

Byteman

Programmer's Guide

Version 0.3

3rd June 2009

Table of Contents

Introduction to Byteman.....	4
Event Condition Action Rules.....	4
Rule Bindings.....	5
Built-in Conditions and Actions.....	5
Extending or Replacing the Byteman Language Built-ins.....	6
Agent Transformation.....	6
ECA Rule Engine.....	7
The Byteman Rule Language.....	9
Rule Events.....	9
Location Specifiers.....	10
Rule Bindings.....	12
Rule Expressions.....	13
Rule Conditions.....	13
Rule Actions.....	14
Built-In Calls.....	15
User-Defined Rule Helpers.....	16
Byteman Rule Language Standard Built-Ins.....	18
Thread Coordination Operations.....	18
Waiters.....	18
Rendezvous.....	19
Aborting Execution.....	20
Rule State Management Operations.....	20
CountDowns.....	20
Flags.....	21
Counters.....	21
Trace and Debug Operations.....	22
Debugging.....	22
Tracing.....	22
Using Byteman.....	24
Obtaining the sources.....	24
Building Byteman.....	24
Using Byteman.....	24
Checking Rules Offline.....	25

Legal Notices

The information contained in this documentation is subject to change without notice. Red Hat Middleware LLC makes no warranty of any kind with regard to this material, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Red Hat Middleware LLC shall not be liable for errors contained herein or for incidental or consequential damages in connection with the furnishing, performance, or use of this material.

Copyright

JBoss, Home of Professional Open Source. Copyright 2008, Red Hat Middleware LLC, and individual contributors by the @authors tag. See the copyright.txt in the distribution for a full listing of individual contributors.

This copyrighted material is made available to anyone wishing to use, modify, copy, or redistribute it under the terms of the GNU Lesser General Public License as published by the Free Software Foundation; either version 2.1 of the License, or (at your option) any later version.. This material is distributed in the hope that it will be useful, but WITHOUT A WARRANTY; without even the implied warranty of MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

See the GNU General Public License for more details.

You should have received a copy of the GNU Lesser General Public License along with this software; if not, write to the Free Software Foundation, Inc., 51 Franklin St, Fifth Floor, Boston, MA 02110-1301 USA, or see the FSF site: <http://www.fsf.org>.

Restricted Rights Legend

Use, duplication, or disclosure is subject to restrictions as set forth in contract subdivision (c)(1)(ii) of the Rights in Technical Data and Computer Software clause 52.227-FAR14.

Introduction to Byteman

Byteman is a scripting tool designed primarily to support automation of tests for multi-threaded and multi-JVM Java applications via fault injection. It uses bytecode transformation to modify the runtime execution of the application without having to modify the source code. The possible modifications are essentially unlimited but the tool provides explicit support for test automation in three main areas:

- orchestrating the timing of activities performed by independent application threads
- tracing application execution
- subverting normal method execution

Byteman is actually more general than this description appears to suggest. The functionality in the Byteman script language which is specific to test automation and thread management is supplied via a simple POJO plug-in. It is trivial to modify the script language to support language operations which are specific to other application domains. Indeed this can be done on a piecemeal basis for individual components of Byteman scripts. The core engine which underlies Byteman is a general purpose code injection program based around Event Condition Action rules.

Event Condition Action Rules

Byteman primarily operates by introducing side-effects at specified points during execution according to a byteman script. A script comprises a sequence of Event Condition Action (ECA) rules language which define how the application behaviour should be transformed at runtime. The three components of these rules, event, condition and action, are used, respectively, to define:

- *where* during application execution a side-effect should occur
- *whether* the side-effect should happen or not
- *what* the side effect should be

For example, in the following example rule the event specifies a trigger point in method `get()` of class `BoundedBuffer`. The precise location of the trigger point is just before method `get()` makes a call to method `Object.wait()` – the example assumes that `get()` suspends its caller by calling this method if the buffer is empty.

```
RULE throw on Nth empty get
CLASS org.my.BoundedBuffer
METHOD get()
AT INVOKE Object.wait()
BIND buffer = $0
IF countdown(buffer)
DO throw org.my.ClosedException(buffer)
ENDRULE
```

The event also establishes a binding for variable `buffer` assigning it with the value `$0` which refers to the recipient (`this`) argument of the `get()` call which triggered the rule. The condition invokes the standard Byteman built-in `countdown(Object)` which decrements a `CountDown` associated with the buffer – the example assumes some other rule has called `addCountDown(buffer, N)` to create this `CountDown` and initialise it with value `N`. The `countdown` built-in returns true when the value of the `CountDown` decrements to zero.

So, in this example the condition will evaluate to `false` the first N-1 times that a getter attempts to wait. At the Nth triggering the condition will evaluate to `true` and the rule will fire, running the built-in action `throw`. This will cause the triggering thread to throw a `ClosedException` from the call to `get()`.

In order to use Byteman to test a Java application the JVM must be started with extra command line arguments. These arguments point the JVM at the Byteman scripting engine and identify a set of Byteman rule files specifying the side-effects to be introduced into the application under test. The engine applies the rules to any code which contains a location matching a rule trigger point. Rules may be supplied in a single script or as a set of scripts, the latter allowing reuse of rules across related tests.

Rule Bindings

Rule conditions and actions may be parameterised by *bindings*, contextual data obtained from the code location targeted by the rule. This allows actions associated with one rule to be correlated with actions performed by other rules. It also means that actions can be specific to the particular case in hand.

For example, a rule might be attached to a database insertion method whose first parameter was the primary key of the record being created. The rule action could refer to this parameter (it would use the parameter reference `$1`) supplying it as the argument to an `addCountDown` call. Another rule attached to a database read method could employ a condition which passed this parameter to built-in `countDown`, decrementing any counter associated with this key. Its action might throw a read exception. With this example a separate countdown would be added for each newly inserted record. An exception would be thrown for each inserted record at the Nth read. However, an exception would not be thrown for reads on existing records since built-in `countDown` returns `false` if no countdown exists with the supplied key.

