Study	Events Total	Proportion 95%–CI
setting = Contact investigation #1834 Yousaf AR #599 Hijnen D #665 Brandstetter S #821 Zhang W #477 Cheng HY #597 Wu J #2091 Luo L #443 Bi Q #4885 Jones A #3624 Grijalva CG #4090 Shi Q #3572 Hurst JH Random effects model Prediction interval Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 76\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0.7916$ , $\rho < 0.01$	0 47	0.00       [0.00; 0.08]         0.09       [0.00; 0.41]         0.06       [0.01; 0.19]         0.33       [0.10; 0.65]         0.18       [0.05; 0.40]         0.10       [0.03; 0.23]         0.06       [0.03; 0.12]         0.20       [0.12; 0.29]         0.28       [0.19; 0.38]         0.33       [0.24; 0.43]         0.33       [0.26; 0.40]         0.30       [0.25; 0.35]         0.16       [0.10; 0.25]
#3273 Romao VC #2218 Kittang BR #242 Danis K #713 Böhmer MM #7465 van den Besselaar JH [cluster:1] #1886 Yang N #396 Schwierzeck V #2249 Corcorran MA #2470 Pirnay JP #4265 Redditt V #376 Arons MM #4391 Park JH #849 Dora AV #354 Park SY #1654 Yau K #6685 Tian S #4479 Harada S [cluster:1] #1335 Pavli A #7465 van den Besselaar JH [cluster:2] #2826 Taylor J [cluster:2] #1232 Patel MC #2826 Taylor J [cluster:1] #4479 Harada S [cluster:2] #13719 Ladhani SN [cluster:2] #3719 Ladhani SN [cluster:2] #1438 Njuguna H #3719 Ladhani SN [cluster:1] #1003 Graham N #1904 Pham QT #1714 Lee JY #2892 Kennelly SP [cluster:2] #2892 Kennelly SP [cluster:1] #3722 Kasper MR Random effects model Prediction interval Heterogeneity: I² = 92%, τ² = 1.1453, p < 0.01	0 14	0.00 [0.00; 0.23] 0.00 [0.00; 0.09] 0.08 [0.00; 0.38] 0.06 [0.00; 0.30] 0.02 [0.00; 0.10] 0.20 [0.03; 0.56] 0.18 [0.02; 0.52] 0.38 [0.09; 0.76] 0.44 [0.14; 0.79] 0.12 [0.03; 0.32] 0.06 [0.01; 0.18] 0.14 [0.04; 0.33] 0.38 [0.15; 0.65] 0.04 [0.01; 0.10] 0.35 [0.15; 0.59] 0.29 [0.13; 0.51] 0.33 [0.16; 0.55] 0.15 [0.06; 0.29] 0.06 [0.02; 0.11] 0.37 [0.21; 0.55] 0.09 [0.04; 0.17] 0.51 [0.36; 0.66] 0.49 [0.35; 0.63] 0.41 [0.29; 0.53] 0.44 [0.34; 0.54] 0.37 [0.28; 0.46] 0.43 [0.36; 0.50] 0.12 [0.09; 0.14] 0.25 [0.20; 0.29] 0.27 [0.24; 0.31] 0.45 [0.42; 0.48] 0.19 [0.13; 0.26]
#1960 Tanacan A #2774 Viñuela MC #4968 van Buul LW [cluster:2] #5066 Green R #2932 Berghoff AS #2802 Bender WR #1723 Kirshblum SC #2051 Starling A #4968 van Buul LW [cluster:1] #5068 Varnell C #4154 Wadhwa A #4200 Balestrini S #5238 Pizarro—Sánchez MS #1155 Bogani G #2528 Marossy A #729 London V #3359 Aslam A #2907 Shi SM #1926 Smith E #4407 Hcini N #832 Andrikopoulou M #3921 Adhikari EH #4140 Ghinai I #3299 White EM Random effects model Prediction interval Heterogeneity: I² = 92%, τ² = 1.9144, p < 0.01	0 3 8 8 0 9 22 22 22 4 6 8 3 16 5 10 6 17 11 17 5 38 10 19 46 67 22 68 38 65 21 146 42 103 87 137 46 158 98 252 293 406 2194 5403 7019	- 0.00 [0.00; 0.71]
setting = Screening: community setting #9442 Theuring S [cluster:2] #3951 Cao S #317 Hoehl S #170 Chang L #5565 Rauch JN #2909 AbdulRahman A #9442 Theuring S [cluster:1] #1929 Kutsuna S #2987 Edelstein M #1627 Wi YM #4045 Migisha R #506 Wong J #5086 Meyers KJ #2231 Chamie G #294 Lavezzo E #2054 Eythorsson E #3674 Al–Qahtani M #1556 Almazeedi S Random effects model Prediction interval Heterogeneity: I² = 92%, τ² = 3.6979, ρ < 0.01	0 14 300 300 1 2 2 4 2 4 2 6 3 6 3 6 2 10 3 11 4 20 7 111 20 54 16 138 67 86 23 81 29 73 25 178 116 188 473 1096 2378	0.00 [0.00; 0.23] 1.00 [0.99; 1.00]
setting = Screening: occupational #1225 Han X #4880 Ferreira VH #4674 Alshahrani MS #2650 Stock AD #598 Rivett L #3721 Letizia AG #5291 Tan-Loh J #3622 Malagón-Rojas J #622 Treibel TA #4866 Hogan CA #1264 Lombardi A #1839 Cariani L #4663 Mahajan NN Random effects model Prediction interval Heterogeneity: I² = 91%, τ² = 2.2963, ρ < 0.01	17 17 5 9 12 18 6 19 5 30 46 51 6 46 11 35 12 44 20 38 17 139 32 182 58 467 1095	
Random effects model Prediction interval Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 93\%$ , $\tau^2 = 2.3071$ , $p < 0.01$	16101	0.29 [0.23; 0.36] [0.02; 0.89]