysis Setup
 - Hub Case
 - Seldom encountered
 - Enables quick access to the data (via any free port on the hub) the traffic from all systems connected to the hub can captured
 - Data collected has to be filtered
 - Switch Case
 - Prevalent setup
 - Data capture can be done via port mirroring, hubbing out or ARP caching

- Port mirroring requires:
 - Privileges to access the interface of the switch that supports port mirroring
 - A port available for the packet sniffer
 - Careful estimate of traffic flow excess traffic will result in dropped packets
- Hub out requires:
 - Switch and hub setup
 - A port available for the packet sniffer

- ARP Cache Poisoning requires:
 - The use of ARP messages with fake MAC addresses

- Handling Wireless Setups
 - More difficult than the Ethernet setups
 - Wireless networks can have multiple channels with different frequencies
 - One channel can be monitored at any one time
 - To capture traffic, the target channel information is needed
 - Channel switching is needed when specific channel information is not available

- Handling Wireless Setups
 - Range of communication needs to be carefully considered - distance can lead to lost packets
 - Interference can lead to corrupted or lost packets
 - Wireless cards have different modes monitor mode allows packet sniffing

Introduction to WireShark

- Tool that enables packet analysis on both Windows and UNIX platforms
- Easier to use than TCPdump
- Uses a window based interface
- Provides support for most protocols
- Can be used capture live network data or use captured traffic files for analysis
- Data can be captured form different sources (for example Ethernet, wireless)
- Supports filters (similar to the TCPdump tool)

Introduction to WireShark

- Wireshark supports command line arguments
 - for packet capture and input/output options
 - specify filter options
- Wireshark Capture Filters
 - use TCPdump filters
 - one can target specific protocols and packet data
- Wireshark Display Filters
 - uses a different syntax
 - procesing is slower than capture filters

Introduction to Wireshark

- Name Resolution Capabilities
 - Three types: transport name resolution, network name resolution, MAC name resolution
 - Key for more effective packet capture by reducing the amount of data to be analyzed
- Name Resolution has some drawbacks
 - Incurs a processing penalty
 - Name resolution sometimes fails

Introduction to Wireshark

- Protocol Analysis Capabilities
 - Protocol can be divided into sections
 - User can select the tools to be used in the protocol data translation
- TCP Stream Following
 - Allows a detailed understanding of the traffic
 - Rather than having to check the low level information, the stream follow tool allows a higher level reconstruction of the traffic information

Using Wireshark

- To detect network scans
 - Can be the result of
 - normal activity
 - information gathering
 - worm activity
 - TCP ACK Scan
 - used to find open ports
 - analysis of logs provide evidence of connect attempts
 - easier to detect as the connection is completed and thus recorded

Using Wireshark

- TCP SYN Scan
 - connection not completed
 - · less likely to recorded
- Null Scan
 - Sends packets with invalid flag settings
 - Open packets drop the packets and do not respond whereas closed ports provide a reply
 - Only applicable to some Oses
- To analyze trojan traffic
 - requires prior trace

Intrusion Detection Systems and Incident Handling 320/520

Network Based
Intrusion
Detection/Prevention
Systems and Network
Behaviour Analysis
Systems

- Network Based Intrusion Detection (NBID)
 - Basic setup components:
 - Sensors: hardware & software
 - Management server/s desirable feature
 - Console
 - Database server/s optional feature
 - Monitoring may be done on several network components and involve a large number of sensors
 - Communication security is critical (separate network for management server is preferable)
 - Sensor placement and mode depends on the overall strategy

Sensor mode:

- Inline
 - Checks all traffic that passes through it
 - May block traffic is it is determined to be part of an attack
- Passive
 - All traffic going through the sensors can be processed
 - It uses a copy of the actual traffic
 - Approaches to capture traffic:
 - Spanning port
 - Network tap
 - Load Balancer

- Some NBIDs can collect data and store on:
 - Host information
 - Host operating system
 - Host application software
 - Network organization