Built-in Conditions and Actions

Byteman provides a suite of built-in conditions and actions used to coordinate the activities of independent threads e.g. delays, waits and signals, countdowns, flag operations and so on. These are particularly useful for testing multi-threaded programs subject to arbitrary scheduling orders. Judicious insertion of byteman actions can guarantee that thread interleavings in a given test run occur in a desired order, enabling test code to reliably exercise parallel execution paths which do not normally occur with synthetic workloads.

Tracing actions are provided so that test deployment scripts can track progress of a test run and identify successful or unsuccessful test completion. Trace output can also be used to debug rule execution. The use of local context data in rule conditions allows trace output to be quite finely tuned. Trace actions can insert this data into message strings, allowing detailed scrutiny of test execution paths.

A few special built-in actions can be used to subvert the behaviour of application code by modifying execution paths. This is particularly important in a test environment where it is often necessary to force application methods to generate dummy results or simulate an error. A *return* action forces an early return from the code location targeted by the rule. If the method is non-void then the return action supplies a value to use as the method result. A *throw* action enables runtime exceptions (i.e. instances of `RuntimeException` or its subclasses) to be thrown from any target location, effectively either aborting a thread or, at least, where a catch-all handler is employed, the portion of the thread's call tree between the rule location and the catch block. Other exceptions may be thrown so long as the

method in which the rule is located declares the exception in its throws list. Finally, a *kill* action allows a machine crash to be simulated by configuring an immediate exit from the JVM.

It is worth noting that rules are not just restricted to using built-in operations. Application-specific side-effects can also be introduced by invoking public Java methods in rule events, conditions or actions. The obvious target for such methods is objects supplied from the triggering method using bindings. However, it is also possible to access static data and invoke static methods of any class accessible from the classloader of the triggering method. So, it is quite feasible to use Byteman rules to apply arbitrary modifications to the original program.

Extending or Replacing the Byteman Language Built-ins

Another option to bear in mind is that the set of built-in operations available to Byteman rules is not fixed. The rule engine works by mapping built-in operations which occur in a given rule to public instance methods of a helper class associated with the rule. By default, this helper class is `org.jboss.byteman.rule.helper.Helper`, which provides the standard set of built-ins designed to simplify management of threads in a multi-threaded application. However, it is possible to specify an alternative helper class for each individual rule.

Any non-abstract class may be specified as the helper. Its public instance methods automatically become available as built-in operations in the rule event, condition and action. For example, by specifying a helper class which extended the default class, `Helper`, a rule would be able to use any of the existing built-ins and/or also make rule-specific (or application-specific) built-in calls. So, although the default Byteman rule language is oriented towards orchestrating the behaviour of independent threads in multi-threaded tests, Byteman can easily be reconfigured to support a much wider range of application requirements.

Agent Transformation

The bytecode modifications performed by Byteman are implemented using a *Java agent* program. JVM class loaders provide agents with an opportunity to modify loaded bytecode just prior to compilation (see package `java.lang.instrumentation` for details of how Java agents work). The Byteman agent reads the rule script at JVM bootstrap. It then monitors method code as it is loaded looking for *trigger points*, locations in the method bytecode which match the locations specified in rule events.

The agent inserts *trigger calls* into code at each point which matches a rule event. Trigger calls are calls to the rule execution engine which identify:

- the *trigger method*, i.e. the method which contains the trigger point
- the rule which has been matched
- the arguments to the trigger method

If several rules match the same trigger point then there will be a sequence of trigger calls, one for each matching rule, and rules will be triggered in the order they appear in their script(s).

When a trigger call occurs the rule execution engine locates the relevant rule and then executes it. The rule execution engine establishes bindings for variables mentioned in the rule event and then *tests* the rule condition. If the condition evaluates to true it *fires* the rule, executing each of the rule actions in sequence.

Trigger calls pass the method recipient (this) and method arguments to the rule engine. These values may be referred to in the condition and action with a standard naming convention, \$0, \$1 etc. The event specification can introduce bindings for additional variables. Bindings for these variables may be initialized using literal data or by invoking methods or operations on the method parameters and/or static data. Variables bound in the event can simply be referred to by name in the condition or action. Bindings allow arbitrary data from the triggering context to be tested in the condition in order to decide whether to fire the rule and to be employed as a target or parameter for rule actions. Note that the agent will eventually be updated to pass local variables which are in scope at the trigger point as arguments to the trigger call, making them available as default bindings.

The agent also compiles exception handler code around the trigger calls in order to deal with exceptions which might arise during rule processing. This is not intended to handle errors detected during operation of the rule execution engine (they should all be caught and dealt with internally). Exceptions are thrown out of the execution engine to alter the flow of control through the triggering method. Normally, after returning from a trigger call the triggering thread continues to execute the original method code. However, a rule can use the return and throw built-in actions to specify that an early return or exception throw should be performed *from the trigger method*. The rule language implementation achieves this by throwing its own private, internal exceptions below the trigger call. The handler code compiled into the trigger method catches these internal exceptions and then either returns to the caller or recursively throws a runtime or application-specific exception. This avoids normal execution of the remaining code in the body of the triggering method. If there are other trigger calls pending at the trigger point then these are also bypassed when a return or throw action is executed.

ECA Rule Engine

The Byteman rule execution engine consists of a rule parser, type checker and interpreter/compiler. The rule parser is invoked by the agent during bootstrap. This provides enough information to enable the agent to identify potential trigger points.

Rules cannot be type checked and compiled until the class and method bytecode they refer to has been loaded. This is because type checking requires identifying properties of the trigger class and, potentially, of classes it mentions using reflection. The type checker needs to identify properties of loaded classes such as the types and accessibility of fields, method signatures etc. So, in order to ensure that the trigger class and all its dependent classes have been loaded before the type checker tries to access them, rules are type checked and compiled the first time they are triggered. This also avoids the cost of checking and compiling rules included in the rule set which do not actually get called.

