Date: 05/31/2005 Session: Regular

1	NEW YORK STATE SENATE
2	
3	
4	THE STENOGRAPHIC RECORD
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	ALBANY, NEW YORK
10	May 31, 2005
11	3:10 p.m.
12	
13	
14	REGULAR SESSION
15	
16	
17	
18	LT. GOVERNOR MARY O. DONOHUE, President
19	STEVEN M. BOGGESS, Secretary
20	
21	
22	

THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number

- 16 432, by Senator Saland, Senate Print 3192, an
- 17 act to amend the Education Law.
- 18 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Explanation.
- 19 THE PRESIDENT: Senator Saland,
- an explanation has been requested.
- 21 SENATOR SALAND: Thank you, Madam
- 22 President.

10 2000.

- 23 Madam President, this is a bill
- 24 which has twice in the past three or four
- years passed this house unanimously.

Candyco Transcription Service, Inc. (518) 371-8910

1	What the bill proposes to do is to
2	say that certain schools and currently
3	there are some 28 so-called consortium
4	schools, schools which belong to the New York
5	Performance Standards Consortium would
5	continue to have the benefit of a variance or
7	waiver which they received back in 1995 from
8	former Education Commissioner Sobel. That
9	variance was renewed for one year in the year

1	.1	There was commissioned by the
1	.2	current commissioner, Commissioner Mills, a
1	.3	so-called blue-ribbon panel which was supposed
1	.4	to evaluate the consortium schools, also
1	.5	referred to in this bill as portfolio
1	.6	performance schools, and also, at the same
1	.7	time, to propose some type of evaluation of
1	.8	the Regents.
1	.9	Well, in fact, at the conclusion of
2	10	their deliberations, that panel of some six
2	1	members, four of whom I believe were
2	2	consultants for the Education Department, they
2	3	basically came forward and said there should
2	4	be such an evaluation and that, in effect, was
2	15	their recommendation.

1	And to this day, no such evaluation
2	of either these schools nor the Regents has
3	yet to occur.
4	What this bill does is to say that
5	the students currently enrolled, if they were
6	enrolled as of the 2000-2001 year, would be

7	required, as they currently are, to pass the
8	English Regents. Those who entered in 2002
9	for the 2002-2003 year would also be required
10	to pass the English Regents I'm sorry, the
11	math Regents.
12	And the commissioner would be
13	required to develop a portfolio
14	performance-based alternative assessment which
15	would measure, in effect, the equivalent
16	knowledge and skill for each of the Regents
17	areas. And among the conditions that would
18	have to be met would be that those assessments
19	would measure the state learning standards for
20	each of the respective content areas or
21	Regents areas.
22	This bill I guess in some respects
23	encapsulates a battle that's been going on in
24	this country for many, many years and is
25	currently certainly the source of many of the

3044

l concerns raised by No Child :	Left Behind.
---------------------------------	--------------

What this bill says is high

3	stakes there are some students who do not
4	necessarily perform well in high-stakes
5	testing situations. Those students may choose
6	to opt to take some other means of education.
7	The consortium offers that means of education
8	by a performance-based system, what at times
9	some people refer to as a portfolio-based
10	system.
11	The results seemingly are rather
12	impressive. Many of you may have received a
13	fact sheet. That fact sheet, which members of
14	the consortium were handing out, that fact
15	sheet talks about the fact that they, the
16	consortium, their 28 schools send more
17	students to college, nearly 88 percent,
18	compared to 70 percent of so-called Regents
19	schools. They have lower dropout rates, about
20	half the dropout rate in the public school
21	system.
22	And they go on to say that only
23	15.6 percent of the students entering
24	consortium schools meet state standards in
25	English. They ultimately outperform their

1	Regents counterparts on the English Regents
2	77.4 percent to 72.7 percent.
3	I fear that this has become very
4	territorial. What has happened is those who
5	are, in effect, in a position to call the
6	shots here in the education world whether
7	it be the commissioner, whether it be the
8	Regents I think feel somewhat put upon by
9	this proposal. They feel that their Regents
10	standards should be, to the exclusion of any
11	other means of education, the tool by which we
12	educate children.
13	Many of these very same themes echo
14	in No Child Left Behind. And we certainly
15	have seen much of the criticism that has
16	surrounded No Child Left Behind, not only in
17	this state but really virtually throughout the
18	country.
19	So this is merely an effort to
20	recognize that there are other means by which
21	children can be educated other than
22	
22	THE PRESIDENT: Go ahead,

SENATOR SALAND: -- other than

1	testing.
2	It recognizes the fact that these
3	schools at one time actually received a waiver
4	to pursue this course of education. Assuming
5	the data is correct, and I have no reason to
6	dispute it, they seem to be doing a very fine
7	job of educating the students for whom they
8	are responsible to educate.
9	And I see no reason why we should
10	not continue to pursue this means for those
11	students who are currently taking advantage of
12	it and for those who may wish to follow. This
13	would permit this particular alternative
14	educational program or programs to continue
15	through the year 2008.
16	Hopefully we can have the kind of
17	evaluation, both of the Regents and of the
18	consortium schools, that many had thought was
19	going to be forthcoming some five or six years
20	ago. There's no reason to basically turn your

21	back on what by all appearances seems to be a
22	very successful means of educating children.
23	THE PRESIDENT: Senator
24	Montgomery.
25	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes, Madam
	Candyco Transcription Service, Inc. (518) 371-8910
	3047
1	President. I would like to ask if the sponsor
2	would yield to a question, just for
3	clarification.
4	THE PRESIDENT: Senator, will you
5	yield for a question?
6	SENATOR SALAND: Yes, Madam

THE PRESIDENT: You may proceed,

SENATOR MONTGOMERY: It is my

understanding, Senator Saland, that the bill

waiver, that it would direct the commissioner

proposes, in addition to extension of the

of State Education Department to develop a

portfolio assessment system which would then

be offered to districts throughout the state.

President.

Senator Montgomery.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17	SENATOR SALAND: I believe I
18	referred to that, although perhaps not as
19	extensively as you did.
20	What that assessment would be would
21	be a means of measuring that the students who
22	availed themselves or the school that availed
23	themselves of this type of an educational tool
24	would have to demonstrate, to the satisfaction
25	of the standards or assessment established by
	Candyco Transcription Service, Inc. (518) 371-8910

3048

2 receiving the equivalent of the education that -- the equivalent of the Regents education that enabled them to attain the 5 learning standards. SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Madam President, if I may continue to inquire of 7 Senator Saland. 9 THE PRESIDENT: Senator Saland, 10 will you yield for a question? 11 SENATOR SALAND: Yes. 12 THE PRESIDENT: You may proceed,

the commissioner, that in fact they were

13	Senator Montgomery.
14	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Okay,
15	Senator Saland, does that mean, then, that you
16	have also included a budget item, per the
17	assessment of the commissioner, as to what
18	such a process would cost?
19	SENATOR SALAND: There will be a
20	cost.
21	Quite candidly, I will say this
22	rather unabashedly, the Ed Department has
23	played fast and loose with the facts,
24	including some of the characterizations
25	regarding NCLB and including what they view as

1	being the fiscal consequences. Their budget
2	note that they presented said there would be
3	\$7.9-plus million in fiscal impact. We would
4	say that it would be about \$3 million more
5	than that.
6	They certainly have the ability to
7	begin that process. And if for any reason
8	they don't have the adequate funds as we

