## **ANLY561 Homework 8**

## **Hongyang Zheng**

## Question1

a)

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 3(x_1 - 1)^2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \nabla h_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \nabla h_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

When  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , we have:

$$\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \nabla h_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \nabla h_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore we have  $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = -\nabla h_2(\mathbf{x}^*)$ , implying they are not linear independent.

As a result, Linear Independence Constraint Qualification fails at  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

b) ¶

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 3(x_1 - 1)^2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \nabla h_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \nabla h_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

1. (Stationarity) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_1 \begin{pmatrix} 3(x_1-1)^2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_1 \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- 2. (Primal Feasibility)  $g_1(\mathbf{x}) = 0; h_1(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, h_2(\mathbf{x}) \le 0$
- 3. (Dual Feasibility)  $\mu_1 \ge 0, \mu_2 \ge 0$
- 4. (Complementary Slackness)  $\mu_1 h_1(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  and  $\mu_2 h_2(\mathbf{x}) = 0$

c)

When 
$$x_1 = 1$$
 and  $x_2 = 0$ ,  $h_1 = -1$ , and  $h_2 = 0$ 

According to complementary slackness, we have  $\mu_1=0$ 

Therefore, to satisfy stationarity, we need 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore,  $1 + \lambda_1 * 0 + \mu_2 * 0 = 0$  and there is no such  $\lambda_1, \mu_2$ 

As a result,  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  does not satisfy the KKT conditions.

From the constraints we know that  $x_2 + (x_1 - 1)^3 \le 0$ , since  $x_2 \ge 0$ ,  $(x_1 - 1)^3 \le 0$ .

Therefore,  $(x_1 - 1) \le 0$  and  $x_1 \le 1$ .

Since  $x_1 \ge 0, x_1 \in [0, 1]$ 

To maximum the program  $x_1$ , we have  $x_1^* = 1$ .

When  $x_1^* = 1$ , plug it into the constraint  $x_2 + (x_1 - 1)^3 \le 0$ , we get  $x_2^* = 0$ 

Therefore, the solution to this program is  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

## Question2

a)

The constraints are:

$$h_1 = x_1 - 1 \le 0, h_2 = -x_1 \le 0$$
  
 $h_3 = x_2 - 1 \le 0, h_4 = -x_2 \le 0$   
 $h_5 = x_3 - 1 \le 0, h_6 = -x_3 \le 0$ 

Since they are all in the form  $ax_1 + bx_2 + cx_3 + d \le 0$ , they are all affine functions.

When the constraints are all affine, Linear Independence Constraint Qualification holds.

Therefore, the solution to this program must satisfy the KKT conditions.

b)

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 6x_1 - 2x_2 \\ -2x_1 + 6x_2 - 2x_3 \\ -2x_2 + 6x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \nabla h_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \nabla h_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \nabla h_3(\mathbf{x}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \nabla h_4(\mathbf{x}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \nabla h_5(\mathbf{x}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \nabla h_6(\mathbf{x}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

1. (Stationarity)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 6x_1 - 2x_2 \\ -2x_1 + 6x_2 - 2x_3 \\ -2x_2 + 6x_3 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_2 \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_5 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_6 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- 2. (Primal Feasibility)  $h_1(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, h_2(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, h_3(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, h_4(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, h_5(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, h_6(\mathbf{x}) \le 0$
- 3. (Dual Feasibility)  $\mu_1 \ge 0, \mu_2 \ge 0, \mu_3 \ge 0, \mu_4 \ge 0, \mu_5 \ge 0, \mu_6 \ge 0$
- 4. (Complementary Slackness)  $\mu_i h_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  for  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$

Since the constraints are all affine, we only need to check the points on the boundary.

(1) When 
$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $\mu_1 = \mu_3 = \mu_5 = 0$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_2 \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_6 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We get  $\mu_2 = \mu_4 = \mu_6 = 0$ .

At this point,  $h_1 = h_3 = h_5 = -1 \le 0$ , and  $h_2 = h_4 = h_6 = 0 \le 0$ 

Therefore, KKT is satisfied.

(2) When 
$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $\mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_5 = 0$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_6 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We get  $\mu_1 = -6$ ,  $\mu_4 = -2$ ,  $\mu_6 = 0$ .

Since  $\mu_1 = -6 < 0$ ,  $\mu_4 = -2 < 0$ , KKT is not satisfied.

(3) When 
$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $\mu_1 = \mu_4 = \mu_5 = 0$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 6 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_2 \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_6 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We get  $\mu_2 = 2$ ,  $\mu_3 = -6$ ,  $\mu_6 = -2$ .

Since  $\mu_3 = -6 < 0$ ,  $\mu_6 = -2 < 0$ , KKT is not satisfied.

(4) When 
$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $\mu_1 = \mu_3 = \mu_6 = 0$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -2 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_2 \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_5 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We get  $\mu_2 = 0$ ,  $\mu_4 = -2$ ,  $\mu_5 = -6$ .

Since  $\mu_4=-2<0, \mu_5=-6<0$ , KKT is not satisfied.

(5) When 
$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $\mu_2 = \mu_4 = \mu_5 = 0$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_6 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We get 
$$\mu_1 = -4$$
,  $\mu_3 = -4$ ,  $\mu_6 = -2$ .

Since  $\mu_1, \mu_3, \mu_6$  are less than zero, KKT is not satisfied.

(6) When 
$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $\mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_6 = 0$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ -4 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_5 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We get 
$$\mu_1 = -6$$
,  $\mu_4 = -4$ ,  $\mu_5 = -6$ .

Since  $\mu_1, \mu_4, \mu_5$  are less than zero, KKT is not satisfied.

(7) When 
$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $\mu_1 = \mu_4 = \mu_6 = 0$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2\\4\\4 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_2 \begin{pmatrix} -1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_5 \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We get 
$$\mu_2 = -2$$
,  $\mu_3 = -4$ ,  $\mu_5 = -4$ .

Since  $\mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_5$  are less than zero, KKT is not satisfied.

(8) When 
$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $\mu_2 = \mu_4 = \mu_6 = 0$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu_5 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We get 
$$\mu_1 = -4$$
,  $\mu_3 = -2$ ,  $\mu_5 = -6$ .

Since  $\mu_1, \mu_3, \mu_5$  are less than zero, KKT is not satisfied.

As a result, 
$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 satisfies KKT conditions.

d

When 
$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $f(x) = 0$ 

Therefore, the minimum value is 0 and the minimizer is  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .