Prof. Dr. Martin Griebl

Exercises for Lecture: Functional Programming Exercise Sheet 9 (Homomorphisms (2), Optimization Rules)

Problem 1 (Skeleton for List Homomorphisms)

hom is a skeleton for list homomorphisms, which is parametrized with a function f, the operator op in the image set (of the homomorphism) together with its neutral element e.

```
hom :: (a->b) -> (b->b->b) -> b -> [a] -> b
hom f op e = foldr op e . map f
```

Define the following functions using hom:

(b) transposition of a two-dimensional list:

```
transpose :: [[a]] -> [[a]]
transpose [] = []
transpose ([]:xss) = transpose xss
transpose xss = [x | (x:_) <- xss] : transpose [xs | (_:xs) <- xss]</pre>
```

Examples:

- transpose $[[1,2,3],[4,5,6]] \leftrightarrow [[1,4],[2,5],[3,6]]$
- transpose $[[1,2,3],[4,5],[],[6,7,8,9]] \leftrightarrow [[1,4,6],[2,5,7],[3,8],[9]]$

Hint:

- First, think about the set M and the neutral element e in the target monoid (M, op, e) of transpose.
- Now, try to find a suitable definition for op. The following might help you (focus on the last two lines):

```
transpose [[1,2,3], [4,5], [], [6,7,8,9]]
== transpose ([[1,2,3], [4,5]] ++ [[], [6,7,8,9]])
== transpose [[1,2,3], [4,5]] 'op' transpose [[], [6,7,8,9]]
== [[1,4], [2,5], [3]] 'op' [[6], [7], [8], [9]]
== [[1,4,6],[2,5,7],[3,8],[9]]
```

• Finally, find a suitable mapping operation f for the hom skeleton.

Problem 2 (List Homomorphisms)

Are the following functions list homomorphisms? Give either a proof sketch (if the respective function is a list homomorphism) or a counter example (if it is not).

(a) mss :: [Integer] -> Integer (maximum segment sum, cf. lecture slides 4-87 and following), where

```
mss = let segs = concat . map inits . tails
   in maximum . map sum . segs
```

(b) s :: [a] -> [a] with s = scanl g e where g :: a -> a is an associative function and e :: a is neutral w.r.t. g.

Problem 3 (Optimization Rules)

Laws for list functions and other equalities can be used directly by compilers in purely functional languages as optimization rules for program transformations. In Stud.IP, you can find the file RulesDemo.hs, which demonstrates how to apply an optimization rule in GHC. Since many optimizations are only turned on by GHC when compiling programs (not in GHCi), the program has to be compiled using

```
ghc -o rulesdemo RulesDemo.hs
```

and run with ./rulesdemo.

The file BTreeOpt.hs contains the data type BTree a known from an earlier exercise sheet together with functions mapBTree and foldBTree. Compile using ghc -o btreeopt BTreeOpt.hs and run it with ./btreeopt N, where N denotes the size of the computation performed (cf. function bigComputation). Values near 25 should lead to a run time of a few seconds.

- (a) Add optimization rules in BTreeOpt.hs for mapBTree/foldBTree, which speed up the computation bigComputation by avoiding temporary data structures.
- (b) (more difficult:) Write a function to create a BTree in a "generic way", e.g.,

```
unfoldBTree :: (b -> Either a (b,a,b)) -> b -> BTree a
```

unfoldBTree f x starts a recursion from x to build a tree. f x returns either a value Left v, when a leaf is to be created, or Right (x1,v,x2) when an inner node shall be created. x1 and x2 are then used in recursive calls to generate the left and right sub tree, respectively. (unfoldBTree is the analogue of unfoldr from module Data.List.)

Define bigTree using unfoldBTree and write a rule that permits the fusion of foldBTree and unfoldBTree (eliminating all intermediate tree data structures).

Due date: Tuesday, June 20, 2023 at 16:00

Upload your Haskell source codes (*.hs) to the Submissions folder for this exercise in Stud.IP.