9	progress through the calendar year, we
10	certainly would have the ability in the next
11	budget cycle to make those funds available to
12	them. So this would not fail for lack of the
13	ability to provide funding.
14	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Through you,
15	Madam President, if Senator Saland would
16	continue to yield.
17	THE PRESIDENT: Senator Saland,
18	do you continue to yield?
19	SENATOR SALAND: May I also point
20	out that there is a two-year delay on the
21	effective date. So, you know, this is not
22	going to hit them between the eyes.
23	THE PRESIDENT: You may proceed,
24	Senator Montgomery.
25	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes. Does

1	this mean, then, that we would be since we
2	have not raised or included the funding for
3	the CFE, does that mean, then, that we're now
4	nitting CEE funding for CEE with your proposa

5	to create an alternative assessment?
6	SENATOR SALAND: I wouldn't think
7	so. It confounds me how you could come to
8	that conclusion.
9	I mean, in the scheme of the
10	comparison of CFE and this issue, you're not
11	talking pocket change, you're talking
12	you're not even talking pennies by comparison.
13	We're not talking \$19-plus billion, we're
14	talking about the ability to provide a
15	mechanism that will evaluate students. That's
16	all.
17	And we're asking that the
18	commissioner establish the protocols by which
19	that will occur. And the commissioner has
20	said that that's going to cost nearly
21	\$8 million. We've asked Senate Finance to
22	take a look at it, and they say the number is
23	more closely aligned to \$5 million.
24	And as I mentioned before, I stand
25	prepared to assist the commissioner if that's

- 1 an issue in his next year's budget. But the
- 2 likelihood is it won't be an issue until the
- 3 following year's budget because of the
- 4 effective date.
- 5 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you,
- 6 Senator Saland.
- 7 Madam President, on the bill.
- 8 THE PRESIDENT: You may proceed.
- 9 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: While
- 10 Senator Saland says that the money is not
- important and anyway we won't have to worry
- 12 about it until the effective date of the
- legislation, clearly if, in fact, the bill
- 14 passes and the commissioner is instructed to
- begin to develop this tool, I would assume
- that the money is going to be needed to even
- 17 begin the process.
- 18 And while Senator Saland certainly
- refers to perhaps we'll spend \$4 million as
- 20 opposed to \$7 million or \$5 million as opposed
- 21 to \$10 million, whatever, however the -- he
- 22 says that the commissioner is playing fast and
- loose, we will need some money for this. And
- it's not included in the legislation.
- So I'm not sure that we won't get

1	to the point and then Senator Saland will say:
2	Well, you find the money, take it out of
3	somewhere else and CFE, whatever else there
4	is. You find the money, because the
5	legislation says you have to do this.
6	So I just wanted to point that out.
7	That is certainly a weakness in this bill.
8	I'm opposing this proposal. And
9	one of the things that Chief Justice Earl
10	Warren said when he issued the Brown decision
11	was that separate is not equal. So I say to
12	you, my colleagues, today, different is not
13	equal.
14	Now, I am all in favor of
15	alternative assessments. And I think that
16	there are a number of young people who
17	certainly would not be able to sufficiently
18	effectively be able to pass these assessments.
19	But as we are finding, since we set the bar at
20	the Regents diploma level, more and more young
21	people, particularly young people of color in
22	New York City, are passing the Regents.

23	So the idea that they couldn't do
24	it, which was the attitude that a lot of
25	people had unfortunately, even educators

1	had in past years doesn't hold, does it?
2	Because many of them are actually passing it.
3	And in fact, in past years when we
4	had some other kind of diploma and then we had
5	the Regents, it still was two different
6	systems of education. Many students were not
7	even offered the opportunity to pass the
8	Regents to take the Regents, let alone
9	being prepared to pass it.
10	So it wasn't that the students
11	couldn't do it, it was that people assumed,
11	couldn't do it, it was that people assumed, because maybe of their social status, their
12	because maybe of their social status, their
12	because maybe of their social status, their parents, where they lived, whatever other
12 13 14	because maybe of their social status, their parents, where they lived, whatever other indicators that people used to determine who
12 13 14 15	because maybe of their social status, their parents, where they lived, whatever other indicators that people used to determine who can possibly meet the standards bar. And it

19	diploma that was second-class to the Regents.
20	Now, we are, as the Legislature,
21	recreating the same situation. How can we in
22	good conscience be doing this in this century
23	at this time, when our young people have to
24	compete with the whole world, not only with
25	people in their own country but people all

1	over the world?
2	And so we're creating a
3	second-class system. And the reason that I
4	say we're creating a second-class system is
5	because we are not only are we extending
6	the waiver for the few schools that are now
7	the alternative schools, appropriately, but we
8	are extending it statewide and making it a
9	standard of graduation while we leave in place
10	the Regents.
11	Now, I say to you either you have
12	one standard and everybody understands that
13	there is an alternative to graduation, but
14	that alternative clearly is not the same as

15	the standard that everybody else is going to
16	use to measure people we all should
17	understand that or we shouldn't have a
18	Regents, we should all have the portfolio
19	system. This way, everybody is measured by
20	the same thing.
21	I think this creates an opportunity
22	for us to once again go back to a system where
23	some people are portfolio students and others
24	are Regents and you know who is going to fall
25	under what rubric.

1	Now, I just remind my colleagues
2	that every education leader in our state and
3	city are opposing this process. And I just
4	want to read from the chancellor's memo. It
5	says: "The current Regents learning standards
6	represent an enormous investment of time and
7	human and material resources. They reflect
8	years of careful consultation by the Board of
9	Regents with a broad cross-section of experts
10	and educational stakeholders in an open and

11	transparent process."
12	They are aligned with the
13	educational standards developed by the
14	Regents. And I know that many people in here
15	don't want the Regents at all; they would like
16	to do the whole education system by politics,
17	by political fiat. But we do have the
18	Regents. And in fact, the Regents standards
19	are held by a lot of the people around the
20	country. Many educators say we have very high
21	standards, and they praise us.
22	In addition, the Department of
23	Education has done a lot of work with
24	different groups in different districts to
25	make a transition to higher standards. And I

1	suggest that if in fact the schools that we're
2	talking about that are clearly alternative
3	schools, and they have a mixed record of
4	success certainly some of them are very
5	successful, others are successful to the same
6	extent as the charter schools are successful,

7	to the same extent as public schools generally
8	are successful that the State Ed
9	Department, the commissioner has agreed to
10	work with them.
11	I would hope that we would leave
12	this important decision to people in the
13	Department of Education, in higher education,
14	the chancellor, the education leaders around
15	the state. Why don't we let them do what they
16	are paid to do and that they have the
17	experience to do? That they believe in young
18	people, that they hopefully won't be
19	politicized to the point where they are
20	willing to throw out the standards of the
21	Regents because that's no longer that's no
22	longer politically correct. Never mind, we
23	don't have a statewide way of comparing if my
24	students, students in my district can compete
25	with students in Senator Saland's district or

3057

1 Senator Johnson's district or anybody in here.

2 If my students pass the Regents and

3	your students pass the Regents, then I can be
4	assured reasonably that my students are on the
5	same par with yours. But if my students pass
6	the assessment and yours do the Regents, what
7	are you going to say to me? You're going to
8	stand up and try to tell me that there's
9	something wrong with my students.
10	So, Madam President, I'm opposed to
11	this. I think that it moves us backward,
12	educationally speaking. We went through years
13	and years and years of debate and work around
14	establishing a statewide standard, especially
15	in light of the Brown versus the Topeka Board
16	of Ed. It's the same issue. As long as we
17	have a second-class standard of measurement
18	for one group or for one part of the state and
19	another standard for the other part of the
20	state or the other group, we are in violation
21	of Brown versus Board of Ed.
22	So I would ask if Senator Saland
23	and my colleagues would reassess this. At
24	least we should limit it to those schools that
25	already have the waiver, give them a little

```
1 bit more time. But they should be required to
```

- 2 work with the commissioner to move into the
- 3 standardized bar of measurement.
- Now, if they can't do it, then we
- 5 can talk about what is an alternative. But I
- 6 do not support giving the authority to
- 7 districts around the state, especially to
- 8 broadening this so that it becomes part of our
- 9 educational system so, once again, we in the
- 10 Legislature would be responsible for
- legislating a second-class, two-tiered
- 12 education system.
- I oppose it, Madam President. I'll
- vote no.
- THE PRESIDENT: Senator Stavisky.
- 16 SENATOR STAVISKY: Madam
- 17 President, if the sponsor would yield to a
- 18 number of questions.
- 19 SENATOR SALAND: Yes, Madam
- 20 President.
- 21 THE PRESIDENT: Senator Saland
- 22 will yield for a question.
- 23 SENATOR STAVISKY: Through you,
- 24 Madam President.