A single rule may be associated with more than one trigger point. Firstly, depending upon how precisely the rule specifies its event, it may apply to more than one class or more than one method within a class. But secondly, even if a rule specifies a class and method unambiguously the same bytecode file may be loaded by different class loaders. So, the rule has to be type checked and compiled for each applicable trigger point.

If a type check or compile operation fails the rule engine prints an error and disables execution of the trigger call. Note that in cases where the event specification is ambiguous a rule may type check successfully against one trigger point but not against another. Rule execution is only disabled for cases where the type check fails.

In the basic operating mode, trigger calls execute a rule by interpreting the rule parse tree. It is also possible to configure the rule engine to translate the rule bindings, condition and actions to bytecode which can then be passed by the JIT compiler. In either case,

execution is performed with the help of an auxiliary class generated at runtime by the Byteman agent called a *helper adapter*. This class is actually a subclass of the helper class associated with the rule. It inherits from the helper class so that it knows how to execute built-in operations defined by the helper class. A subclass is used to add extra functionality required by the rule system, most notably method `execute` which gets called at the trigger point and a local bindings field which stores a hashmap mapping method parameters and event variables to their bound values.

When a rule is triggered the rule engine creates an instance of the rule's helper adapter class to provide a context for the trigger call. It uses setter methods generated by the Byteman agent to initialise the rule and bindings fields and then it calls the adapter instance's `execute` method. Since each rule triggering is handled by its own adapter instance this ensures that concurrent triggers of the same rule from different threads do not interfere with each other.

The interpreted version of `execute` locates the triggered rule and, from there, the parse tree for the event, condition and action. It traverses the parse trees of these three rule components evaluating each expression recursively. Bindings are looked up or assigned during rule execution when they are referred to from within the rule event, condition or action. When the `execute` method encounters a call to a built-in it can execute this call using reflection to invoke one of the methods inherited from its helper superclass.

When compilation of rules is enabled the Byteman agent generates an `execute` method which contains inline bytecode derived from the rule event condition and action. This directly encodes all the operations and method invocations defined in the rule. This code accesses bindings and executes built-ins in the same way as the interpreted code except that calls to built-in are compiled as direct method invocations on this rather than relying on reflective invocation.

Although compilation takes slightly more time to generate it should provide a performance pay off where the trigger method gets called many times. Ideally, compilation should be selectable per rule or across the board for all rules in a rule set. At present it can only be enabled or disabled globally.

The Byteman Rule Language

Rules are defined in scripts which consists of a sequence of rule definitions interleaved with comment lines. Comments may occur within the body of a rule definition as well as preceding or following a definition but must be on separate lines from the rule text.

Comments are lines which begin with a # character:

```
#####  
# Example Rule Set  
#  
# a single rule definition  
RULE example rule  
# comment line in rule body  
.  
.  
.  
ENDRULE
```

Rule Events

Rule event specifications identify a specific *location* in a *target* method associated with a *target* class. Target methods can be either static or instance methods or constructors. If no detailed location is specified the default location is entry to the target method. So, the basic schema for a single rule is as follows:

```
# rule skeleton  
RULE <rule name>  
CLASS <class name>  
METHOD <method name>  
BIND <bindings>  
IF <condition>  
DO <actions>  
ENDRULE
```

The name of the rule following the RULE keyword can be any free form text with the restriction that it must include at least one non-white space character. Rule names do not have to be unique but it obviously helps when debugging rule scripts if they clearly identify the rule. The rule name is printed whenever an error is encountered during parsing, type checking, compilation or execution.

The class and method names following the CLASS and METHOD keywords must be on the same line. The class name can identify a class either with or without the package qualification. The method name can identify a method with or without an argument list or return type. A constructor method is identified using the special name <init>. For example,

```
# class and method example  
RULE any commit on any coordinator engine  
CLASS CoordinatorEngine  
METHOD commit  
.  
.  
.  
ENDRULE
```

matches the rule with any class whose name is CoordinatorEngine, irrespective of the package it belongs to. When any class with this name is loaded then the agent will insert a trigger point at the beginning of any method named commit. If there are several occurrences of this method, with different signatures then each method will have a trigger

point inserted.

More precise matches can be guaranteed by adding more detail. For example,

```
# class and method example 2
RULE commit with no arguments on wst11 coordinator engine
CLASS com.arjuna.wst11.messaging.engines.CoordinatorEngine
METHOD State commit()
AT LINE 324
. . .
ENDRULE
```

This rule will only match the `CoordinatorEngine` class in package `com.arjuna.wst11.messaging.engines` and only match a method `commit` with no arguments and with a return type whose name is `State`. Note that the package for class `State` is left unspecified. The type checker infers this information from the matched method.

The previous example also employs the location specifier `AT LINE`. The text following the line keyword must be able to be parsed to derive an integer line number. This directs the agent to insert the trigger call at the start of a particular line in the source code.

Note:

The Byteman agent *will not* transform any classes in package `java.lang` nor classes in package `org.jboss.byteman` (the byteman package itself).

Location Specifiers

It is easy and convenient to use line numbers to specify locations in code which is not subject to change. However, this is less useful for automated testing because modifications to the code base can shift the line numbers of unmodified lines invalidating test scripts. Luckily there are several other ways of specifying where a trigger point should be inserted into a target method which relate to the structure of the code. For example,

```
# location specifier example
RULE countdown at commit
CLASS CoordinatorEngine
METHOD commit
AT READ state
. . .
ENDRULE
```

In this rule the trigger point will be inserted just before the first location in the bytecode where a `getField` operation is performed on field called `state`. This is effectively the same as saying that the trigger point will occur at the first point in the source code of the method where field `state` is accessed. By contracts, the following rule would locate the trigger point after the first write to field `recovered`:

```
# location specifier example 2
RULE add countdown at recreate
CLASS CoordinatorEngine
METHOD <init>
AFTER WRITE CoordinatorEngine.recovered
. . .
ENDRULE
```

Note that in the last example the field type is qualified to ensure that the write is to the field

belonging to an instance of class `CoordinatorEngine`.

