University Honor Pledge:

I pledge on my honor that I have not given or received any unauthorized assistance on this assignment/examination.

Print the text of the University Honor Pledge below:						

Signature:

Exam guidelines / rules

- Turn off all unapproved electronic devices.
- The exam is **closed book** and **notes**.

First name of your professor:

- There are 7 (seven) problems in this exam, with a total grade value equal to 100 (one hundred) points.
- The exam has been printed two-sided, stapled on the top-left corner and spans 20 (twenty) pages across 10 (ten) sheets. DO NOT RIP PAGES FROM THE EXAM. Last page is scrap paper. If you need extra scrap paper, ask us for it.
- The total time allocated for this exam is 115 (one hundred and fifteen) minutes.
- You may **not** leave the classroom (e.g to go to the bathroom, or because you're done) during the last 5 (five) minutes of the exam.

Provided materials & assumed facts

Logic

Table 1 contains a number of logical equivalences that we have discussed in class.

Commutativity of binary	$p \land q \equiv q \land p$	$p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$		
operators				
Associativity of binary	$(p \land q) \land r \equiv p \land (q \land r)$	$(p \lor q) \lor r \equiv p \lor (q \lor r)$		
operators				
Distributivity of binary	$p \land (q \lor r) \equiv (p \land q) \lor (p \land r)$	$p \lor (q \land r) \equiv (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)$		
operators				
Identity laws	$p \wedge T \equiv p$	$p \vee F \equiv p$		
Negation laws	$p \vee (\sim p) \equiv T$	$p \wedge (\sim p) \equiv F$		
Double negation	$\sim (\sim p) \equiv p$			
Idempotence	$p \wedge p \equiv p$	$p\vee p\equiv p$		
De Morgan's axioms	$\sim (p \land q) \equiv (\sim p) \lor (\sim q)$	$\sim (p \lor q) \equiv (\sim p) \land (\sim q)$		
Universal bound laws	$p \vee T \equiv T$	$p \wedge F \equiv F$		
Absorption laws	$p \lor (p \land q) \equiv p$	$p \land (p \lor q) \equiv p$		
Negations of contradictions /	$\sim F \equiv T$	$\sim T \equiv F$		
tautologies				
Contrapositive	$(a \Rightarrow b) \equiv ((\sim b) \Rightarrow (\sim a))$			
Equivalence between	$a \Leftrightarrow b \equiv (a \Rightarrow b) \land (b \Rightarrow a)$			
biconditional and implication				
Equivalence between	$a \Rightarrow b \equiv \sim a \lor b$			
implication and disjunction				

Table 1: A number of propositional logic axioms you can refer to.

Table 2 contains a number of **valid** rules of inference / natural deduction that we have discussed in class.

Modus Ponens	Modus Tollens	Disjunctive	Conjunctive
		addition	addition
p	$\sim q$	m	m a
$p \Rightarrow q$	$p \Rightarrow q$	p	p,q
		$\therefore p \vee q$	$\therefore p \land q$
$\therefore q$:. ~ <i>p</i>		
Conjunctive Simplification	Disjunctive syllogism	Hypothetical	Resolution
Conjunctive Simplification	Disjunctive syllogism	Hypothetical syllogism	Resolution
	Disjunctive syllogism $p \lor q$	V -	Resolution $p \lor q$
Conjunctive Simplification $p \wedge q$ $\therefore p, q$, , ,	syllogism	

Table 2: Some valid rules of inference / natural deduction you can refer to.

Set Theory

The following are Set Theoretic notation and definitions.

Operation	Symbol	Definition
Membership	$x \in A$	x is a member of set A
Non-membership	$x \notin A$	$\sim (x \in A)$
Union	$A \cup B$	$\{(x \in A) \lor (x \in B)\}$
Intersection	$A \cap B$	$\{(x \in A) \land (x \in B)\}$
Relative complement of B given A	A - B	$\{(x \in A) \land (x \notin B)\}$
Universal (Absolute) complement	\overline{A}	$\{x \notin A\}$
Cartesian Product	$A \times B$	$\{(a,b) \mid (a \in A) \land (b \in B)\}$
Subset	$A \subseteq B$	$(\forall x \in A)[x \in B]$
Superset	$A \supseteq B$	$B \subseteq A$
Set equality	A = B	$(A \subseteq B) \land (B \subseteq A)$
Set non-equality	$A \neq B$	$\sim (A = B)$
Proper subset	$A \subset B$	$\{(A \subseteq B) \land (A \neq B)\}$
Proper superset	$A\supset B$	$\{(A \supseteq B) \land (A \neq B)\}$
Powerset	$\mathcal{P}(A)$	$\{X \mid X \subseteq A\}$

Table 3: Definitions of Set Theory

Others

You may assume/use the following mathematical and logical facts/definitions **without proof**.

- $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ (that is, $0 \in \mathbb{N}$)
- \bullet $\,\mathbb{N}$ is closed under addition and multiplication.
- \mathbb{Z} is closed under addition, subtraction and multiplication.
- \bullet $\mathbb R$ is closed under addition, subtraction and multiplication.
- An integer can be either odd or even, but not both.

Problem 1: Logic (10 pts)

Suppose that we have the following rule of inference:

$$(\sim p) \Rightarrow (\sim q)$$

$$(\sim p) \lor r$$

$$\sim r$$

$$\vdots q$$

Question (a): Truth Table (3 pts)

Fill in the following truth table. Write **neatly**; if we can't make out the difference between **T** and **F**, we will be forced to take off points! Also, you **must** write **T** and **F**, **not 1** or **0**.