1	Montgomery the fact that the State Education
2	Department would have to develop an assessment
3	program for the portfolio-based schools. And
4	yet, from what I understand, it's simply a
5	plan. There's no enforcement.
6	If they're going to have rules and
7	regulations, then they should be followed.
8	And it's my understanding that this bill does
9	not require the portfolio schools to accept
10	the SED regulations. Is that correct?
11	SENATOR SALAND: That is not
12	correct.
13	This requires this bill has a
14	2008 sunset.
15	SENATOR STAVISKY: I'm sorry, I
16	can't hear you.
17	SENATOR SALAND: This bill is
18	good through 2008. It requires the
19	commissioner to prepare the means by which the
20	portfolio performance schools can be assessed

21	trying to equate apples to apples, holding
22	their assessment to the standards of the
23	learning standards.
24	Failure to do that failure to do
25	that, if you can't be evaluated and if you

1	can't meet the learning standards, then you
2	would be treated as would any other school in
3	any other location in any place in this state.
4	If you don't have the ability to provide the
5	education that's required either by your
6	standards as measured by the commissioner's
7	evaluation, then you've heard of things
8	called SURR schools? You've heard I mean,
9	there are consequences for failure to do that.
10	SENATOR STAVISKY: Then they'd be
11	on the SURR list.
12	SENATOR SALAND: This is not a
13	means by which accountability can be avoided.
14	And let me just add, I certainly
15	understand Senator Montgomery's passion. But
16	the fact of the matter is is that much of what

17	she was alluding to has little or nothing to
18	do with this bill.
19	And I might also add, and I'm
20	looking at a report, the demographics of the
21	students currently attending these consortium
22	schools, as provided to me in a report, nearly
23	61 percent are eligible for free lunch,
24	19.4 percent are white, 27.6 percent black,
25	43.5 percent Hispanic, and 9.6 percent Asian

and others. So it certainly is a very diverse

1

2	group of students that are attending these
3	schools.
4	And again, as a very practical
5	matter, why do people use standardized tests?
6	Why is the government NCLB, the government
7	gives you a choice of tests. People use
8	standardized tests because they're the
9	cheapest, they're the easiest to grade, and
10	they can be done far more efficiently than can
11	a portfolio-based type of performance
12	analysis.

13	So there would be no pell-mell rush
14	into portfolio-based performance analysis were
15	this bill to become law, simply because, in
16	part, of the fiscal constraints.
17	SENATOR STAVISKY: Madam
18	President, if the Senator would continue to
19	yield.
20	THE PRESIDENT: Senator Saland,
21	will you continue to yield?
22	SENATOR SALAND: Yes, Madam
23	President.
24	THE PRESIDENT: You may proceed,
25	Senator, with a question.

1	SENATOR STAVISKY: Following up
2	on what you just said, then there are
3	alternatives to the portfolios, the what is
4	it, the international baccalaureate, the SAT,
5	that special advanced placement, SAT II, the
6	Advanced International Certificate of
7	Education, the Cambridge exam. Why is the
8	portfolio program superior to these other

9	types	of	tests?

10	SENATOR SALAND: I'm not
11	proposing that they are. I'm merely saying
12	that they're providing, by the data given me,
13	which is not I have not seen disputed, they
14	provide a quality education and they do it in
15	a fashion that has a much higher college
16	attendance rate than the other schools in our
17	state.
18	And I can run off a laundry list of
19	some of the schools that they're attending,
20	and they're rather that the graduates are
21	attending, and it's a rather impressive list
22	of schools.
23	SENATOR STAVISKY: Following up
24	on that, in other words, we can assume, then,
25	that there are alternatives to the portfolio

1	schools,	it's	just	that	you	prefer	to	use

- 2 portfolio rather than rely on these
- 3 alternative tests.
- 4 SENATOR SALAND: If it's working.

5	And the easiest way to have
6	resolved that issue would have been to do what
7	had been proposed some five or six years ago,
8	do the evaluation. That's what this panel
9	recommended. The evaluation was never
10	forthcoming. It was just stone-cold stopped.
11	Now, I would think, unless you're
12	so wedded to the idea that everything has to
13	be one size fits all and it's my way or the
14	highway, that you would consider what's going
15	on in these schools if they're delivering a
16	fine product.
17	And that fine product is a student,
18	in some instances a student who may have not
19	fared well in elementary school, who comes
20	into this new educational environment and
21	seems to blossom, blossoms well enough that
22	the vast majority of these students are going
23	off to college, a claim that we can't make in
24	all our schools.
25	SENATOR STAVISKY: Madam

- 1 President, if the sponsor would continue to
- 2 yield.
- THE PRESIDENT: Senator Saland,
- 4 will you yield?
- 5 SENATOR SALAND: Yes, Madam
- 6 President.
- 7 SENATOR STAVISKY: You mentioned
- 8 the fact that the assessments are working
- 9 well. What is there in the program that
- 10 permits the assessment of a child in School A
- and the assessment of a child in School B to
- 12 have -- to be comparable? In other words,
- free of bias, et cetera.
- 14 SENATOR SALAND: Senator
- 15 Stavisky, you've raised an excellent question.
- 16 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.
- 17 SENATOR SALAND: You've truly
- 18 raised a question that goes in part to the
- very heart of a substantial portion of this
- 20 bill.
- 21 And that's what we're asking the
- 22 commissioner to do. I'm not qualified. I
- 23 couldn't evaluate School A and School B. I
- 24 believe that the commissioner, if not capable
- of doing it himself, has the ability to

1	harness the right resources to make that
2	happen.
3	Now, understand, this is not a
4	process that we're inventing in New York.
5	This process is used in several other states
6	and used exclusively in the state of Nebraska,
7	and they comply with No Child Left Behind.
8	Their entire system is portfolio-based, and
9	they comply with No Child Left Behind.
10	So when I hear that a performance,
11	slash, portfolio-based system will not comply
12	with No Child Left Behind, I say that's a
13	little divorced from reality.
14	SENATOR STAVISKY: Madam
15	President, if the sponsor will continue to
16	yield.
17	THE PRESIDENT: Senator Saland,
18	do you yield?
19	SENATOR SALAND: Yes, Madam
20	President.
21	THE PRESIDENT: You may proceed.
22	SENATOR STAVISKY: You mentioned

23	the state of Nebraska. But closer to home,
24	the Rand Corporation did a study of the state
25	of Vermont. Are you familiar with that study?

1	Because that study showed that the portfolio
2	assessment tests were not reliable and were
3	not valid.
4	Would you comment on that?
5	SENATOR SALAND: I only know in a
6	passing fashion of reference to that study.
7	And again, one of the very reasons
8	that you see language in this bill in
9	Section 3 on page 2 is to try and deal with
10	that issue, is to require the ability to do
11	some type of an assessment.
12	I wouldn't want a student in my
13	district and a student in Western New York, or
14	one in the city and one in the Adirondacks, to
15	be gauged differently. And I do believe that
16	the commissioner should have the ability to
17	accomplish that.
18	If they can do it elsewhere, I

19	don't understand why we can't do it here.
20	SENATOR STAVISKY: Then, Madam
21	President, my last question.
22	THE PRESIDENT: Senator Saland,
23	will you yield for a final question?
24	SENATOR SALAND: Yes, Madam
25	President.
	Candyco Transcription Service, Inc. (518) 371-8910
	3067
1	THE PRESIDENT: You may proceed
2	with your final question.
3	SENATOR STAVISKY: The final
4	answer.
5	You're relying on the Commissioner

of Education to do so many of these

for assessing the portfolio --

assessments to provide for the ground rules

Senator. I heard you as far as "you're

relying on the commissioner," and then I

didn't hear what you said after that.

SENATOR SALAND: Excuse me,

SENATOR STAVISKY: I'm sorry.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

I'm sorry.

15	You're relying on the Commissioner
16	of Education to perform to provide the
17	ground rules, the background, the basis for
18	which to judge the various schools. And yet
19	from what I understand, the schools are not
20	required to follow the commissioner's rules
21	that he's going to promulgate. And yet you're
22	relying again and again upon the commissioner
23	to do these things at a cost to be determined.
24	How can you why, in your
25	judgment, has the Commissioner of Education

1	been leading the charge against this bill?
2	SENATOR SALAND: Would you like
3	me to answer that tactfully, or would you like
4	me to answer that question?
5	(Laughter.)
6	SENATOR SALAND: I'll give you A
7	or B.
8	SENATOR STAVISKY: Excuse me,
9	Senator. This is a multiple choice test
10	and

11	(Laughter.)
12	SENATOR SALAND: Let me be polite
13	and say there's a bit of territoriality
14	involved here.
15	The commissioner is committed to
16	his vision, which is a one-size-fits-all
17	vision. Everybody takes the same test.
18	I believe, Senator Stavisky, you
19	may have attended at least one of the hearings
20	I held in '03 when we looked at the Regents
21	standards. We held three hearings around the
22	state, and there was some very interesting
23	testimony which went to the very heart of does
24	that type of high-stakes testing really
25	educate a child or teach a child to pass a

1	test, and is the child any better off when he
2	or she comes through that process than they
3	might have been if they went through a system
4	such as a portfolio-based system.
5	Now, the commissioner at one time
6	was an advocate of the portfolio-based system

7	when he was in Vermont, and now he's an
8	advocate of this far more rigid
9	standards-based system. And I don't know why
10	the two can't coexist.
11	I think, for practical reasons,
12	most will probably continue practical being
13	financial to use the type of Regents exam
14	or any type of high-stakes exam. And in no
15	small part, the advent of No Child Left Behind
16	requires us to pursue that as well.
17	But where students can flourish
18	under another system, why not give them that
19	opportunity? Particularly if the commissioner
20	continues to have oversight. And if I didn't
21	think the commissioner would have oversight,
22	this bill wouldn't be here, because he should
23	have oversight.
24	And there are students there are
25	only 16,000 students in the whole system in

3070

this type of system right now.

2 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you,

3	Madam President.
4	Let me very briefly on the bill.
5	THE PRESIDENT: You may proceed.
6	SENATOR STAVISKY: I still have
7	misgivings about this. I think the cost, this
8	is money that could be used for the CFE
9	decision, certainly. We really don't know
10	what the cost is going to be.
11	Secondly, studies have shown that
12	the alternative assessments are often of
13	dubious value.
14	Third, that there are alternatives,
15	as I said, to the portfolio assessment
16	program.
17	And lastly, I believe, along the
18	lines of what Senator Montgomery said, I
19	believe that every child can learn and every
20	child can pass these exams. And to think
21	otherwise is to almost demonstrate that
22	children don't deserve an education, when they
23	do deserve one, they deserve the very best.
24	The children in the portfolio
25	assessment schools can do as well or better

3071

than young people taking the Regents exams. I

2	think Senator Saland indicated that.
3	I think we're insulting the whole
4	educational system when we don't demand the
5	very best. I think it's up to us to ask that
6	the students do well. We're spending a lot of
7	money to help them, and it seems to me that
8	these are kids who can learn and they should
9	learn because when they graduate they're going
10	to have a diploma that's worth something.
11	Thank you, Madam President.
12	THE PRESIDENT: Senator LaValle.
13	SENATOR LaVALLE: Thank you,
14	Madam President. I'm going to speak on the
15	bill hopefully in a very succinct way.
16	The first question that we should
17	as members ask ourselves is why is a bill like
18	this before us. Why is a bill that deals
19	primarily with a matter, an administrative
20	matter before an agency, that they should be
21	dealing with what kinds of tests are being
22	used in this state?
23	As we all know, because we've

discussed this in our committees, both in

1	by, is whether a measure can be developed
2	where all students could at the same time get
3	over the bar.
4	And this is a matter that the Board
5	of Regents and the commissioner have doggedly
6	said, We are not going to change the standard,
7	we are moving ahead, and it's more important
8	that we have a standard of success.
9	And by the way, all the members
10	here have individually, collectively said we
11	support higher standards. In one of the
12	memoranda, it talks about New York raised
13	standards to make sure students get the
14	knowledge and skills they need for jobs,
15	college, and a more complex world.
16	We believe in that. We've said
17	that. We've written speeches on that. It is
18	at the core of our existence in why we support
19	education, so that students can be competitive
20	in the marketplace and have a good job and

21	raise a family. And we don't move away from
22	that.
23	But the department has been
24	unwillingly to move in a rapid way to
25	understand that not everyone learns at the

1	same level. We didn't all walk at the same
2	time. We didn't learn to read at the same
3	time. We weren't potty-trained at the same
4	time. There are differences. And so our
5	education system must accommodate those
6	differences.
7	Albeit it's not something that I'm
8	in agreement, but our society is moving
9	towards standardized tests. That's the way it
10	is, and I accept it. But I don't accept, as
11	the sponsor, and I've devoted my whole life to
12	truth in testing that I believe, and we've
13	shown this empirically, that standardized
14	tests are not free from bias. They have
15	gender bias, ethnic bias, racial bias. They
16	are biased.

17	But the testing people say
18	everything's fine, there's no bias in our
19	tests. And then, after having said that, they
20	change their mind and they say, Well, we're
21	doing a better job in removing those biases.
22	Oh, wait a minute. You said some
23	years ago that there was no bias. Now you say
24	you're doing a better job.
25	And so I think that while we are on

1	a pathway to have certain standards, have
2	standardized tests, but I think we're really
3	fooling ourselves if we believe that everyone
4	at a point in time can go over that bar in the
5	same way.
6	This is something that should not
7	really be decided statutorily, legislatively.
8	But you know something? Senator Saland is
9	going to have a wake-up call. He was very
10	gentlemanly in his response to Senator
11	Stavisky's question. This is something that
12	the Board of Regents and the commissioner

13	should be working out, not the Legislature.
14	But I am going to support this
15	legislation because I think we need to send a
16	message to the department and say: Wake up.
17	Treat children who may be different in a way
18	that they can get over the bar and achieve and
19	be successful.
20	I'm going to support this
21	legislation. Thank you, Madam President.
22	THE PRESIDENT: Senator
23	Oppenheimer.
24	SENATOR OPPENHEIMER: Thank you.
25	This issue has raised a lot of

1	passion, and I think that it's wonderful that
2	we're able to have this kind of discussion
3	openly between ourselves.
4	I think some of the things I've
5	been listening to and I've been listening
6	very carefully to what everyone's been
7	saying I think that this bill probably
8	would be the answer to some of the things that

9	we're	talking	about.

