Homework 2 Out: Feb. 12, 2019
Due: March 1st, 2019

This problem set is officially due on March 1st to ensure that the due date is not over Spring Break. However, you may hand it in as late as 11:59pm on Monday, March 4th without penalty.

For all homework problems where you are asked to give an algorithm, you must prove the correctness of your algorithm and establish the best upper bound that you can give for the running time. You should always write a clear informal description of your algorithm in English. You may also write pseudocode if you feel your informal explanation requires more precision and detail (it is never a bad idea to describe your algorithm both in English prose and in pseudocode). As always, try to make your answers as clear and concise as possible.

- Explain how to solve the following two problems using heaps. (No credit if you're not using heaps!) First, give an  $O(n \log k)$  algorithm to merge k sorted lists with n total elements into one sorted list. Second, say that a list of numbers is k-close to sorted if each number in the list is less than k positions from its actual place in the sorted order. (Hence, a list that is 1-close to sorted is actually sorted.) Give an  $O(n \log k)$  algorithm for sorting a list of n numbers that is k-close to sorted.
- Consider an algorithm for integer multiplication of two n-digit numbers where each number is split into three parts, each with n/3 digits.
  - (a)—Design and explain such an algorithm, similar to the integer multiplication algorithm (i.e., Karatsuba's algorithm) presented in class. Your algorithm should describe how to multiply the two integers using only six multiplications on the smaller parts (instead of the straightforward nine).
  - (b)—Determine the asymptotic running time of your algorithm. Would you rather split it into two parts (with three multiplications on the smaller parts) as in Karatsuba's algorithm?
  - (e)—Suppose you could use only five multiplications instead of six. Then determine the asymptotic running time of such an algorithm. In this case, would you rather split it into two parts or three parts?
  - (d) Challenge problem— this is optional, and not worth any points. Solving it will simply impress the instructor. Find a way to use only five multiplications on the smaller parts. Can you generalize to when the two initial n-digit numbers are split into k parts, each with n/k digits? Hint: also consider multiplication by a constant, such as 2; note that multiplying by 2 does not count as one of the five multiplications. You may need to use some linear algebra.
- An inversion in an array A[1,...,n] is a pair of indices (i,j) such that i < j and A[i] > A[j]. The number of inversions in an n-element array is between 0 (if the array is sorted) and  $\binom{n}{2} = n \cdot (n-1)/2$ . (if the array is sorted backward). Describe and analyze an algorithm to count the number of inversions in an n-element array in  $O(n \log n)$  time. [Hint: Modify mergesort].
- 4. Recall that when running depth first search on a directed graph, we classified edges into 4 categories: tree edges, forward edges, back edges, and cross edges (refer to Mitzenmacher's lecture notes at http://people.cs.georgetown.edu/jthaler/ANLY550/lec3.pdf for the definitions).
  - Prove that if a graph G is undirected, then any depth first search of G will never encounter a cross edge.
- 5. In the shortest-path algorithm we are concerned with the *total length* of the path between a source and every other node. Suppose instead that we are concerned with the length of the *longest edge* between the source and every node. That is, the *bottleneck* of a path is defined to be the length of the longest edge in the path. Design

an efficient algorithm to solve the single source smallest bottleneck problem; i.e. find the paths from a source to every other node such that each path has the smallest possible bottleneck.

- Consider the shortest paths problem in the special case where all edge costs are non-negative integers. Describe a modification of Dijkstra's algorithm that works in time  $O(|E| + |V| \cdot M)$ , where M is the maximum cost of any edge in the graph.
- The risk-free currency exchange problem offers a risk-free way to make money. Suppose we have currencies  $c_1, \ldots, c_n$ . (For example,  $c_1$  might be dollars,  $c_2$  rubles,  $c_3$  yen, etc.) For every two currencies  $c_i$  and  $c_j$  there is an exchange rate  $r_{i,j}$  such that you can exchange one unit of  $c_i$  for  $r_{i,j}$  units of  $c_j$ . Note that if  $r_{i,j} \cdot r_{j,i} > 1$ , then you can make money simply by trading units of currency i into units of currency j and back again. This almost never happens, but occasionally (because the updates for exchange rates do not happen quickly enough) for very short periods of time exchange traders can find a sequence of trades that can make risk-free money. That is, if there is a sequence of currencies  $c_{i_1}, c_{i_2}, \ldots, c_{i_k}$  such that  $r_{i_1,i_2} \cdot r_{i_2,i_3} \ldots \cdot r_{i_{k-1},i_k} \cdot r_{i_k,i_1} > 1$ , then trading one unit of  $c_{i_1}$  into  $c_{i_2}$  and trading that into  $c_{i_3}$  and so on will yield a profit.

Design an efficient algorithm to detect if a risk-free currency exchange exists. (You need not actually find it.)