- NBIDs also collect detailed information about events of interest:
 - Event class and rating
 - IP information
 - Port information
 - Protocol information
 - User information and privileges
 - Data exchanged statistics
 - Packet Information

```
NBIDs Detection and Analysis Capabilities:
   Application Layer
      Attacks (such as password guessing)
      Reconnaissance
      Specific protocol analysis (such as DNS or DHCP)
   Transport Layer
      Attacks (fragmentation)
      Reconnaissance (port scanning)
   Network Layer
      Attacks
      Reconnaissance
```

- NBIDs Detection and Analysis Capabilities:
 - Application services
 - Security Policy Violations

 Some NBID sensors can estimate the likelihood of a successful attack

- NBID typical limitations:
 - Traffic load
 - Number of connections & duration of connections
 - Protocols used for the communications
 - Secure communications that use encryption
 - Targeted "blinding" attacks can mask actual attacks

Network Based Intrusion Detection/Prevention Systems

- NBID Prevention Capabilities
 - Passive Approach
 - Applicable only to some scenarios involving the TCP protocol
 - Limited effectiveness
 - Involves session terminating commands
 - Inline Approach
 - Applicable to a wider range of scenarios
 - Approaches used:
 - Packet rejection
 - Bandwidth control
 - Content control

Network Based Intrusion Detection/Prevention Systems

- Combined Approach
 - Involves either devices reconfiguration or carrying out a predefined set of actions

- Network Based Analysis Systems (NBAS)
 - Basic setup components (similar to NBID):
 - Sensors: hardware & software
 - Management server/s desirable feature
 - Console
 - Monitoring may be done on one or several network components - generally the information gathered is about the flow from routers/switches
 - IP addresses
 - Port information
 - Session time information

- Similar to the NBID, communication security is important (separate network for management server is preferable)
- NBAS collect data that characterizes the "normal" activity in the network
 - Service information and associated ports
 - Host contact information

- In addition NBAS log information detailed information on incidents
 - Incident type and severity
 - IP addresses
 - Packet headers
 - Communication Statistics

- NBAS can detect
 - Denial of Service attacks
 - Uses bandwidth "norms"
 - May use information on known Denial of Service approaches
 - Scanning
 - Checks flow patterns for different communications layers
 - Worms
 - Checks port usage
 - Host list

- NBAS can detect
 - Anomalous services
 - Based on normal activity definition
 - Involves an application level protocol analysis
 - Unauthorized activity
 - Can be done at
 - User level
 - Service level
- NBAS good at detection intrusions that generate large amounts of traffic or "abnormal" flow activity

NBAS detection effectiveness

- Work well once the attacks that vary from established "normal" network flow activity
- Detection is delayed until the variation is picked by the analysis
- Slow attacks may occur for substantial amount of time
- Balance between number of actual vs false alerts requires long term monitoring and adjustments

- NBAS have some important drawbacks
 - Cannot stop attacks when they start
 - Require a significant amount of resources for flow analysis
 - Require information from network sensors to be available immediately to improve the effectiveness of the detection approach

- NBAS prevention approaches
 - Similar to the NDIS approaches
 - Can be inline, passive or both
 - Can reconfigure sensory and routing equipment
 - May require a predefined security set of rules

Intrusion Detection Systems and Incident Handling 320/520

Host Based Intrusion
Detection/Prevention
Systems and Network
Layer Attacks

- Host based IDS/IPS
 - Focused on a single system rather than a group of connected systems
 - Broader range of target information
 - Components:
 - Agent
 - Management server (often used when compiling data from more than one host)
 - Database server
 - Console

- Agent aim: to protect either a server, client system or service
- Unlike the NBIDS or NBAS, the communication is done via standard network
- If prevention capability is to be provided, this is done typically via a shim
 - Shims can be used to provide access to a wide range of resources
 - Allows for a more effective response and analysis

- Host IDS/IPS collect data on events of interest similar to the NBIDS
 - Incident class and severity
 - Port information
 - User information
 - Application information

- Host Based IDS/IPS Incident Detection
 - Depends on the detection approach used
 - Code based approaches
 - Buffer overflow detection
 - Code behaviour
 - System call analysis
 - Authorized/Unauthorized application list check