10	You were talking about learning
11	differences, Senator LaValle, and you're
12	right, this would accommodate to that. There
13	are people who learn at different times in
14	different ways. Surely myself; I'm dyslexic.
15	I learned in a different way. Not that I
16	wasn't able to achieve, but I had a different
17	way of learning.
18	I think the statement that we want
19	to see, that everybody we know that
20	everybody can learn. That is a basic
21	foundation of our education system in New York
22	now. And if you say that everybody can learn,
23	then you have to look at what has been
24	produced by these schools.
25	These schools are largely

1	occupied the few schools that we have in
2	New York State now that offer alternative
3	assessments, they are largely in lower-income
4	areas. They are children that probably would

5	not have fared well in the normal school in
6	other words, the general school system but
7	because they went into this type of a school,
8	they came from disadvantaged backgrounds and
9	have yet turned around to have higher
10	outcomes, higher outcomes than most of the
11	rest of our school systems are producing.
12	They're sending more children to
13	college. What more applicable standard or
14	maximum line would you have than that these
15	children are going to college at a greater
16	rate than the lower-income children who are
17	not served by them in those districts?
18	The question I have and I guess
19	I should ask Senator Saland a question, if you
20	would. Will the Senator yield?
21	SENATOR SALAND: Yes, Mr.
22	President, I yield.
23	ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Senator
24	Saland yields.

SENATOR OPPENHEIMER: I went from

- on the bill to a question.
- 2 In developing an accountability
- 3 standard, which I think is absolutely
- 4 essential, I guess the question I would have
- is, how applicable will it be generally to
- 6 education?
- 7 Do you think there will be a mass
- 8 move towards this in spite of the fact that it
- 9 is more complex, more time-consuming for the
- school districts to have them assessed? Do
- 11 you think that there would be a mass movement
- towards this and away from the more simple
- 13 Regents standards? I mean more simple in the
- 14 evaluation of them.
- 15 SENATOR SALAND: I can only offer
- 16 you my own opinion. It's based purely on
- 17 conjecture.
- 18 My sense is that it would be
- 19 something that would not be significantly
- 20 subscribed to, simply because it is a far more
- 21 costly system, I would believe, to administer
- 22 and also to create the kinds of evaluations
- that you would have to do.
- I just -- I would assume that for
- 25 many, particularly in the world in which we

1	live now, when you're talking about
2	independent school districts that have budgets
3	that are constantly, every year, put to the
4	challenge, I would think that they would be
5	hard-pressed to go into a system that had the
6	potential to be that much more costly.
7	SENATOR OPPENHEIMER: Thank you,
8	Senator Saland.
9	So I think I'll just say a couple
10	more things on the bill.
11	Certainly all of us would be
12	alarmed and horrified to think of Regents
13	standards being thrown out. They have done so
14	much good for our state. And we see children
15	that in the past would have been cast away and
16	now, lo and behold, they are passing the
17	Regents standards because we have said that
18	this is something that we require in our state
19	to graduate.
20	But if we can create accountability
21	standards for alternatives, I think that is
22	something as a humanist, that is something

23	that all would want. Because we are all
24	different. If we can all at least measure up
25	to the accountability that is necessary to

1	require that would be required for
2	graduation from high school, then we could see
3	that people who are different and learn
4	differently will be able to achieve and go on
5	to college.
б	Which is really what we are hoping
7	for, that we can send our young people to
8	college. Because we all know that a college
9	education is what's going to be required for
10	them to work in this 21st century.
11	So I think in no way do we want to
12	see the Regents standards in any way
13	diminished, but we want to see an alternative.
14	So far the alternative has really just been
15	mostly, at least in our low-income areas.
16	But I can assure you that I represent some
17	school districts that are definitely at the
18	opposite end of that spectrum, and there is

19	nothing that Scarsdale would not like better
20	than having alternative assessments where they
21	think it is appropriate.
22	It isn't appropriate in all
23	circumstances. But where there is a need for
24	those of us who are not enormously fond of
25	charter schools, principally because of the
	Candyco Transcription Service, Inc. (518) 371-8910
	3080
1	way they are funded the fact that they're
2	innovative and creative I do appreciate. I do
3	not appreciate that they are being funded out
4	of my property tax dollars.
5	But this is a way, in my mind, to
6	have an alternative to a charter school within
7	a school district. And I think this is really
8	an answer for us. So I will be supporting
9	this.
10	ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Senator
11	Liz Krueger.
12	SENATOR LIZ KRUEGER: Thank you,
13	Mr. President. On the bill.

I want to thank Senator Saland for

15	the work he's done on this bill, because it
16	has been controversial, as we've seen today,
17	but it is critically important.
18	And many of my colleagues have
19	spoken passionately on both sides, and so I'll
20	just highlight some of my own feelings, having
21	visited these schools, having several in my
22	own district.
23	Parents are choosing portfolio
24	schools because they're working. They're
25	working for their children. They are being

1	successful, they are moving on, graduating,
2	going to college, staying in college, and, in
3	fact, meeting all of the standards we say we
4	hope for for all of our children.
5	Not every child is the same. They
6	don't learn the same way. All of us in this
7	room, if we sat here, would admit if we were
8	the good test-takers in our lives or the bad
9	test-takers. We now know as adults it didn't
10	necessarily mean anything in relationship to

11	our ability to accomplish, to become elected
12	officials in the State of New York. But we
13	know who we are. We know which of us did will
14	on tests and which didn't, which of us figured
15	out other ways to move through the educational
16	system, which of us specialized in taking
17	classes in college where you wrote papers
18	rather than having to take exams.
19	Which of us would admit, even if we
20	were good on tests, that we forgot the
21	information within three days after taking the
22	test? And what is the real test of education?
23	Whether you know how to learn how to learn.
24	And what we're finding from the
25	data in the portfolio schools is that these

3082

1	children are learning how to learn, and how to
2	move on and figure out ways to continue their
3	own education through college and through
4	careers. And that's the real measure of
5	education.

I empathize with State Education

7	Department's desire to have a
8	one-size-fits-all system. It's easier to
9	administer. It's easier to measure. But it
10	doesn't reflect the reality of the fact that
11	our children are not one size fits all or one
12	way to learn is the only way to learn.
13	These schools deserve the
14	evaluations that will show that they are
15	successful, because they are. I come from a
16	city where we encourage small schools. We
17	encourage charter schools. We encourage
18	magnet schools. Portfolio schools are one
19	other model that is being successful for
20	children in my city and in other cities around
21	the state.
22	And I also want to raise the point
23	that we should not have the illusion that
24	because it appears on one piece of paper that
25	more children are getting higher scores on the

1	Regents exams	every year,	that that	's the
2	right measure	of success.	Because :	if you look

3	at the data, we know that we have a dropout
4	rate that is increasing every year. We know
5	that New York State's graduation rate is
6	appalling, and that three independent studies
7	put the graduation rate at approximately
8	60 percent of the children in the cohorts
9	entering high school.
10	We know that New York State has the
11	lowest graduation rate in the Northeast and
12	the Midwest combined. We know, at least in
13	the City of New York, that teachers and
14	principals in some schools counsel their
15	students to drop out rather than flunk the
16	tests and lower the passing averages in those
17	schools.
18	So in fact, portfolio schools are
19	working, while the system is broken. We
20	should be evaluating everyone carefully. We
21	should not be lowering the standards for any
22	child, because that's flunking them and
23	flunking ourselves. But these schools have so
24	much to contribute to the education of our
25	children. They are proving themselves every

```
day. It is our job to make sure that we
```

- 2 successfully evaluate and measure.
- 3 But you don't need everyone
- 4 teaching to test, and you don't need
- 5 high-stakes testing as your only measure to
- 6 establish that you are accomplishing your
- 7 goals of providing the children of this school
- 8 good quality education.
- 9 I encourage my colleagues, those
- 10 who will vote yes today and those who will
- 11 vote no, go visit portfolio schools. Go talk
- to the teachers, the principals, the parents,
- 13 the students. Go look at the data of what is
- happening in those schools. They are being
- successful. We are not failing these
- 16 children. We are not throwing them away. We
- are recognizing that one size does not fit all
- and this is a model that is working.
- I hope my colleagues will vote yes.
- Thank you, Mr. President.
- 21 ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Senator
- 22 Diaz.
- 23 SENATOR DIAZ: Thank you, Mr.
- 24 President.