The full set of location specifiers is as follows

```
AT ENTRY
AT EXIT
AT LINE number
AT READ [type .] field [count]
AFTER READ [ type .] field [count]
AT WRITE [ type .] field [count]
AFTER WRITE [ type .] field [count]
AT INVOKE [ type .] method [ ( argtypes ) ] [count]
AFTER INVOKE [ type .] method [ ( argtypes ) ][count]
AT SYNCHRONIZE [count]
AFTER SYNCHRONIZE [count]
AT THROW [typename] [count]
```

If a location specifier is provided it must immediately follow the `METHOD` specifier. If no location specifier is provided it defaults to `AT ENTRY`.

An `AT ENTRY` specifier normally locates the trigger point before the first executable instruction in the trigger method. An exception to this occurs in the case of a constructor method in which case the trigger point is located before the first instruction following the call to the super constructor or redirection call to an alternative constructor. This is necessary to ensure that rules do not attempt to bind and operate on the instance before it is constructed

An `AT EXIT` specifier locates a trigger point at each location in the trigger method where a normal return of control occurs (i.e. wherever there is an implicit or explicit return but not where a throw exits the method).

An `AT LINE` specifier locates the trigger point before the first executable bytecode instruction in the trigger method whose source line number is greater than or equal to the line number supplied as argument to the specifier. If there is no executable code at (or following) the specified line number the agent will not insert a trigger point (note that it does not currently print an error in such cases because this may merely indicate that the rule does not apply to this particular class or method – perhaps this behaviour needs revising?).

An `AT READ` specifier locates the trigger point before the first mention of an object field whose name matches the supplied field name i.e. it corresponds to the first occurred of a corresponding `getField` instruction in the bytecode. If a type is specified then the `getField` instruction will only be matched if the named field is declared by a class whose name matches the supplied type. If a count *N* is supplied then the *N*th matching `getField` will be used as the trigger point. Note that the count identifies to the *N*th textual occurrence of the field access, not the *N*th field access in a particular execution path at runtime.

An `AFTER READ` specification is identical to an `AT READ` specification except that it locates the trigger point after the `getField` bytecode.

`AT WRITE` and `AFTER WRITE` specifiers are the same as the corresponding `READ` specifiers except that they correspond to assignments to the named field in the source code i.e. they identify `putField` instructions.

`AT INVOKE` and `AFTER INVOKE` specifiers are like `READ` and `WRITE` specifiers except that they identify invocations of methods or constructors within the trigger method as the

trigger point. The method may be identified using a bare method name or the name may be qualified by a, possibly package-qualified, type or by a descriptor. A descriptor consists of a comma-separated list of type names within brackets. The type names identify the types of the method parameters and may be prefixed with package qualifiers and employ array bracket pairs as suffixes.

AT SYNCHRONIZE and AFTER SYNCHRONIZE specifiers identify synchronization blocks in the target method, i.e. they correspond to MONITORENTER instructions in the bytecode. Note that AFTER SYNCHRONIZE identifies the point immediately after entry to the synchronized block rather than the point immediately after exit from the block.

An AT THROW specifier identifies a throw operation within the trigger method as the trigger point. The throw operation may be qualified by a, possibly package-qualified, typename identifying the lexical type of the thrown exception. If a count *N* is supplied then the location specifies the *N*th textual occurrence of a throw. n.b. the exception typename will be ignored in the current release.

n.b. for hysterical reasons CALL may be used as a synonym for INVOKE, RETURN may be used as a synonym for EXIT and the AT in an AT LINE specifier is optional.

Rule Bindings

The event specification includes a binding specification which computes values for variables which can subsequently be referenced in the rule body. These values will be computed each time the rule is triggered before testing the rule condition. For example,

```
# binding example
RULE countdown at commit
CLASS com.arjuna.wst11.messaging.engines.CoordinatorEngine
METHOD commit
AT READ state
BIND engine:CoordinatorEngine = $0,
    recovered:boolean = engine.isRecovered(),
    identifier:String = engine.getId()
. . .
ENDRULE
```

creates a variable called `engine`. This variable is bound to the recipient of the `commit` method call which triggered the rule, identified by the parameter reference `$0` (if `commit` was a static method then reference to `$0` would result in a type check exception). Arguments to the trigger method can be identified using parameter references with successive indices, `$1`, `$2` etc. The declaration of `engine` specifies its type as being `CoordinatorEngine` though this is not strictly necessary since it can be inferred from the type of `$0`.

Similarly, variables `recovered` and `identifier` are bound by evaluating the expressions on the right of the `=` operator. Note that the binding for `engine` has been established before these variables are bound so it can be referenced in the evaluated expression. Once again, type specifications are provided but they could be inferred.

The special syntax `BIND NOTHING` is available for cases where the rule does not need to employ any bindings.

Rule Expressions

Expressions which occur on the right hand side of the = operator in event bindings can be simple expressions i.e.

- references to previously bound variables
- static data references
- primitive literals
- field accesses
- method invocations
- built-in operation invocations

n.b. built-in operations are explained in more detail below.

Expressions can also be complex expressions composed from other expressions using the usual Java operators: +, -, *, /, %, &, |, ^, &&, ||, !, ==, !=, <, <=, >, >=, etc. The ternary conditional expression operator, ? :, can also be employed. The type checker does its best to identify the types of simple and complex expressions wherever possible. So, for example, if it knows the type of bound variable `engine` then it will be able to employ reflection to infer the type of a field access `engine.recovered`, a method invocation `engine.isRecovered()`, etc.

