

# EE 709 Assignment 2

## Switching Algebras

Rohan Rajesh Kalbag  
Roll: 20D170033

January 2023

## 1 Solutions to the provided problem set

### 1.1 Problem 1

**Any element  $x$  in a Boolean Algebra, can be written as  $x = \prod_{a \leq \bar{x}} \bar{a}$**

*Proof.* Suppose  $x \in \mathbb{B}$ ,  $A$  be the set of atoms in  $\mathbb{B}$ . We know that  $\exists A_x \subseteq A$  s.t

$$x = \sum_{a_i \in A_x, a_i \leq x} a_i$$

Similarly  $\bar{x}$  can be represented as a sum of atoms  $b_i \in A - A_x$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x} &= \sum_{b_i \in A - A_x, b_i \leq \bar{x}} b_i \\ \bar{x} &= (b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4 \dots) \\ x &= \overline{(b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4 \dots)} & (\bar{\bar{x}} = x) \\ x &= \bar{b}_1 \cdot \bar{b}_2 \cdot \bar{b}_3 \dots & (\text{De Morgan's Law}) \\ x &= \prod_{b_i \in A - A_x, b_i \leq \bar{x}} \bar{b}_i \end{aligned}$$

Hence proved the above claim. □

### 1.2 Problem 2(a)

**To prove  $\exists_x(f + g) = (\exists_x f) + (\exists_x g)$**

*Proof.* Let  $f_x$  denote the cofactor of the boolean function  $f$  wrt to the variable  $x$

$$\begin{aligned} \exists_x(f) &= f_x + f_{\bar{x}} & (\text{from definition}) \\ \exists_x(g) &= g_x + g_{\bar{x}} & (\text{from definition}) \\ \exists_x(f) + \exists_x(g) &= f_x + f_{\bar{x}} + g_x + g_{\bar{x}} & - (1) \end{aligned}$$

Also from Shannon's Decomposition, we complete the proof as

$$\begin{aligned} f &= x \cdot f_x + \bar{x} \cdot f_{\bar{x}} \\ g &= x \cdot g_x + \bar{x} \cdot g_{\bar{x}} \\ f + g &= x \cdot \underbrace{(f_x + g_x)}_{h_x} + \bar{x} \cdot \underbrace{(f_{\bar{x}} + g_{\bar{x}})}_{h_{\bar{x}}} \\ \exists_x(f + g) &= h_x + h_{\bar{x}} = f_x + g_x + f_{\bar{x}} + g_{\bar{x}} = \exists_x(f) + \exists_x(g) & \text{from (1)} \end{aligned}$$

□

### 1.3 Problem 2(b)

**To prove**  $\exists_x(f \cdot g) = (\exists_x f) \cdot (\exists_x g)$

This is an **incorrect claim**, disproved in the following way with a counter example.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{Suppose we have } f = x \text{ and } g = \bar{x} \\
 &f \cdot g = 0 \quad (x \cdot \bar{x} = 0) \\
 &\implies \exists_x(f \cdot g) = 0 \\
 &f = x \cdot 1 + \bar{x} \cdot 0 \implies f_x = 1, f_{\bar{x}} = 0 \implies \exists_x(f) = 1 \\
 &g = x \cdot 0 + \bar{x} \cdot 1 \implies g_x = 0, g_{\bar{x}} = 1 \implies \exists_x(g) = 1 \\
 &\therefore \exists_x(f \cdot g) \neq \exists_x(f) \cdot \exists_x(g)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have disproved the above claim, which was supposed to be proved, with the use of a counter example.