1	yield for a question, one or two.
2	ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Senator
3	Saland, do you yield for a question?
4	SENATOR SALAND: Yes, Mr.
5	President.
6	ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: The
7	sponsor yields.
8	SENATOR DIAZ: Senator Saland, do
9	you know that the worst students I mean,
10	the ones that does poorly are the black and
11	Hispanic, especially in the City of New York?
12	SENATOR SALAND: I'm sorry?
13	SENATOR DIAZ: Do you know that
14	our students, black and Hispanic students,
15	they are all the time doing worse than other
16	students?
17	SENATOR SALAND: I know that the
18	predominant number of failing schools are
19	located in the City of New York.
20	SENATOR DIAZ: Mr. President,

21	should I go ahead or
22	ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Do you
23	want Senator Saland to yield for another
24	question?
25	SENATOR DIAZ: Yes.
	Candyco Transcription Service, Inc. (518) 371-8910
	3086
1	ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Senator
2	Saland, do you yield for another question?
3	SENATOR SALAND: Yes, Mr.
4	President.
5	ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: The
6	sponsor yields.
7	SENATOR DIAZ: Senator Saland,
8	would you agree that we always should be
9	trying to do the best for those students that
10	are left behind, especially black and Hispanic
11	students?
12	SENATOR SALAND: I think your
13	question was we should do the best for
14	SENATOR DIAZ: Do you agree that
15	we all should be doing the best we can to be
16	sure that black and Hispanic students do

17	better in school?
18	SENATOR SALAND: We certainly
19	should be doing all we can for students of all
20	colors and all ethnicities.
21	And based upon what you said
22	previously, black and Hispanic students are
23	not performing as well in some instances as we
24	would like, particularly in the city.
25	SENATOR DIAZ: Thank you.

1	If I have some statistics here
2	that was handed to me by Senator Krueger. And
3	it shows that the African-American students
4	are doing better and the Latinos are doing
5	better in consortium schools than public
6	schools, than regular schools.
7	If that is so and these schools are
8	working, especially schools should be
9	working for every student, for everyone. But
10	I'm concerned with the black and Hispanic
11	students in my district. So if these
12	consortium schools are doing as good as I see

13	in these statistics, Senator Saland, could you
14	please tell me, why are we discussing this?
15	SENATOR SALAND: Why are we
16	closing this?
17	SENATOR DIAZ: Discussing this.
18	Discussing.
19	SENATOR SALAND: Oh, discussing.
20	Earlier in my remarks I made some
21	reference to the demographics of the student
22	population in these consortium schools. And a
23	little less than 20 percent of the students
24	are white, and the balance of the students are
25	African-American, Hispanic, and what was

3088

1	termed Asian and others.
2	These are the same students who are
3	going to college, according to the data, which
4	is yet to be disputed from any source, at a
5	rate of some 87 percent. That's an astounding
5	number.
7	So that bespeaks, I would think,

volumes for the fact that they are doing a

9	very good job with those that they are
10	educating. So I would concur with the
11	conclusion that you've brought me to.
12	SENATOR DIAZ: Mr. President,
13	will the Senator yield for another question.
14	ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Senator
15	Saland, do you continue to yield?
16	SENATOR SALAND: Yes, Mr.
17	President.
18	ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: The
19	Senator yields.
20	SENATOR DIAZ: If these
21	consortium schools are doing so good,
22	especially to minority students, why would you
23	think anybody would go against this bill?
24	SENATOR SALAND: That that's a
25	very difficult question to answer.

1	As I said earlier, I think some of
2	it relates to territoriality. I think some of
3	it relates to interpretation of data, which I
4	find troublesome I mean, to infer that

5	somehow or other this does not comply with No
6	Child Left Behind, I find that extremely
7	troublesome.
8	There's some other data that I've
9	seen in some of the materials that have gone
10	out over the course of the past week or so
11	that I find equally troublesome.
12	The long and the short of it is, is
13	that this challenges the model that the
14	Regents and the Commissioner of Education
15	believe to be the appropriate model. It gives
16	no credence to the fact that children,
17	students do learn differently, and some of
18	them have obviously flourished under this
19	system.
20	Why you would want to eliminate
21	this alternative, particularly in the absence
22	of the evaluation that had been recommended
23	back in the year 2000, is beyond me. If you
24	don't believe it works, then do the evaluation
25	and establish as a matter of fact that it

- doesn't work. I think that would probably fly
- in the face of the data that will be produced.
- 3 But why don't you put it to rest by having the
- 4 evaluation?
- 5 And at the same time, as has been
- 6 previously recommended, why don't you evaluate
- 7 the Regents standards? Do them both
- 8 simultaneously. And do it with a panel of
- 9 experts that will not come to the table with
- 10 any degree of what I'll call parochialism or
- 11 prejudice.
- 12 SENATOR DIAZ: Thank you.
- Mr. President, on the bill.
- 14 ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Senator
- 15 Diaz, on the bill.
- SENATOR DIAZ: When we campaign
- to get elected, we promise our communities
- that we will do our best to improve education,
- 19 we promise our communities that we will do our
- 20 best to be sure that our children are not left
- 21 behind, and we promise that we will do our
- 22 best to be sure that we do whatever is
- 23 possible so our children get a good education.
- 24 It is strange to me -- it is
- 25 strange to me, and I cannot understand why

1	every time that something works, something
2	that works on behalf of our minority students
3	gets opposition.
4	When there is a push for charter
5	schools charter schools have proven to be
6	good for our students, for our communities.
7	Some people don't want that to happen. They
8	don't want our students in the black and
9	Hispanic community to find and have the equal
10	opportunities for them to improve.
11	When it comes to consortium
12	schools, they're doing good for our
13	communities, for our children. Some people
14	don't want that.
15	So some of the people that don't
16	want what's good for our students, they always
17	cite, they always bring the question of money.
18	Oh, because money, because we're here.
19	Well, ladies and gentlemen, I think
20	that if we are going to educate the black and
21	Hispanic children every children. But
22	again, I'm concerned with the black and

Hispanic children in my district. If we are going to educate them, money should not be an issue. Money should not be questioned.