- Host Based IDS/IPS Incident Detection
 - Network Based approaches
 - Traffic Analysis
 - Traffic Filtering
 - File System Based approaches
 - File integrity checking
 - File access checking
 - File privileges checking

Host Based IDS/IPS Incident Detection

System and application log analysis based

Network setup analysis based

- Host Based IDS/IPS have a number drawbacks
 - Monitoring processes require substantial system resources and often result in a slowdown of the traffic or overall system response
 - Using several approaches to detect possibly malicious behaviour often can lead to false alarms when the overall context is not considered
 - Difficulty to operate with existing security software

- A Host Based IDS can handle a wide range of malicious activities and this is dependent on the detection approach employed
 - Code based malicious code not allowed to be executed
 - Network analysis based suspicious traffic can be dropped, file transfers can be stopped

- Filesystem analysis based access to system critical files can be refused
- Process analysis based key processes for security purposes (such as running an virus checker in the background) can be restarted if necessary

- Attacks can target specific communication layers but the overall goal (regardless of the layer used for the attack) is to gain access to restricted resources and/or cause damage to the system
- Network Layer attacks
 - Header abuses
 - Stack exploits
 - Bandwidth saturation

- Common Information Gathering and Attack Approaches
 - NMAP ICMP Ping gather information about a system/network
 - IP Spoofing used to conceal the actual origin of the attack by providing a false address

- Common Information Gathering and Attack Approaches
 - IP Fragmentation used to disguise an attack by dividing the malicious activity over multiple fragments which the IDS has to reconstruct in order to determine that attack is underway.

- Common Information Gathering and Attack Approaches
 - Low TTL values used to gather information about a network's setup
 - Smurf attack outdated approach that exploits the ICMP echo request to flood the target with ICMP echo response packets
 - DDoS attack with the aim of overwhelming the target with packets thus causing problems for the actual communications

- Common Information Gathering and Attack Approaches
 - Linux Kernel IGMP attack exploits the IGMP code

- How handle network layer attacks:
 - Use filtering
 - Routing protocol reconfiguration
 - Traffic analysis

Intrusion Detection Systems and Incident Handling 320/520

Wireless Intrusion
Detection/Prevention
Systems and Transport
and Application Layer
Attacks

- Wireless general setup
 - Station wireless capable devices such as laptops or mobile phones
 - Access point connects wireless capable devices to distribution system (wired infrastructure)
 - Wireless switch help managing the connectivity between stations and access points
 - Two types of networks:
 - Ad Hoc
 - Infrastructure

- Wireless IDS/IPS components similar to wired networked IDS/IPS
 - Sensors have added complexity due to the need to monitor wireless traffic
 - Wireless sensors sample rather than examine all packets this is due to the fact that wireless communications can be done via multiple channels
 - Sensors regularly switch channels to monitor all communications
 - Wireless sensors come in different variants
 - Dedicated
 - Bundled with the access point
 - Bundled with the wireless switch

- Wireless IDS/IPS sensor location
 - Critical problem because of the extra challenges involved in monitoring wireless traffic
 - Key factors:
 - Physical location security
 - Capture range
 - Wired network access
 - Cost
 - Access point and wireless switch location

- Wireless IDS/IPS collect and store data on wireless device and network organization
- Data related to events of interest is collected
 - Incident gravity
 - Session information
 - Channel information
 - Sensor information

- Wireless IDS/IPS Incident Detection
 - Rogue wireless devices
 - Wireless devices with security gaps
 - Failed access attempts
 - Anomalous communication patterns
 - Information gathering tools (war driving tools)
 - DOS attacks
 - Man-in-the-middle attacks

- Wireless IDS/IPS have some drawbacks:
 - Susceptible to evasion approaches fragmentation or "fast" attacks are more likely to be successful
 - Cannot detect passive surveillance
 - Can be compromised by insecure wireless protocols
 - Data collected for forensic purposes is only a sample thus understanding an attack approach is considerably more difficult
 - Physical attacks are easier to carry out as the sensors are often located in easily accessible areas

- Wireless IDS/IPS can handle incidents in two ways:
 - Session termination
 - Malicious traffic rejection

Transport Layer Attacks

Transport Layer Attacks types:

Connection resource exhaustion

Header abuses

Transport stack exploits

Transport Layer Attacks

- Most malicious activity is more focused on information gathering than out and out attacks
 - Port Scans attempt to determine services available from a target system
 - TCP Scans
 - Ack Scan
 - Syn Scan
 - Idle Scan
 - Null Scan
 - UDP Scans

Transport Layer Attacks

- Port Sweeps attempt to determine if a service is available on multiple target systems
 - Could be an indicator of an already compromised system
- TCP Prediction Attacks attack involves the injection of malicious data

 SYN Flood Attack - attack overwhelms target system with modified packets

Application Layer Attack

- Application layer attacks differ in the sense that they do not generally rely on lower layer exploits
- Three types of application layer attacks:
 - Programming bug exploits
 - Trust exploits
 - Resource Exhaustion

Application Layer Attacks

- Buffer Overflow Attacks relies on programming errors in an application's source code which renders it unable to handle all the data copied into it
 - It enables the hacker to control the execution of the application once the attack has been successful
- SQL Injection Attacks exploits query for databases
 - Enables the extraction or modification of the database information
 - Can be used to obtain administrator privileges (by resetting the system's password)

Application Layer Attacks

- Phishing attacks attack tricks legitimate user into disclosing account details
- Backdoor attacks attack enables the hacker to control a remote target system
 - Only the hacker has access to extra functionality which can lead to very serious consequences (system is used to attack and control other machines)
- Handling application layer attacks is challenging because it encryption and encoding schemes used.

Intrusion Detection Systems and Incident Handling 320/520

SNORT Intrusion Detection System

SNORT Introduction

- Many possible solutions: OSSEC, NAGIOS, NESSUS, SNORT
- SNORT commonly used free IDS; can fulfill several functions (packet sniffing, system alert and logging)
- SNORT hardware requirements:
 - Aim: to limit packet loss
 - Reasonably fast CPU
 - Large hard drive
 - Network sensors
- Once hardware setup determined, a detailed testing is required of both hardware and software.

- SNORT can be used on multiple platforms
 - UNIX, Windows, Mac OSX, Solaris, NetBSD
 - OS choice depends on the admin skill (UNIX based implementations tend to have a steeper learning curve) and overall version speed
 - Many add-ons available: OinkMaster, SnortReport
- How does it work?
 - Packet Decoders
 - Preprocessors

- Detection Engine
 - Rule Matching
 - Thresholding
- Alert and Logging

- Rule system is stateless
 - Problem what if the pattern encompasses situations in which stateful packet inspection is required?

SNORT's answer? Preprocessors

- Snort Preprocessors add significant analysis strength to Snort
 - Stream4
 - Frag2
 - HTTP_Inspect decode
 - RPC decode
- User can build their own preprocessors
 - Templates are available
 - Need to be linked to Snort

- Snort Output Plug-Ins
 - Several plug-ins are provided for multiple reporting formats
 - Data can be stored into databases as well as sent to UNIX sockets
 - User can write their own plug-in
 - Alternative is to write plug-in wrappers

- SNORT is highly customizable the users can define their own sets of rules
 - To handle new traffic patterns
 - Handle newly discovered malicious behaviour
- What is SNORT rule?
 - Set of instructions tailored for specific patterns which trigger predefined actions
 - Components:
 - Header
 - Instruction set
 - Rule usage:
 - Examine and analysis of traffic patterns
 - Fine tune alerts

- If a pattern condition cannot be defined, no rule can derived for that pattern!
- Snort rules use variables
 - Syntax is simple

```
var <desired_variable_name> <variable_value>
```

- Variables can be dynamic
- Snort rule headers contain signature information
 - Four categories: action, protocol, source and destination

Examples:

- var <variable_name> <value>
- portvar <variable_name><ports>
- ipvar <variable_name><ip's> to be used for IPV6 cases
- var INTERNAL_NET 192.168.1.0/24
- alert udp any any -> \$INTERNAL_NET 53 (msg:"DNS connection";)
- Variable can be overridden from command line –use the –S switch