### 1.4 Problem 2(c)

**1.4.1 To prove**  $\exists_x f = \neg(\forall_x(\neg f))$

*Proof.* We know from Shannon's Decomposition Theorem that

$$\begin{aligned}
 f &= x \cdot f_x + \bar{x} \cdot f_{\bar{x}} \\
 \bar{f} &= (\bar{x} + \neg(f_x)) \cdot (x + \neg(f_{\bar{x}})) \\
 \neg f &= \bar{x} \cdot \neg(f_x) + x \cdot \neg(f_{\bar{x}}) + \neg(f_x) \cdot \neg(f_{\bar{x}}) \\
 \neg f &= x \cdot g_x + \bar{x} \cdot g_{\bar{x}} \\
 g_x &= \neg(f_x) + \neg(f_x) \cdot \neg(f_{\bar{x}}) = \neg(f_x) & x = 1 \\
 g_{\bar{x}} &= \neg(f_{\bar{x}}) + \neg(f_x) \cdot \neg(f_{\bar{x}}) = \neg(f_{\bar{x}}) & x = 0 \\
 \therefore \forall_x(\neg f) &= g_x \cdot g_{\bar{x}} = \neg(f_x) \cdot \neg(f_{\bar{x}}) = \neg(f_x + f_{\bar{x}}) \quad (\text{from De Morgan's Law}) \\
 \therefore \neg(\forall_x(\neg f)) &= f_x + f_{\bar{x}} = \exists_x(f)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

### 1.5 Problem 3

**1.5.1 To prove**  $\exists_x \exists_y f = \exists_y \exists_x f$

*Proof.* Suppose  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{B}_2^n$  is a boolean function of  $n$  variables. Without loss of generality suppose  $x = x_1$  and  $y = x_2$  arbitrarily

$$\begin{aligned}
 \exists_{x_1} f &= f(1, x_2, x_3 \dots) + f(0, x_2, x_3 \dots) & (\text{from definition}) \\
 \exists_{x_2} \exists_{x_1} f &= (f(1, 1, x_3 \dots) + f(0, 1, x_3 \dots)) + (f(1, 0, x_3 \dots) + f(0, 0, x_3 \dots))
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have the following

$$\begin{aligned}
 \exists_{x_2} f &= f(x_1, 1, x_3 \dots) + f(x_1, 0, x_3 \dots) & (\text{from definition}) \\
 \exists_{x_1} \exists_{x_2} f &= (f(1, 1, x_3 \dots) + f(1, 0, x_3 \dots)) + (f(0, 1, x_3 \dots) + f(0, 0, x_3 \dots))
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus from commutativity of  $+$  operation, for arbitrary  $x, y$  we have

$$\exists_x \exists_y f = \exists_y \exists_x f$$

□

## 1.6 Problem 4

### 1.6.1 To prove $\forall_x \forall_y f = \forall_y \forall_x f$

*Proof.* Suppose  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{B}_2^n$  is a boolean function of  $n$  variables. Without loss of generality suppose  $x = x_1$  and  $y = x_2$  arbitrarily

$$\forall_{x_1} f = f(1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \cdot f(0, x_2, x_3, \dots) \quad (\text{from definition})$$

$$\forall_{x_2} \forall_{x_1} f = (f(1, 1, x_3, \dots) \cdot f(0, 1, x_3, \dots)) \cdot (f(1, 0, x_3, \dots) \cdot f(0, 0, x_3, \dots))$$

Similarly we have the following

$$\forall_{x_2} f = f(x_1, 1, x_3, \dots) \cdot f(x_1, 0, x_3, \dots) \quad (\text{from definition})$$

$$\forall_{x_1} \forall_{x_2} f = (f(1, 1, x_3, \dots) \cdot f(1, 0, x_3, \dots)) \cdot (f(0, 1, x_3, \dots) \cdot f(0, 0, x_3, \dots))$$

Thus from commutativity of  $\cdot$  operation, for arbitrary  $x, y$  we have

$$\forall_x \forall_y f = \forall_y \forall_x f$$

□

## 1.7 Problem 5(a)

On expanding the terms where literals are missing a variable out of  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$  using  $(1 = x + \bar{x})$ , and distributivity of  $\cdot$  we can get the **DNF** representation

$$\begin{aligned} f_{DNF} = & x_1 \cdot \bar{x}_2 \cdot x_3 \cdot x_4 + x_1 \cdot \bar{x}_2 \cdot x_3 \cdot \bar{x}_4 + x_1 \cdot \bar{x}_2 \cdot \bar{x}_3 \cdot x_4 + x_1 \cdot \bar{x}_2 \cdot \bar{x}_3 \cdot \bar{x}_4 \\ & + \bar{x}_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3 \cdot \bar{x}_4 + \bar{x}_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \bar{x}_3 \cdot \bar{x}_4 + \bar{x}_1 \cdot \bar{x}_2 \cdot x_3 \cdot \bar{x}_4 \end{aligned}$$