Note:

- The assignment operator is not available for use in expressions. It can only be employed at the top level in binding specifications.
- Use of the new operator is not currently allowed in expressions.
- throw and return operations are only allowed as the last action in a sequence of rule actions (see below).
- Expressions should obey the normal rules regarding associativity and precedence.
- It should eventually be possible to allow expressions to make references to local variables which are in scope at the trigger point as well as to method arguments. So, for example, if the synchronization block of method `commit` was preceded by a declaration for an `int` variable with name `idx` then the example rule should be able to include references to this variable in expressions by employing a local variable reference of the form `$idx`. This reference would evaluate to the value of the local variable when the trigger point was reached.

Rule Conditions

Rule conditions are nothing more than rule expressions with boolean type. For example,

```
# condition example
RULE countdown at commit
CLASS com.arjuna.wst11.messaging.engines.CoordinatorEngine
METHOD commit
AT READ state
BIND engine:CoordinatorEngine = $0,
    recovered:boolean = engine.isRecovered(),
    identifier:String = engine.getId()
IF    recovered
. . .
ENDRULE
```

merely tests the value of bound variable `recovered`. The same effect could be achieved by using the following condition

```
# condition example 2
RULE countdown at commit
CLASS com.arjuna.wst11.messaging.engines.CoordinatorEngine
METHOD commit
AT READ state
BIND engine:CoordinatorEngine = $0,
. . .
IF    engine.isRecovered()
. . .
ENDRULE
```

Alternatively, if, say, the instance employed a public field, `recovered`, to store the boolean value returned by method `isRecovered` then the same effect would be achieved by the following condition.

```
# condition example 3
RULE countdown at commit
CLASS com.arjuna.wst11.messaging.engines.CoordinatorEngine
METHOD commit
AT READ state
BIND engine:CoordinatorEngine = $0,
. . .
IF    engine.recovered
. . .
ENDRULE
```

Note that the boolean literal `true` is available for use in expressions so a rule which should always fire can use this as the condition expression.

Rule Actions

Rule actions are either a rule expression or a return or throw expression or a comma-separated sequence of rule expressions, possibly ending with a return or throw expression. Rule expressions occurring in an action list may have arbitrary type, including void type.

A return expressions is the `return` keyword possibly followed by a rule expression which is used to compute a return value. A return expression causes a return from the triggering method so it may omit a return value if and only if the method is void. If a return value is employed then the type checker will ensure that it's type is assignable to the return type of the trigger method. So, for example, the following use of `return` is legitimate assuming

method `commit` has return type `boolean`:

```
# return example
RULE countdown at commit
CLASS com.arjuna.wst11.messaging.engines.CoordinatorEngine
METHOD commit
AT READ state

    . . .
DO debug("returning early with failure"),
    return false
ENDRULE
```

A `throw` expression is the `throw` keyword followed by an exception constructor expression. An exception constructor expression is the class name of the exception which is to be thrown followed by an argument list. The argument list may be empty i.e. it may consist of an open and close bracket pair. Alternatively, the brackets may include a single rule expression or a sequence of rule expressions separated by commas. If no arguments are supplied the exception type must implement an empty constructor. If arguments are supplied then the exception type must implement a constructor whose signature is type-compatible.

A `throw` expression causes an exception of the type named in the exception constructor to be created and thrown from the triggering method. In order for this to be valid the expression type must either be assignable to `java.lang.RuntimeException` or be explicitly declared in the triggering method's `throws` list. The type checker will throw a type exception if either of these conditions is not met. So, for example, the following use of `throw` is legitimate assuming method `commit` includes `WrongStateException` in its `throws` list.

```
# throw example
RULE countdown at commit
CLASS com.arjuna.wst11.messaging.engines.CoordinatorEngine
METHOD commit
AT READ state

    . . .
DO debug("throwing wrong state"),
    throw WrongStateException()
ENDRULE
```

An empty action list may be specified using the keyword `NOTHING`.

Built-In Calls

Built-in calls are written without a recipient as though they were invocations of a method on `this`. The rule engine identifies calls in this format and translates them to runtime invocations of helper class instance methods. So, referring back to the last few examples, it is apparent that the helper class implements a debugging method with signature

```
boolean debug(String message)
```

This method prints the supplied string to `System.out` and always returns `true`. It can be used in a rule action to display a trace message, for example:

```
DO    debug("killing JVM"), killJVM()
```

When the `debug` built-in is executed the rule engine calls the corresponding method of the current helper instance passing it the string `"killing JVM"`. Method `killJVM` is

another built-in implemented by an instance method of the default helper class `Helper`.

Note that method `debug` has a boolean signature so that tracing can also be performed in rule conditions. This would normally occur in combination with a test of some bound variable or method parameter, for example:

```
IF    debug("checking for recovered participant")
AND
    participant.isRecovered()
AND
    debug("recovered participant " + participant.getId())
```

n.b. `AND` is an alternative token for the Java `&&` operator.

The rule language implementation automatically exposes all public instance methods of class `Helper` as built-in operations. So when the rule type checker encounters an invocation of `debug` with no recipient supplied it identifies that `debug` is a method of class `Helper` and automatically type checks the call against this method. At execution time the call is executed by invoking the implementation of `debug` on the helper instance created under the rule trigger call.

This feature allows additional built-ins to be added to the rule engine simply by adding new helper implementations. No changes are required to the parser, type checker and compiler in order for this to work.