Row #	p	q	r	$\sim p$	$\sim q$	$\sim r$	$(\sim p) \vee r$	$(\sim p) \Rightarrow (\sim q)$
1	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}					
2	F	F	\mathbf{T}					
3	F	\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{F}					
4	F	T	T					
5	\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}					
6	\mathbf{T}	F	T					
7	\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{F}					
8	\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{T}					

Question (b): Rule invalidity (2 pts)

<u>Briefly</u> explain on the lines below **why** the truth table you filled tells us that the proof is **invalid**. DO **NOT** MAKE ANY MARKS ON THE TABLE ITSELF! You can refer to a row by its **number**.

Question (c): Deriving a valid conclusion (5 pts)

In questions (a) and (b), we proved that q does **not** logically follow from our given premises. Using **only** valid rules of natural deduction provided for you in table 2, prove that $\sim q$ **does** follow from these premises, establishing the following as a **valid** rule of inference. You **must** label each step of your proof with the name of the rule of natural deduction that you use!

$$(\sim p) \Rightarrow (\sim q)$$

$$(\sim p) \lor r$$

$$\sim r$$

$$\vdots (\sim q)$$

Problem 2: Circuits (10 pts)

Observe the truth table of Table 4.

Inputs			Output
p	q	r	z
F	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{T}
F	F	\mathbf{T}	F
F	\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{F}	F
F	\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{T}	F
\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{T}
\mathbf{T}	F	\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{T}
\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{F}	F
\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{T}	F

Table 4: A truth table for a formula that maps inputs p, q, r to an output z.

Question (a): Boolean Formula (5 pts)

Based on the truth table shown to you in Table 4, provide us with a boolean (propositional) formula that computes the output z based on the inputs p, q, r. Your formula should be in *Disjunctive Normal Form* (**DNF**), i.e it must be a **disjunction of conjunctions**, like in your homework.

Question (b): Circuit (5 pts)

Draw a **circuit** that computes the boolean formula

$$(p \vee (\sim q)) \wedge (r \vee q) \wedge ((\sim r) \vee p)$$

You should use only AND, OR and NOT gates!

Problem 3: Set Theory (10 pts)

For every one of the following statements, fill in the circle corresponding to the appropriate choice (**True** or **False**). For example, if any given statement is **True**, you should fill in the **first** circle, such that \bigcirc becomes \blacksquare . **PLEASE DO** <u>NOT</u> **USE CHECKMARKS** (\checkmark), **CROSSES** (\times), **ETC: FILL-IN THE CIRCLES AS INDICATED ABOVE.** You **do not** need to justify your answers.

	Statement	True	False
(a)	$0 \in \mathbb{Q}$	0	0
(b)	$50 \in \mathbb{Z}$	0	0
(c)	$\emptyset \in \emptyset$	0	0
(d)	$\emptyset \subseteq \{0\}$	0	0
(e)	$(A - B) = A \cup B^c$	0	0
(f)	$\mathbb{N} \cup \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Q}$	0	0
(g)	$ \{1,6\} - \{\{1,6\}\} = 2$	0	0
(h)	$\emptyset \in \mathcal{P}(\{\emptyset\})$	0	0
(i)	$\{\sqrt{2},\sqrt{4}\}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}-\mathbb{Q})$	0	0
(j)	$(\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Q}^{>0})[x^y \in \mathbb{Q}^{>0}]$	0	0

Problem 4: Quantifiers (15 pts)

In the following quantified expressions, push the negation operator (\sim) as far inside the expression as possible. Your final expression should not have any instances of \sim ! Do not concern yourselves with the truth values of the statements or their negations; just concentrate on the task at hand. You do not need to show us intermediate steps; just the final expression is sufficient.

(a)
$$\sim (\forall n \in \mathbb{Z})[n^2 \ge 0]$$

BEGIN YOUR ANSWER BELOW THIS LINE

(b)
$$\sim (\forall n_1 \in \mathbb{Z})(\exists n_2 \in \mathbb{Z})[(n_1 - n_2) < 0]$$

BEGIN YOUR ANSWER BELOW THIS LINE

(c)
$$\sim (\forall n_1 \in \mathbb{Z})(\forall n_2 \in \mathbb{Z})[(n_1 < n_2) \Rightarrow (\sim \exists q \in \mathbb{Q})[n_1 < q < n_2]]$$

Problem 5: Direct proofs (20 pts)

Question (a): Rationals (5 pts)

Prove **directly** that, if $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $\frac{q}{3} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Question (b): Divisibility (15 pts)

Suppose $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. When divided by 7, x leaves a remainder of 2. When divided by 14, y leaves a remainder of 3. Prove **directly** that $x \cdot y$ leaves a remainder of 6 when divided by 7.

Problem 6: Indirect proofs (30 pts)

Question (a): Lemma (5 pts)

Suppose n is an integer. Prove **indirectly** that, if n^3 is a multiple of 5, then so is n.

 $Question\ (b):\ Euclidean\ proof\ I\ (10\ pts)$

Prove indirectly that $\sqrt[3]{5} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ using the Euclidean argument.

Question (c): Proof via UPFT (15 pts)

Prove indirectly that $\sqrt[3]{5} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ using the Unique Prime Factorization Theorem.

Problem 7: Show me what you got (5 pts)

Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using **any** methodology that you have learned so far in the class, prove that $8n^2 + 5n$ is even **if**, **and only if**, $n^4 + 6$ is even. You can use the page in the back if you run out of space.

SCRAP PAPER