- Rule check done in two steps: header + options
- Defines everything that is involved
- Header:
 - Specifies action, protocol, IPs, ports and direction

- Example
- alert tcp 192.168.1.0/24 any -> 10.1.1.0/24 any (msg:"Internal recon attempt via SA probe"; flags: SA;)

- Header? Required
- Options? Not required

Options:

- General (commonly used)
 - Msg, sid, classtype, priority
- Non-payload
 - TTL, fragoffset, sameip, fragbits, tos, flags, flow (established or stateless, to_server or to_client), stateless, seq
- Payload
 - Content offset, depth, distance, within, fast_pattern
- Post-detection logto, tag

- Snort rule enables several types of actions
 - Alert
 - Log
 - Ignore
 - Alert and then apply dynamic rule
- In addition Snort also enable custom rule actions
- What is good rule?
 - No simple answer…
 - · It should specific
 - It should precise
 - · It should be clear

- Performance is key!
 - How?
 - Check number of dropped packets
 - Use 3rd party tools
 - What are the common sense things to do?
 - Rules-set check
 - · Logs!

- Snort enables configuration tailoring via:
 - Config file
 - Uses a simple format that needs to be strictly followed
 - Command line
- Snort enables correlation of data for intrusion detection and traffic analysis

Snort provides multiple tools for tackling intrusion detection:

- Swatch
- SnortSnarf
- ACID
- SGUIL

- Snort can be combined with Barnyard
- Barnyard assists Snort with generating alert output
 - Uses Snort output and converts using one of the existing output plug-ins.
 - Allows Snort to do its analysis at a faster rate
 - Can be run in batch mode or continuous processing modes

Snort allows active response rather than just intrusion detection - tools available:

- Snortsam
- Fwsnort
- Snort_inline

Intrusion Detection Systems and Incident Handling 320/520

Incident Handling

Preparation, Response Kit and Documentation

- Computer Security Incident what is it?
 - Unauthorized or unlawful action involving a computer system
- What is the aim of incident handling?
 - Coordinated, concise and effective response
- Requires a clear setup and procedure
 - Preparation work is KEY to successful incident handling
 - Unlikely to find multiple identical incidents many variations possible
 - Response needs to allow for varying incidents and conditions
 - Reports produced need to be clear and specify all pertinent facts

- Preparation work:
 - Organization level
 - System security
 - User training
 - Data Backup
 - Response team level
 - · Build incident response kit
 - Team member training
- Incident response depends on severity of event
 - Requires information gathering (type of event, likely impact)
- Data collection is a key aspect of incident handling

- Individual system preparation
 - Record file checksum information of key files
 - Use tools such as MD5
 - Enable system and application logging
 - Revise the security defense arrangement of the system
 - Back-up data (to determine if anything is missing)

- Network preparation
 - Deploy IDS
 - Use encryption on traffic
 - Implement authentication system
 - Develop network topology to enables more effective monitoring

- Response
 - Legal
 - Administrative
- Event investigation
 - Who?
 - Why?
 - When?
 - How?
 - Where?

- Data collection and logging
 - Process must ensure data integrity
 - Two types of data
 - Host based data
 - Live data
 - Forensic duplication
 - Network based data
 - Logs
 - Traces

- Incident Handling Reporting
 - Documentation needs to be done in a timely manner - delays should be avoided
 - Documentation should be clear and easy to understand by all parties involved in the investigation
 - Documentation should be standardized and templates should be derived to enhance and speed up the process of documentation

Incident Response Kit

- Hardware
 - Requires higher end hardware
 - Should enable connectivity with varying systems
 - Disk space is critical especially for larger scale data collection
 - Mobility is key

Software

- Different OS versions
- Boot disks
- Software that enables viewing of all types of files
- Block level copy tools

- Incident Response
 - Compile information about the incident
 - system details
 - contained or not?
 - any measures applied?
 - Collect evidence
 - Host based
 - Network based
 - Other

- Incident Response
 - Interview relevant personnel
 - System administrators
 - Managers
 - End users
 - Consider factors that determine the response
 - Has something similar been handled before?
 - Cost?
 - Origin of incident?
 - Legal issues?