User-Defined Rule Helpers

A rule can specify its own helper class if it wants to extend, override or replace the set of built-in calls available for use in its event, condition or action. For example, in the following rule, class `FailureInjector` is used as the helper class. Its boolean instance method `injectWrongState(CoordinatorEngine)` is called from the condition to decide whether or not to throw a `WrongStateException`.

```
# helper example
RULE help yourself
CLASS com.arjuna.wst11.messaging.engines.CoordinatorEngine
METHOD commit
HELPER com.arjuna.wst11.messaging.engines.FailureInjector
AT EXIT
BIND NOTHING
IF injectWrongState($0)
DO throw WrongStateException()
ENDRULE
```

A helper class does not need to implement any special interface or inherit from any pre-defined class. It merely needs to provide instance methods to resolve the built-in calls which occur in the rule. By sub-classing the default helper it is possible to extend or override the default set of methods. For example, the following rule employs a helper which adds emphasis to the debug messages printed by the rule.


```

# helper example 2
RULE help yourself but rely on others
CLASS com.arjuna.wst11.messaging.engines.CoordinatorEngine
METHOD commit
HELPER HelperSub
AT ENTRY
BIND NOTHING
IF NOT flagged($0)
DO debug("throwing wrong state"),
    flag($0)
    throw WrongStateException()
ENDRULE

```

```

class HelperSub extends Helper
{
    public boolean debug(String message)
    {
        super("!!! IMPORTANT EVENT !!! " + message);
    }
}

```

The rule is still able to employ the built-in methods `flag` and `flagged` defined by the default helper class.

Byteman Rule Language Standard Built-Ins

The default helper class provides the following standard suite of built-in calls for use in rule expressions. These are primarily intended for use in condition and action expressions but they may also be called in event bindings. They provide features which are designed to make it easy to perform complex tests, in particular to coordinate the actions of threads in multi-threaded applications. Built-in operations divide into three categories, thread coordination operations, rule state management operations and trace and debug operations

Thread Coordination Operations

Waiters

The rule engine provides Waiters used to suspend threads during rule execution and then have other threads wake them up. The wakeup can simply allow the suspended thread to resume execution of the rule which suspended it. Alternatively, it can force the waiting thread to exit from the triggering method with an exception. The API defined by the helper class is

```
public void waitFor(Object identifier)
public void waitFor(Object identifier, long millisecsWait)
public boolean waiting(Object identifier)
public boolean signalWake(Object identifier)
public boolean signalWake(Object identifier, boolean
mustMeet)
public boolean signalThrow(Object identifier)
public boolean signalThrow(Object identifier, boolean
mustMeet)
```

As with CountDowns, Waiters are identified by an arbitrary object. Note that the wait operation is not performed by invoking `Object.wait` on `identifier`. Doing so might interfere with locking and synchronization operations performed by the triggering method or its callers. The identifier is merely used by the rule engine to associate wait and signal operations. The Helper class employs it's own private Waiter object to manage the synchronization activity.

`waitFor` is intended for use in a rule action. It suspends the current thread on the Waiter associated with the identifier until either a `signalWake` or a `signalThrow` is called with the same identifier. In the former case the thread will continue processing any subsequent actions and then return from the trigger call. In the latter case the thread will throw a runtime exception from the triggering method call frame. The version without a wait parameter will never time out. The version which employs a wait parameter will time out after the specified number of milliseconds.

`waiting` is intended for use in rule conditions. it will return true if any threads are waiting on the relevant Waiter for a signal. It returns false if there are no threads waiting.

`signalWake` is intended for use in rule conditions or actions. If there are threads waiting on the Waiter associated with `identifier` it wakes them and returns true. If not it returns false. Note this behaviour ensures that a race between multiple threads to signal waiting threads from a rule condition can only have one winner.

`signalWake` takes an optional argument `mustMeet` which is useful in situations where it

cannot be guaranteed that the waiting thread will reach its trigger point before the signalling thread arrives at its trigger point. If this argument is supplied as `true` then the signalling thread will not deliver its signal until another thread is waiting. If necessary the signalling thread will suspend until a waiting thread arrives. Supplying value `false` is equivalent to omitting the optional argument.

`signalThrow` is identical to `signalWake` except that it does not just wake any waiting threads. It also causes them to throw a runtime exception of type `ExecuteException` from their triggering method call frame when they wake up.

`signalThrow` also takes an optional argument `mustMeet` which enables the same behaviour as for `signalWake`.

Rendezvous

Waiters are useful in situations where there is an asymmetrical relationship between threads: one or more threads need to wait for an event which will be signalled by the thread in which the event happens. A rendezvous provides a way of synchronizing where there is no such asymmetry. A rendezvous also provides a way of introducing asymmetry since it sorts threads by order of arrival. The value returned from the rendezvous built-in can be checked to identify, say, the first (or last) thread to arrive and that thread can be the one whose action is triggered.

```
public boolean createRendezvous(Object identifier,
                                int expected)
public boolean createRendezvous(Object identifier,
                                int expected,
                                boolean rejoinable)
public boolean rendezvous(Object identifier)
public boolean isRendezvous(Object identifier, int expected)
public int getRendezvous(Object identifier, int expected)
```

`createRendezvous` creates a rendezvous identified by `identifier`. `count` identifies the number of threads which must meet at the rendezvous before any one of them is allowed to continue execution. The optional argument `rejoinable` defaults to `false` in which case any attempt to meet once the first `count` threads have arrived will fail. If it is supplied as `true` then once `count` threads have arrived the rendezvous will be reset, enabling another round of meetings to occur. `createRendezvous` returns `true` if the rendezvous is created. If a rendezvous identified by `identifier` already exists it returns `false`. Note that it is legitimate (although pathological) to supply a count of 1.

`rendezvous` is called to meet other threads at a rendezvous identified by `identifier`. If the number of threads (*including the calling thread*) arrived at the rendezvous is less than the expected count then the calling thread is suspended. If the number of threads equals the expected count then all suspended threads are awoken. A rejoinable rendezvous has its arrived count reset to 0 at this point. If the rendezvous is not rejoinable then it is deleted and any subsequent call to `rendezvous` using the original identifier will return -1.

`isRendezvous` will return `true` if a rendezvous identified by `identifier` with the expected count is currently active. If there is no active rendezvous identified by `identifier` or it exists but has a different expected count then `getRendezvous` will return `false`.

