A Solution for a question in Class 7

Zhanghao Wu 516030910593

- **1 Problem** $h \in \mathbb{R}^{2^{[k]}}$, is an entropy function provided there are RVs, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k , s.t. $h(A) = H(\{X_i\}_{i \in A})$, prove that h satisfies the proporties of polymatroid:
 - 1. $h(\emptyset) = 0$
 - 2. $h(A) < h(B), A \subseteq B$
 - 3. $h(A \cup B) + h(A \cap B) \le h(A) + h(B)$ (It seems that I proved the opposite conclusion)

Solution

- 1. $h(\varnothing) = H(\varnothing) = 0$
- 2. As $A \subset B$, without loss of generality, let $A = \{1, \dots, s\}, B = \{1, \dots, s+t\}$ Then

$$h(A) = H(X_{1}, ..., X_{s})$$

$$= -\sum_{x_{1},...,x_{s}} p(x_{1}, ..., x_{s}) log p(x_{1}, ..., x_{s})$$

$$= -\sum_{x_{1},...,x_{s}} (\sum_{x_{s+1},...,x_{s+t}} p(x_{1}, ..., x_{s+t})) log (\sum_{x_{s+1},...,x_{s+t}} p(x_{1}, ..., x_{s+t}))$$

$$\leq -\sum_{x_{1},...,x_{s}} (\sum_{x_{s+1},...,x_{s+t}} p(x_{1}, ..., x_{s+t}) log p(x_{1}, ..., x_{s+t}))$$

$$= -\sum_{x_{x_{1},...,x_{s+t}}} p(x_{1}, ..., x_{s+t}) log p(x_{1}, ..., x_{s+t})$$

$$= h(B)$$

3. Without loss of generality, let $A \cup B = \{1, \ldots, s\}, A \cap B = \{1, \ldots, t\}, and A = \{1, \ldots, m\}, B = \{1, \ldots, t, m+1, \ldots, s\},$ where $s \ge m \ge t$

According to the definition, we can have that:

$$h(A \cup B) = -\sum_{x_1,\dots,x_s} p(x_1,\dots,x_s) log p(x_1,\dots,x_s)$$

$$h(A \cap B) = -\sum_{x_1, \dots, x_t} p(x_1, \dots, x_t) log p(x_1, \dots, x_t)$$
$$= -\sum_{x_1, \dots, x_s} p(x_1, \dots, x_s) log p(x_1, \dots, x_t)$$

$$h(A) = -\sum_{x_1,\dots,x_m} p(x_1,\dots,x_m) log p(x_1,\dots,x_m)$$
$$= -\sum_{x_1,\dots,x_s} p(x_1,\dots,x_s) log p(x_1,\dots,x_m)$$

$$h(B) = -\sum_{x_1, \dots, x_t, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_s} p(x_1, \dots, x_t, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_s) log p(x_1, \dots, x_t, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_s)$$
$$= -\sum_{x_1, \dots, x_s} p(x_1, \dots, x_s) log p(x_1, \dots, x_t, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_s)$$

In order to prove $h(A \cup B) + h(A \cap B) \le h(A) + h(B)$

$$\Leftrightarrow -\sum_{x_1,\dots,x_s} p(x_1,\dots,x_s)log(p(x_1,\dots,x_s)p(x_1,\dots,x_t))$$

$$\leq -\sum_{x_1,\dots,x_s} p(x_1,\dots,x_s)log(p(x_1,\dots,x_m)p(x_1,\dots,x_t,x_{m+1},\dots,x_s))$$

$$\Leftarrow p(x_1,\dots,x_s)p(x_1,\dots,x_t) \geq p(x_1,\dots,x_m)p(x_1,\dots,x_t,x_{m+1},\dots,x_s), \qquad (1)$$

$$\forall x_1,x_2,\dots,x_s$$

L.H.S =
$$p(x_1, ..., x_m)p(x_{m+1}, ..., x_s|x_1, ..., x_m)p(x_1, ..., x_t)$$

R.H.S = $p(x_1, ..., x_m)p(x_1, ..., x_t)p(x_{m+1}, ..., x_s|x_1, ..., x_t)$

Therefore, in order to prove (1), we just need to prove

$$p(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_s | x_1, \dots, x_m) \ge p(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_s | x_1, \dots, x_t)$$
 (2)

I need help here!!!!

As $p(x_{m+1},...,x_s|x_1,...,x_m) \leq p(x_{m+1},...,x_s|x_1,...,x_t)$ should be a true statement, and then we can use the progress of the provement above to prove that $h(A \cup B) + h(A \cap B) \geq h(A) + h(B)$, which is exactly the reverse of the conclusion.