Candyco Transcription Service, Inc. (518) 371-8910

1	Whatever it takes, whatever it takes to
2	educate and to get them to be educated should
3	be done.
4	So I suggest that we should stop
5	this debating here and let's vote for this
6	bill that has been good to our communities.
7	And anything else that comes to this floor
8	that is in favor to our children, we should
9	stop debating. If it's good, let's do it.
10	Thank you.
11	ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Senator
12	Hassell-Thompson.
13	SENATOR HASSELL-THOMPSON: Thank
14	you, Mr. President.
15	It is very rare that I'm that I
16	will ever speak, probably, in opposition to
17	some of my colleagues, particularly to Senator
18	Montgomery. We started out together in

19	childcare, in early childhood education back
20	in the 1970s. And we have pretty much always
21	been in accord in terms of how we see
22	education.
23	Some of the Senators are saying
24	that they don't understand the need for
25	discussion. On something as important as

1	this, there's always a need for discussion.
2	Primarily because regardless as to what side
3	we're on, somewhere in the middle of the
4	debate is where truth lies. And hopefully,
5	with enough debate, we can find it.
6	I don't try to look for things that
7	are wrong with bills. I always try to look
8	for what's right. And at the heart of it and
9	on the face of it, at least, the face of it
10	says to me that the results of the consortium
11	schools show that our youngsters are doing
12	better. That they enter with scores lower
13	than those citywide, but that at the end and
14	their performances in college shows that this

15	is an alternative that works and tends to work
16	for a significant number of students.
17	And I, like Senator Diaz, have to
18	be very concerned about what happens in our
19	public schools, because in my district they
20	are predominantly children of color.
21	Having said that, I become
22	concerned that I continue to be bombarded by
23	people by parents, particularly, as well as
24	associations who are looking to put their
25	children into alternative school

1	methodologies. Last week several, at least
2	20 parents came from the district to talk
3	about different charter schools that are
4	working for their kids.
5	Now we're having the discussion
6	about consortium schools, portfolio schools.
7	What I have said to them, and what I want to
8	say here, I'm not sure whether the
9	Legislature, as Senator LaValle says, should
10	be the driving force. But I can tell you that

11	parents are asking the State of New York to do
12	something different for their children,
13	because their children are failing.
14	And one of the reasons that we
15	don't see the kind of lowered scores on the
16	Regents in terms of failures is because most
17	of our kids are dropping out before they take
18	the Regents exams. And if you were to factor
19	those dropouts, you would see that the numbers
20	are skewed.
21	Our children are failing. An
22	example that I continue to use that disturbs
23	me and I feel when we have these kinds of
24	discussions, we push our school districts to
25	believe that one methodology works for all

1	children, and I know that it does not.
2	There were children in a particular
3	school district where only DISTAR was being
4	taught. And the results said that 70 percent
5	of the children who studied the DISTAR method
6	were successful, they passed. The question of

7	those of us as parents of children who were
8	not doing as well and were failing was, what
9	happens to the 30 percent of the children that
10	don't do well?
11	And they said that this school
12	district is only prepared to spend money for
13	one system, and so therefore all children must
14	learn under that system. And they were
15	satisfied that the 70 percent who were passing
16	could pass. But that meant that that was the
17	process by which we were already leaving
18	30 percent of the children behind.
19	There were not these kinds of
20	alternative programs to put those children in,
21	and many of those children continued to fail.
22	And by ninth grade, many of them were being
23	socially promoted because they could not read
24	and they could not spell at all.
25	When we believe that one system is

3096

the only way in which we can help our

children, we do a disservice. I am, on the

3	face of this, supportive of this bill. But I
4	am telling you that in the State of New York,
5	we must begin the dialogue that says that
6	public school as we have known it is failing
7	our children in the 21st century. And we must
8	begin to talk about what is it that we are
9	prepared to do to change it.
10	And we cannot do it one bill at a
11	time. We cannot do it one system at a time.
12	There has to be a discussion that says if we
13	are committed to the success of our children,
14	then we cannot take the public school that was
15	developed in the 18th century and apply that
16	methodology to the 21st, because the
17	requirements of our children into the future
18	are very different. And I am tired of jobs
19	going to India and the Far East because our
20	children have to be retaught in order to be
21	successful.
22	We have a job to do, a big job. I
23	will support you, Senator Saland, on this
24	bill. But I would like all of us to begin the
25	dialogue that says that the State of New York

```
1 is failing its children and has to do
```

- 2 something different from what it does.
- 3 ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Senator
- 4 Schneiderman.
- 5 SENATOR SCHNEIDERMAN: Thank you,
- 6 Mr. President. Briefly on the bill.
- 7 This has been one of the best
- 8 debates that we've had since I've been here,
- 9 both on the floor and the discussions off the
- 10 floor. But in my mind, we're really talking
- about, looking at this bill and listening to
- my colleagues, three separate issues. And I
- think we should keep them in mind as distinct
- issues.
- 15 First, we have 28 schools in this
- 16 consortium, not 44 -- for some reason, the
- 17 Education Department is including in it other
- 18 schools who have dropped out or are no longer
- 19 in the consortium -- 28 schools that, if you
- look at their statistics, are doing a good
- job. Should we preserve these as alternative
- 22 schools within the public system for students
- who opt in? That's question one.
- 24 Question two is, should those

1	required to submit to a state standardized
2	state measurement of the portfolio-based
3	schools that will be developed by the
4	commissioner? That's in Senator Saland's
5	bill. That's the second issue. Now they have
6	their own assessments that each school is
7	doing somewhat differently.
8	And the third question is, should
9	we make this portfolio system available to
10	other school districts and expand?
11	And I would submit to you, my
12	colleagues, these are three separate questions
13	that must be kept separate. Because I think
14	what we're in danger of doing here today, if
15	we vote against this bill, is putting out of
16	business some schools that perform a very good
17	function for thousands of students who
18	otherwise might drop out of school who are
19	opting into an alternative school, as Senator
20	Hassell-Thompson pointed out, with scores, at

21	the time they go from regular schools to the
22	portfolio schools, lower than the standards,
23	and they graduate uniformly with scores that
24	are higher. Higher graduation rates, higher
25	college-bound rate.

1

Candyco Transcription Service, Inc. (518) 371-8910

So I would suggest that preserving

2	these 28 schools is important. There is no
3	dispute as to the data. They are doing a good
4	job. And in particular, I mean, it's I
5	find the thing that bothers me in some
6	respects the most is this. I went to some
7	high-stakes schools, and I assure you of this.
8	The children of the rich have a lot of
9	alternative schools available to them. There
10	is no rich kid who doesn't test well who can't
11	find some fancy prep school with the flakiest
12	proposals imaginable to get you into a fancy
13	college.
14	In the public school system, which
15	is under attack it has been accused of not
16	being creative enough we have a set of

17	schools that are doing this, that are
18	providing for poor children the kinds of
19	alternatives that, if we get rid of these
20	schools, will only be available to the
21	children of the very rich. That, to me, would
22	be a great failure on our part if we put these
23	schools out of business that are performing
24	this service. The statistics are
25	unassailable

1	It also seems to me that the second
2	part of Senator Saland's bill is pretty hard
3	to argue with. I think the portfolio schools,
4	if they want to stay in this business, should
5	have a standardized state test. And the
б	portfolio schools, showing their good faith, I
7	suppose, are telling us to pass a bill that
8	empowers their arch-enemy, the commissioner,
9	to develop the standards. So you know he's
10	not going to develop trivial standards for
11	them. He wants them all to fail. He's going
12	to have much tougher standards than the

13	Regents.
14	This bill lets the commissioner
15	develop standards, but Senator Saland requires
16	that the alternative assessments shall be at
17	least as rigorous as the corresponding
18	required state assessment. So that part of
19	the bill I think is also pretty hard to
20	dispute.
21	I really think that when we come
22	down to it, most of the concerns I've heard

23

24

25

Candyco Transcription Service, Inc. (518) 371-8910

are about the third part of the bill, which

commissioner's rigorous standards, to be

allows alternative assessments, as meeting the

1	available for other school districts.
2	And there is a concern that is not
3	a trivial concern, because it's based on some
4	substantial history that has been raised by
5	Senator Montgomery and others. This is not to
6	be dismissed lightly. This is a tendency in
7	this country, and we've seen it in special
8	education, we've seen it a lot of places.