`getRendezvous` will return the number of threads waiting at the rendezvous identified by `identifier` or 0 if no threads are currently waiting. If there is no rendezvous identified

by identifier or it exists but has a different expected count then getRendezvous will return -1.

Aborting Execution

The rule engine provides two built-ins for use in rule actions which allow execution of the triggering method to be aborted. The API defined by the helper class is

```
public void killThread()  
public void killJVM()  
public void killJVM(int exitCode)
```

killThread causes a runtime exception of type `ExecuteException` to be thrown from the triggering method call frame. This will effectively kill the thread unless a catch-all exception handler is installed somewhere up the call stack.

killJVM results in a call to `java.lang.Runtime.getRuntime().halt()`. This effectively kills the JVM without any opportunity for any registered exit handlers to run, simulating a JVM crash. If `exitCode` is not supplied it is defaulted to -1

Rule State Management Operations

CountDowns

The rule engine provides CountDowns which can be used to ensure that firing of some given rule will only occur after other rules have been triggered or fired a certain number of times. The API defined by the helper class is

```
public boolean addCountDown(Object identifier, int count)  
public boolean getCountDown(Object identifier)  
public boolean countDown(Object identifier)
```

CountDowns are identified by an arbitrary object, allowing successive calls to the countdown API to apply to the same or different cases. This identification can be made across different rule and helper instances. For example, one rule might include action `addCountDown($0, 1)` and another rule might include condition `countDown($0)`. A CountDown created by the first rule would only be decremented if the second rule was triggered from a method call with the same value for `this`. CountDowns created by invocations with distinct values for `this` would match up accordingly. However, if the CountDown was identified using a common String literal (i.e. action and condition were `addCountDown("counter", 1)` and `countDown("counter")`, respectively), then the CountDown created by the first rule would be decremented by the next firing of the second rule irrespective of whether the trigger method calls were on related instances.

`addCountDown` is used to create a CountDown. `count` specifies how many times the CountDown will be decremented before a decrement operation fails i.e. if `count` is 1 then the CountDown will decrement once and then fail at the next decrement. If `count` is supplied with a value less than 1 it will be replaced with value 1. `addCountDown` would normally be employed in a rule action. However, it is defined to return true if a new CountDown is created and false if there is already a CountDown associated with the identifier. This allows it to be used in rule conditions where several rules may be racing to create a CountDown.

`getCountDown` is for use in a rule condition to test whether a CountDown associated with a given identifier is present, returning true if so otherwise false.

`countDown` is for use in a rule condition to decrement a `CountDown`. It returns `false` if the decrement succeeds or if there is no `CountDown` associated with `identifier`. It returns `true` if the `CountDown` fails i.e. it has count 0. In the latter case the association between the `identifier` and the `CountDown` is removed, allowing a new `CountDown` to be started using the same `identifier`. Note that this behaviour ensures that a race between multiple threads to decrement a counter from one or more rule conditions can only have one winner.

Flags

The rule engine provides a simple mechanism for setting, testing and clearing global flags. The API defined by the helper class is

```
public boolean flag(Object identifier)
public boolean flagged(Object identifier)
public boolean clear(Object identifier)
```

As before, Flags are identified by an arbitrary object. All three methods are designed to be used either in conditions or actions.

`flag` can be called to ensure that the Flag identified by `identifier` is set. It returns `true` if the Flag was previously clear otherwise `false`. Note that the API is designed to ensure that race conditions between multiple threads trying to set a Flag from rule conditions can only have one winner.

`flagged` tests whether the Flag identified by `identifier` is set. It returns `true` if the Flag is set otherwise `false`.

`clear` can be called to ensure that the Flag identified by `identifier` is clear. It returns `true` if the Flag was previously set otherwise `false`. Note that the API is designed to ensure that race conditions between multiple threads trying to clear a Flag from rule conditions can only have one winner.

Counters

The rule engine provides Counters which maintain global counts across independent rule triggerings. They can be created and initialised, read, incremented and decremented in order track and respond to the number of times various triggerings or firings have happened. Note that unlike `CountDowns` there are no special semantics associated with decrementing a Counter to zero. They may even have negative values. The API defined by the helper class is

```
public boolean createCounter(Object o)
public boolean createCounter(Object o, int count)
public boolean deleteCounter(Object o)
public boolean readCounter(Object o)
public boolean incrementCounter(Object o)
public boolean decrementCounter(Object o)
```

As before, Counters are identified by an arbitrary object. All methods are designed to be used in rule conditions or actions.

`createCounter` can be called to create a new Counter associated with `identifier`. If argument `count` is not supplied then the value of the new Counter defaults to 0. `createCounter` returns `true` if a new Counter was created and `false` if a Counter

associated with `identifier` already exists. Note that the API is designed to ensure that race conditions between multiple threads trying to create a Counter from rule conditions can only have one winner.

`deleteCounter` can be called to delete any existing Counter associated with `identifier`. It returns `true` if the Counter was deleted and `false` if no Counter was associated with `identifier`. Note that the API is designed to ensure that race conditions between multiple threads trying to delete a Counter from rule conditions can only have one winner.

`incrementCounter` can be called to increment the Counter associated with `identifier`. If no such Counter exists it will create one with value 0 before incrementing it. It returns the new value of the Counter.

`decrementCounter` can be called to decrement the Counter associated with `identifier`. If no such Counter exists it will create one with value 0 before decrementing it. It returns the new value of the Counter.

`readCounter` can be called to read the value of the Counter associated with `identifier`. If no such Counter exists it will create one with value 0.

Trace and Debug Operations

Debugging

The rule engine provides a simple built-in debug method to support conditional display of messages during rule execution. The API defined by the helper class is

```
public boolean debug(String message)
```

`debug` prints the supplied message to `System.out`, prefixed with the name of the rule being executed. It always returns `true`, allowing debug messages to be used in conditions by ANDing them with other boolean expressions.

