Partnering GM Notes

1. Definitions & Purposes

- Partnering is a commitment between two or more organizations to achieve common & specific business objections by maximizing the effectiveness of each party's capability through cooperation.
- A process to improve communication & relationships among those involved on a construction project to the benefit of all.
- Establish long-term contractual and cooperative relationships among all parties under a formal project charter based on spirit of trust and cooperation. It is also a moral agreement.
- Recognize the interest of all parties and balance sought between the competing interests.



Better project management

- Lower project costs, reduce project time and improve quality & buildability
- Better project documentation and communication, thus improving site management methods, project coordination, leading to improvement in safety, design and purchasing.
- ✓ Encourage innovation, waste reduction and better long-term profitability.
- ✓ More effective use of resources, achieve high level of efficiency and result in savings
- ✓ Reduce risks and uncertainties. & aim at equitable risk allocation.

Resolving disputes

- It is not a contract but a covenant of good faith.
- Decision made in a partnering meeting can either be informal or legally binding, documents part of the contractual regime. The presence of good faith or equivalent procedures as a situation in which equity will give a remedy on breach. To that extent, the words can produce an enforceable agreement.
- Provide resolution process to settle disputes.

Problem solving

 Encourage parties to openly address any problem, creatively and collaboratively search for solutions when problems arise.

2. Types of partnering

(a) Project Partnering

It is a process to **establish productive working relationship** among all parties on a project. The partnering process establishes working relationships **through a mutually developed**, **formal strategy** based on cooperation.

Joanna Kwok Page 1/5

Partnering GM Notes

(b) Strategic Partnering

It is a long-term relationship between two or more organizations. Improvements are driven by benchmarking and "gain share" arrangements in preference to competitive tendering.

3. Forms of partnering:

- between client and MC
- between MC and supplier
- between client and consultant
- among subsidiaries / divisions of a company

4. Reasons for uncommon of Partnering in HK

- Both developers and MC hesitate to apply partnering
 - → : they don't understand the functions and purposes of partnering and lack experience in it.
- Adversarial industry culture and conditions of contract
- The selection of lowest tenders with decision based on price rather than value which discourage partnering
- > The fragmented system of multi-layer subcontracting system
- A lack of commitment from the management structure will result in the charter failing.
- Mistrust attitude and lack of communication
- Confidentiality can be lost with the possibility of sensitive information being passes onto competitors
- > It is difficult to apply in some project situations, e.g. where an employer passes as much risk as possible onto the MC whilst expecting and forcing lower contract sum
- > Decisions made in partnering meeting may not be legally binding
- Parties are not willing to pay for the cost of implementing, maintaining and complying with the procedures as stated in the partnering charter
- Erosion of competition in public sector contracts
- Parties need to commit high caliber person for long periods to ensure that efforts of partnering team move towards the agreed objectives
- Low investment in training and improvement

Solutions:

Therefore, **cultural change** is needed to make partnering a success. The key is **open & trustworthy communication** from both sides.

Joanna Kwok Page 2/5

5. Most suitable types of organization for partnering

Public sectors like MTRC, KCRC, Housing Authority, CLP and HKE, because they are undertaking a series of projects with similar nature, either new development or maintenance contracts.

6. Partnering Workshops (training process)

Aims

> Facilitate participants to understand better project objectives

> Focus on creative co-operation

> Avoid adversarial confrontation

> Build working relationships based on mutual respect, trust and integrity

> Establish a more dynamic project organizational structure and clear line of communication

> Develop a formal problem solving and dispute avoidance mechanism

First Partnering Workshop

To establish mutual objectives that give all members by requiring everyone to be totally open about their own interests. Once all interests have been stated, they are openly discussed and prioritize them.

To establish how problems will be resolved quickly without attempting to allocate blame at a technical, managerial or political level so that they do not lead to disputes.

All outcomes of the first partnering workshop should be recorded in a Partnering Charter signed by everyone to indicate their commitment to what has been agreed.

Some standard forms of contract used with partnering incorporate the Partnering Charter in formal contracts.

Follow-up Workshops

To be held throughout the project to ensure partnering is being well used to achieve the agreed mutual objectives and performance improvement.

Final Workshop

> To capture the lessons that benefit future projects and celebrate a successful project.

7. Suggested provisions to be included in a Partnering Charter

7.1 Good faith

There is an implied positive duty to perform a contract in good faith under common law.

> However, to avoid doubt, it is suggested to incorporate express provision in the charter.

7.2 Estoppel and waiver

During the partnering process, the contracting parties may make representations to each other which will not be consistent with the contract provisions, but upon which they will rely.

Partnering

Parties in dispute may find that they are unable to enforce their strict contractual rights because of the operation of the doctrines of waiver or estoppel.

By incorporating a procedure in the partnering charter, a party's right to insist on performance in accordance with the contract arising from arrangements which may attract the operation of the doctrines of waiver or estoppel shall be denied.

7.3 Privileged discussions

Statements made in the course of the partnering process are not privileged, and could lead as evidence in subsequent adversarial proceedings.

▶ To ensure that good faith disclosures & concessions (護步) do not become limited because of concerns that the statements may be used in future proceedings

→ the partnering charter should expressly address the issue.

If discussions between the participants result in a variation to their contract or a discharge of an obligation under the contract

→ the parties should ensure that the variation or discharge is appropriately documented.

The partnering charter should provide a procedure for recording such variations.

7.4 Misleading and deceptive (欺詐) conduct

➤ Under the Misrepresentation Ordinance, parties to partnering may assert (堅持) that representations made in the course of the process amount to misrepresentation conduct.

So, participants should be fully aware of the importance of their conduct and any statements made during the development and conclusion of any partnering arrangement.

7.5 Confidentiality

It may involve disclosure of confidential information in the partnering process.

A confidentiality clause should be contained in the partnering charter

> to protect confidential information disclosed

→ by participants by prohibiting it from being disclosed to parties other than the participants, and for purposes other than the partnering process. Partnering GM Notes

7.6 Fiduciary (信託) relations

Courts may impose fiduciary obligations upon parties to a contract if the relationship between them shows that they are putting themselves in a position where they are placing reliance upon each other to act in each other's interests.

- ▶ In case of JV, the parties to a partnering arrangement owe fiduciary obligations to each other which impinge (侵犯) upon their freedom to act in their own self-interest.
- > To avoid the consequences of fiduciary obligations, express provisions should be included in the partnering charter.
 - → A provision could be inserted which purports to totally exclude the possibility of those obligations from arising, or define the scope of the obligations for the purpose of the partnering process.

Joanna Kwok Page 5/5

