

A Recursive Strategy for Symbolic Execution Expressed in Coq

Alyssa Byrnes

October 25, 2018

Abstract

Symbolic execution allows one to execute a program with symbolic inputs, rather than concrete ones, exploring multiple execution paths simultaneously. This can be a useful tool in debugging a system, for now we can potentially execute all possible inputs of a program to discover bugs and generate exploits. In this work, we examine a previously proposed hardware-oriented recursive symbolic execution algorithm and formally verify that if an error state is reachable, it produces a sequence of inputs that will take the processor from its initial state to that error state.

1 Abstract Variables and Methods

1.1 Concrete Execution

Abstract Variables

- input - string of inputs
- state - system state

Inductive-type Variables

- input_list - list of elements of type “input”
- conc_state - constructs a concrete state given a system state and an input, (elements of type “state” and “input”).

Abstract Methods

- conc_ex - inputs elements of type “conc_state” and “input” and outputs new “conc_state”.

1.2 Symbolic Execution

Abstract Variables

- phi - Abstract State
- pc - path constraint

Inductive-type Variables

- sym_state - constructs a symbolic state given elements of type “phi” and “pc”
- SE_tree - tree structure consisting of sym_state elements
- SE_tree_list - list containing SE_tree elements

Abstract Methods

- get_phi - inputs sym_state and returns phi
- get_pc - inputs sym_state and returns pc
- concretize - takes a phi and pc and returns a concrete state
- get_input - takes a pc and outputs an element of type “input”

2 Definitions

2.1 Variables

- `init_conc_states` - an ensemble of `conc_states`.
- `tree_list` - an `SE_tree_list`

2.2 General Methods

Definition 1 $is_connected(tlist) := \text{forall } A B \in, tlist \geq 2 \rightarrow \text{if } A \text{ and } B \text{ are consecutive, then the root of } B \text{ is a leaf of } A.$

Definition 2 $execute_tree_list(tlist)$: steps through $tlist$, executing a list of size 2 (or the first two elements of a list) as $conc_ex(conc_ex(init_conc_states, x), y)$ where $x = get_input(get_pc(root(second_element)))$ and $y = get_input(get_pc(root(third_element)))$. For any other element in the list, it recurses as $conc_ex(execute_tree_list(tlist', z))$, where $tlist' = tlist$ with the last element removed, and $z = get_input(get_pc(root(next_element)))$.

2.3 Circle Operations

`Circle_op_1` takes as input symbolic state of root and pc of its leaf and returns all and only the concrete states that will take us down the path that leads to the leaf.

Definition 3 $circle_op_1(sym, sym_leaf) := concretize(get_phi\ sym)(get_pc\ sym_leaf).$

`Circle_op_2` takes as input symbolic state of leaf state and pc of leaf state and returns concrete states that correspond.

Definition 4 $circle_op_2(sym) := concretize(get_phi\ sym)(get_pc\ sym).$

3 Assumptions

Property 1 *Property 1* : $init_conc_states \in circle_op_1(root(head(tree_list)), root(second_elem(tree_list)))$.

Property 2 *Property 2* : $circle_op_2(root(last_elem(tree_list))) \cap ErrorStates \neq Empty_set.$

Property 3 *Property 3* : $is_connected\ tree_list.$

Property 4 $tl_size : size(tree_list) \geq 2.$

Property 5 *base_case*: $\text{forall } s0\ s : SE_tree, is_connected\ ([\] :: s0 :: s) \rightarrow conc_ex(conc_ex\ init_conc_states\ (get_input\ (get_pc\ (root\ s0))))\ (get_input\ (get_pc\ (root\ s))) = circle_op_2\ (root\ s).$

Property 6 *co_2_def*: $\text{forall } (s0 : SE_tree)\ (s : sym_state), is_leaf_state\ s0\ s \rightarrow conc_ex\ (circle_op_2\ (root\ s0))\ (get_input\ (get_pc\ s)) = (circle_op_2\ s).$

4 Theorem

Theorem 1 *Theorem sufficiency* :
 $(execute_tree_list\ tree_list) \cap ErrorStates \neq Empty_set.$

5 Notes for Consideration

- We need to consider uniqueness. This might come naturally from the overall tree structure.
- Our work assumes SAT-solver correctness.
- Our `circle_op` returns concrete states, so we just need to be able to compare concrete states.