Generation of debug messages can be switched on by setting the following system property on the JVM command line:

```
org.jboss.byteman.debug
```

Tracing

The rule engine provides a set of built-in methods to support logging of trace messages during execution. Messages may be logged to `System.out`, `System.err` or to a named file. The API defined by the helper class is

```
public boolean traceOpen(Object identifier, String filename)
public boolean traceOpen(Object identifier)
public boolean traceClose(Object identifier)
public boolean trace(Object identifier, String message)
public boolean traceLn(Object identifier, String message)
```

`traceOpen` opens the file identified by `fileName` and associates it with `identifier`, returning `true`. `filename` can be either a relative or absolute path. Relative file names are located relative to the current working directory of the JVM. If there is already a file associated with `identifier` then `traceOpen` immediately returns `false`. If a file with the given name already exists it is opened in append mode. If `filename` is omitted then a unique name is generated for the file which is guaranteed not to match any existing trace

file in the current working directory.

`traceClose` closes the file associated with `identifier` and removes the association, returning `true`. If no open file is associated with `identifier` it returns `false`.

`trace` prints message to file associated with `identifier`, returning `true`. If no open file is associated with `identifier` then a file will be opened and associated with `identifier` as if a call to `trace` had been made with no file name supplied.

`traceLn` prints message to file associated with `identifier` and appends a newline to the file, returning `true`. If no open file is associated with `identifier` then a file will be opened and associated with `identifier` as if a call to `trace` had been made with no file name supplied.

A caveat applies to the above descriptions for three special cases. If `identifier` is `null` or the string `"out"`, then `trace` and `traceLn` write to `System.out`. If `identifier` is the string `"err"`, then `trace` and `traceLn` write to `System.err`. `traceOpen` and `traceClose` always return `false` immediately if `identifier` has any of these values.

Using Byteman

Obtaining the sources

Byteman sources are available from the JBossTS SVN repository located under directory `workspace/adinn/orchestration` (they will soon move to their own repository). The source tree includes an `ext` directory containing the external javacup, JFlex and ObjectWeb asm jars needed by the agent and rule code.

The sources include some sample rule scripts located in directory `dd/scripts`.

Building Byteman

Byteman builds to produce a single jar in `build/lib/byteman.jar`. This jar contains the Java agent and rule engine code. This jar currently also bundles in the contents of the external Jflex, javacup runtime and ObjectWeb asm package libraries for ease of use. These could be unbundled so long as they are available in the classpath of the JVM being used to run the test application and the rule code.

The top level directory contains a file `build.xml` with default target `'jar'` which builds the byteman jar. Other useful targets include `'parser'` which rebuilds the javacup/JFlex parser from the grammar rules in `dd/grammar` and `'TestScript'`, which compiles and runs program `TestScript.java`. The latter is an offline type checker which parses and then type checks the rules defined in file `handler.txt` in the top level directory. Note that the latter target requires any classes mentioned in the rules to be in the class path of the `TestScript` program so it may be necessary to edit the rules in `build.xml` to get this to work.

Using Byteman

Using Byteman is refreshingly simple in that it only requires pointing the JVM at the agent code in the jar and at the script files containing the byteman rules. This is specified using the `java` command flag

```
-javaagent:agentlib(=script:agentscript)+
```

This is a standard option for JDK 1.5 and upwards.

agentlib is a path to the byteman jar. The build process inserts a metadata file in the jar which allows the JVM to identify the agent program entry point so everything else is shrink-wrapped.

agentscript is a path to a rule script to be used during the JVM run. Multiple scripts may be provided (the brackets and + sign are regexp syntax and do not actually appear in the command flag). The name of each script file is separated from the *agentlib* file or preceding script file names by an `=script:separator` string. For example, setting

```
export JAVA_OPTS="-javaagent:${HOME}/jboss/workspace/adinn/
orchestration/build/lib/byteman.jar=script:${HOME}/j
boss/workspace/adinn/orchestration/dd/scripts/HeuristicSave
AndRecover.txt"
```

will cause the JVM (and indeed JBoss AS) to pick up the jar file from the build directory and use the script provided in the `dd` directory.

If system property

```
org.jboss.byteman.compileToBytecode
```


is set (with *any* value) then the rule execution engine will compile rules to bytecode before executing them. If this property is unset it will execute rules by interpreting the rule parse tree.

The transformations performed by the agent can be observed by setting several environment variables which cause the transformed bytecode to be dumped to disk.

If system property

`org.jboss.byteman.dump.generated.classes`

is set the agent transformer code will dump a class file containing the bytecode of any class it has modified. The class file is dumped in a directory hierarchy derived from the package of the transformed class. So, for example, class `com.arjuna.Foo` will be dumped to file `com/arjuna/Foo.class`.

If system property

`org.jboss.byteman.dump.generated.classes.directory`

is set to the name of a directory writeable by the JVM then class files will be dumped in a package directory hierarchy below this directory. For example, if this property is set with value `/tmp/dump` then class `com.arjuna.Foo` will be dumped to file `/tmp/dump/com/arjuna/Foo.class`. If this property is unset or does not identify a writeable directory then class files will be dumped below the current working directory of the JVM.

If system property

`org.jboss.byteman.verbose`

is set then the rule execution engine will display a variety of trace messages as it parses, typechecks, compiles and executes rules.

Note that the debug built-in is sensitive to this system property as well as to its own configuration switch

`org.jboss.byteman.debug`

If either of these properties is set then debug calls will print to `System.out`.

Checking Rules Offline

The byteman jar includes a class which exposes a rule parser and type checker. This can be run offline before testing an application to check that rules are valid. It can be driven using a bash shell script `bytemancheck.sh` located in the `bin` directory of the release source tree. It needs to be supplied with a classpath locating the byteman jar and any application classes mentioned in the rules.