

Extension for Ergodicity Theorem

Zhanghao Wu
516030910593

Ergodicity Theorem

If P is irreducible and aperiodic, then there is a unique stationary distribution π such that

$$\forall x, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P^t(x, \cdot) = \pi$$

Thoughts This theorem can be proved by using coupling, which is shown in another note by Boyu Tian. Now we introduce an extension of this theorem in which we will prove the long-term average probability distribution $a^t(x, \cdot) = \frac{1}{t}(P^0(x, \cdot) + P^1(x, \cdot) + \cdots + P^{t-1}(x, \cdot))$ will also converge to π .

Extension

Let $a^t(x, \cdot)$ be the long term average probability distribution,

$$a^t(x, \cdot) = \frac{1}{t}(P^0(x, \cdot) + P^1(x, \cdot) + \cdots + P^{t-1}(x, \cdot))$$

For an irreducible and aperiodic Markov chain, there is a unique stationary distribution π subject to

$$\forall x, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} a^t(x, \cdot) = \pi$$

Proof 1:

Lemma Let P be the transition probability matrix for a connected Markov chain. The $n \times (n + 1)$ matrix $A = [P - I, 1]$ obtained by augmenting the matrix $P - I$ with an additional column of ones has rank n .

Proof: We prove the lemma by contradiction. We assume that the rank of $rank(A) < n$. Then the subspace of solutions to $Ax = 0$ is at least $n + 1 - (n - 1) \geq 2$ dimensions. Each row in P sums to one, so each row in $P - I$ sums to 0. Thus $x_0 = (\mathbf{1}, 0)$ whose entries are all 1 but the last one is 0, is a solution to $Ax = 0$. Assume there was a second solution (x, α) different with $(\mathbf{1}, 0)$. Then $(P - I)x + \alpha \mathbf{1} = 0$ and $\forall i, x_i = \sum_j p_{ij}x_j + \alpha$. Let S_{max} be the set of $i = \operatorname{argmax}_i x_i$. As x differ from $\mathbf{1}$, $\bar{S} \neq \emptyset$. Irreducible implies that for some $k \in S$, x_k is adjacent to some xl of lower value. Thus, $x_k > \sum_j p_{kj}x_j \Rightarrow \alpha > 0$.

On the other hand, for the set S_{min} of $i = \operatorname{argmin}_i x_i$, we can prove that $\alpha < 0$. A contradiction!

Proof for theorem: Similar to the lemma above, let $A = [P - I, \mathbf{1}]$. And let $B = A(:, 2 : \text{end})$ (a notation from matlab, which means that construct a matrix consisting the second column to the last of A). As the sum of each row of $P - I$ is 0, the first n column must be relevant. According to the lemma, $rank(A) = n$, and the first n column of A is relevant, so $rank(B) = n$.

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} a^t(x, \cdot)P - a^t(x, \cdot) &= \frac{1}{t}(P^0(x, \cdot)P + P^1(x, \cdot)P + \cdots + P^{t-1}(x, \cdot)P) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{t}(P^0(x, \cdot) + P^1(x, \cdot) + \cdots + P^{t-1}(x, \cdot)) \\ &= \frac{1}{t}(P^t(x, \cdot) - P^0(x, \cdot)) \end{aligned}$$

Let $b^t(x, \cdot) = a^t(x, \cdot)P - a^t(x, \cdot)$. We have that $|b^t(x, \cdot)| \leq \frac{2}{t} \rightarrow 0$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Let $c^t(x, \cdot)$ be a vector containing all of the entries of $b^t(x, \cdot)$ except the first entry. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} a^t(x, \cdot)B &= [a^t(x, \cdot)P - a^t(x, \cdot), 1](:, 2 : \text{end}) \\ &= [b^t(x, \cdot), 1](:, 2 : \text{end}) \\ &= [c^t(x, \cdot), 1] \rightarrow [\mathbf{0}, 1] \end{aligned}$$

As proved before, B is invertible. Therefore,

$$a^t(x, \cdot) \rightarrow [\mathbf{0}, 1]B^{-1}, \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

Because, $a^t(x, \cdot)P - a^t(x, \cdot) = b^t(x, \cdot) \rightarrow 0$, we have,

$$[\mathbf{0}, 1]B^{-1}P = [\mathbf{0}, 1]B^{-1}, \text{ i.e. } \pi = [\mathbf{0}, 1]B^{-1}$$

Remark: Using the lemma above, we can give another proof for the uniqueness of π , $\pi P = \pi$, for a irreducible Markov chain transition matrix P . In fact, according to the lemma, $A = [P - I, 1]$ has rank n , and as each column of $P - I$ sums to $\mathbf{0}$, we have that $\text{rank}(P - I) = n - 1$. Therefore, $v = vP$ has a one-dimensional space of solutions. This space only contains one vector whose entries sum to 1.

Proof 2:

¹ Here is another proof for the *Extension*. Let $x_t = a^t(x, \cdot)$. Similar to the former proof, we can prove that,

$$\|x_t(I - P)\| = \frac{\|x(I - P^t)\|}{t} \leq \frac{2}{t}, \forall x$$

so any subsequential limit point π of the sequence $\{x_t\}_{t=1}^\infty$ satisfies $\pi P = \pi$. Since π satisfies $\pi P = \pi$ for any non-negative integer t , i.e. $\pi_y = \sum_x \pi_x P_{xy}^t$. Thus, if $\pi_x > 0$ and $P_{xy}^t > 0$, then $\pi_y > 0$. As P is irreducible and there exists x with $\pi_x > 0$, then $\forall y$ satisfy $\pi_y > 0$. One such x exists because $\sum_x \pi_x = 1$.

Now, we prove the sequence $\{x_t\}$ converges.

If $z = y(I - P)$ satisfies $z = zP$, then $z = a^t(z, \cdot) = \frac{1}{t}y(I - P^t)$ must satisfy $\|z\| \leq \frac{2\|y\|}{t}, \forall t$. Therefore, $z = 0$. Since the dimensions of $\text{Im}(I - P)$ and $\text{Ker}(I - P)$ add up to n , it follows that any vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has a unique representation

$$x = u + w, \text{ with } u \in \text{Im}(I - P) \text{ and } w \in \text{Ker}(I - P)$$

Therefore, $x_t = a^t(x, \cdot) = a^t(u, \cdot) + w$, so writing $u = y(I - P)$ we conclude that $\|x_t - \pi\| \leq \frac{2\|y\|}{t}$. If x is a distribution, then also w is a distribution due to w being the limit of x_t . Thus we can take $\pi = w$

¹This proof is shown in *Markov Chains and Mixing Times, second edition-David.A.Levin Yuval Peres*