For the **CNF** representation we use the following result.

$$f_{CNF} = \overline{\bar{f}_{DNF}} \quad (\text{From De Morgan's Law})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}_{DNF} = & \bar{x}_1 \cdot \bar{x}_2 \cdot \bar{x}_3 \cdot \bar{x}_4 + \bar{x}_1 \cdot \bar{x}_2 \cdot x_3 \cdot x_4 + \bar{x}_1 \cdot \bar{x}_2 \cdot \bar{x}_3 \cdot x_4 \\ & + x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3 \cdot x_4 + x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \bar{x}_3 \cdot x_4 + x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3 \cdot \bar{x}_4 + x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \bar{x}_3 \cdot \bar{x}_4 \\ & + \bar{x}_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3 \cdot x_4 + \bar{x}_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \bar{x}_3 \cdot x_4 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{from } f + \bar{f} = 1)$$

Thus the **CNF** representation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore f_{CNF} = & (x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4) \cdot (x_1 + x_2 + \bar{x}_3 + \bar{x}_4) \cdot (x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \bar{x}_4) \\ & \cdot (\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2 + \bar{x}_3 + \bar{x}_4) \cdot (\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2 + x_3 + \bar{x}_4) \cdot (\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2 + \bar{x}_3 + x_4) \cdot (\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2 + x_3 + x_4) \\ & \cdot (x_1 + \bar{x}_2 + \bar{x}_3 + \bar{x}_4) \cdot (x_1 + \bar{x}_2 + x_3 + \bar{x}_4) \end{aligned}$$

## 1.8 Problem 5(b)

We use the initial formula provided and make use of this result

$$\exists_{x_2} f = f(x_1, 1, x_3, \dots) + f(x_1, 0, x_3, \dots) \quad (\text{from definition})$$

On putting  $x_2 = 1$  for  $f_{x_2}$  and  $x_2 = 0$  for  $f_{\bar{x}_2}$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{x_2} = & \bar{x}_1 \cdot \bar{x}_4, \quad f_{\bar{x}_2} = x_1 + \bar{x}_1 \cdot \bar{x}_4 \cdot (x_3 + x_4) \\ \exists_{x_2} f = & f_{x_2} + f_{\bar{x}_2} = \bar{x}_1 \cdot \bar{x}_4 + x_1 + \bar{x}_1 \cdot \bar{x}_4 \cdot (x_3 + x_4) \equiv x_1 + \bar{x}_4 \end{aligned}$$

## 1.9 Problem 5(c)

We use the initial formula provided and make use of this result

$$\forall_{x_3} f = f(x_1, x_2, 1 \dots) \cdot f(x_1, x_2, 0 \dots) \quad (\text{from definition})$$

On putting  $x_3 = 1$  for  $f_{x_3}$  and  $x_3 = 0$  for  $f_{\bar{x}_3}$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{x_3} &= x_1 \cdot \bar{x}_2 + \bar{x}_1 \cdot \bar{x}_4, \quad f_{\bar{x}_3} = x_1 \cdot \bar{x}_2 + \bar{x}_1 \cdot \bar{x}_4 \cdot x_2 \\ \forall_{x_3} f &= f_{x_3} \cdot f_{\bar{x}_3} = (x_1 \cdot \bar{x}_2 + \bar{x}_1 \cdot \bar{x}_4) \cdot (x_1 \cdot \bar{x}_2 + \bar{x}_1 \cdot \bar{x}_4 \cdot x_2) \end{aligned}$$