9	But I would suggest to you, ladies
10	and gentlemen, that we're better off keeping
11	these alternative schools, preserving the
12	28 schools that are working, getting a
13	statewide assessment that will be guaranteed
14	to be rigorous because the commissioner is
15	going to be putting it together, and then
16	dealing with this issue of how rapidly these
17	are allowed to expand in a different context.
18	I think that's something we should be able to
19	address separately.
20	But it would be a shame to adjourn
21	this session not having passed this bill or an
22	alternative bill that deletes the requirement
23	for this to be available in other schools in
24	New York State. We can revisit that at a
25	later date. Because that would put out of

1	business schools that are clearly doing a good
2	job and providing to the children of the poor
3	alternatives for kids who don't just fit in
4	into the one-size-fits-all system that are

5	currently only available to the children of
6	the very rich in some states and would be only
7	available to the children of the very rich in
8	New York were we to reject this legislation.
9	So I'm going to vote yes, Mr.
10	President. I encourage everyone to vote yes,
11	with the understanding that this issue of
12	expansion should be addressed at a later date.
13	Thank you.
14	ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Does any
15	other member wish to be heard on the bill?
16	Debate is closed, then.
17	The Secretary will ring the bell.
18	Read the last section.
19	THE SECRETARY: Section 3. This
20	act shall take effect immediately.
21	ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Call the
22	roll.
23	(The Secretary called the roll.)
24	ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Senator

Montgomery, to explain her vote.

- 1 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes,
- briefly, Mr. President, to explain my vote.
- 3
 I've listened to my colleagues, and
- 4 I certainly do agree -- I'm very happy to hear
- 5 particularly Senator LaValle, because the
- 6 principles that he espoused are certainly my
- 7 principles.
- 8 I have two degrees in education.
- 9 Certainly early childhood was my special
- 10 consideration. And in my years and years of
- 11 experiences with young children that I've
- worked with, I found very, very, very few that
- were not bright on an equal par with any child
- 14 anywhere in the world.
- But it was once they went into this
- huge place, like a dark or a white hole,
- 17 whatever you call it, however you -- it used
- to be the black hole. It's now the white
- 19 hole.
- 20 ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Give me
- 21 a second, Senator Montgomery.
- 22 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: They went in
- there, and they came out different.
- 24 And part of the problem was that
- 25 people had low expectations of these children.

1	And I know plenty of people, even up until
2	today, who say that they go to their so-called
3	college counselor or they go to the counselor
4	in the school and they're told, "You're not
5	college material, so you don't worry about
6	getting ready for college."
7	This is still happening.
8	Unfortunately, none of us want to deal with it
9	or admit it, but it's the truth.
10	And I've gone to high school
11	speak-outs where I'm speaking to high school
12	students, and the first thing they ask: Why
13	do we have this affirmative action program
14	when we get ready to go to college? We don't
15	agree with that.
16	These are high school children who
17	didn't yet get out to become bigoted in their
18	way of thinking, but yet they don't like
19	affirmative action. They don't like the
20	concept of it.
21	So we have all of these forces
22	moving against certain children. Why don't we

23	have these alternative schools in all of the
24	districts of the Republican members over
25	there? Which one of them will hold their hand

1	up and say, I have these schools, I want these
2	schools in my district?
3	Why don't they have them? What
4	happens to your children who can't pass the
5	tests? What happens? Do you mean to say that
6	everybody in this room nobody over on the
7	Republican side has any of these children who
8	can't pass the tests and they need these
9	alternative assessments so that half of their
10	children won't have a high school diploma that
11	anybody recognizes?
12	No, they don't have them. They're
13	not asking for them. They're not supporting
14	them. So this is not for everybody. This is
15	for children who are in my district.
16	ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Senator
17	Montgomery, how do you vote?
18	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I know, my

19	two minutes are up.
20	But you see, I want to point out to
21	you, Mr. President, that I believe this is not
22	for every child. It's not for every district.
23	It's for districts like I represent.
24	And I don't want my children, the
25	children that graduate from my schools to be
	Candyco Transcription Service, Inc. (518) 371-8910
	3106
1	any less prepared and to have any kind of
1	any less prepared and to have any kind of assessment that is looked upon in any way that
2	assessment that is looked upon in any way that
2	assessment that is looked upon in any way that is different from anybody else in this room.
2 3 4	assessment that is looked upon in any way that is different from anybody else in this room. I vote no.
2 3 4 5	assessment that is looked upon in any way that is different from anybody else in this room. I vote no. ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Senator
2 3 4 5	assessment that is looked upon in any way that is different from anybody else in this room. I vote no. ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Senator Montgomery will be recorded in the negative.
2 3 4 5 6 7	assessment that is looked upon in any way that is different from anybody else in this room. I vote no. ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Senator Montgomery will be recorded in the negative. Senator Balboni, to explain his
2 3 4 5 6 7	assessment that is looked upon in any way that is different from anybody else in this room. I vote no. ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Senator Montgomery will be recorded in the negative. Senator Balboni, to explain his vote.

One, this debate has a lot of very

positive comments for Senator Steve Saland. I

think the point of that is that here in the

12

13

15	Legislature you get a chance to become an
16	expert in something. And Steve Saland has
17	become an expert in education. He has taken a
18	look at this program, he's put forward a very
19	good idea and concept, and everybody I think
20	has recognized it one way or the other.
21	The second observation is that
22	there is a lot of disdain in this room for the
23	Regents. A lot of people don't trust the
24	Regents. And maybe it's because a lot of
25	their actions borders on arrogance, that they

1	think they know it all and will tell
2	everybody.
3	And I would argue that many of us
4	in this room have a lot better feel for what's
5	going on in our school districts than the
6	Regents do. And, frankly, Commissioner Mills
7	would do a lot better to pay more attention to
8	what Steve Saland says.
9	Having said that, in 2000 there was
10	a program that was created for waivers. And

11	during since that time in 2000, standards
12	were supposed to be developed for those
13	programs that were going to be outside of the
14	standard testing. They were not developed.
15	Likewise, there were assessments
16	that were developed that were standardized.
17	And when they first came in, particularly two
18	years ago, people in my district were very
19	nervous. They said, What does this mean? Are
20	we going to be able to truly judge people on a
21	standard across the state?
22	Well, two years later the people in
23	my district are very comfortable with the
24	standards. As a matter of fact, I've received
25	information from my neighbors who say, You

1	know what? At least we know now some gauge of
2	how our schools are doing across the board and
3	for everybody. And so as much as it pains me,
4	I think we should give this program a little
5	more of a chance.
6	So I am going to reluctantly, in a

7	very close call that should not be interpreted
8	by anybody in this legislature or outside of
9	it as a supportive statement of the Regents
10	generally, I'm going to vote against the bill.
11	Thank you, Mr. President.
12	ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Senator
13	Balboni will be recorded in the negative.
14	Senator Stavisky, to explain her
15	vote.
16	SENATOR STAVISKY: Mr. President,
17	the responsibility for setting the standards
18	and for the programs for the assessment of
19	alternative programs lies with the Regents.
20	I agree with what Senator LaValle
21	said. But because the policymaking part of
22	the State of New York, we have vested the
23	power in the Regents and not in the
24	Legislature, I vote no.
25	ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: Senator

3109

1 Stavisky will be recorded in the negative.

2 The Secretary will announce the

- 3 results.
- 4 THE SECRETARY: Those recorded in
- 5 the negative on Calendar Number 432 are
- 6 Senators Balboni, Brown, Gonzalez, Marcellino,
- 7 Montgomery, Morahan, Padavan, A. Smith,
- 8 Stachowski and Stavisky.
- 9 Those Senators absent from voting
- on Calendar Number 432: Senator Sampson.
- 11 Ayes, 50. Nays, 10.
- 12 ACTING PRESIDENT MEIER: The bill
- is passed.