

The Complexity Classes QMA

Aareyan Manzoor

a2manzoo@uwaterloo.ca

January 1, 2024

The class NP

Definition

Recall the **class NP** consists of languages L such that there is a polynomial time verifier (Turing Machine) V with

- 1 If $x \in L$, then there is a proof y such that $V(x, y) = 1$.
- 2 If $x \notin L$, then there is a disproof y of polynomial length such that $V(x, y) = 0$.

The class MA

Definition

The **class MA** consists of languages L such that there is a polynomial time verifier (Turing Machine) V with

- 1 If $x \in L$, then there is a proof y such that $Pr(V(x, y) = 1) \geq 3/4$.
- 2 If $x \notin L$, then there is a disprove y of polynomial length such that $Pr(V(x, y) = 1) \leq 1/4$.

When is a Quantum algorithm Polynomial?

- If the input has n qubits, then a quantum algorithm should use a Quantum circuit Q_n that takes n qubits as input and outputs a single qubit.

When is a Quantum algorithm Polynomial?

- If the input has n qubits, then a quantum algorithm should use a Quantum circuit Q_n that takes n qubits as input and outputs a single qubit.
- Size complexity would be a bad measure.

When is a Quantum algorithm Polynomial?

- If the input has n qubits, then a quantum algorithm should use a Quantum circuit Q_n that takes n qubits as input and outputs a single qubit.
- Size complexity would be a bad measure.

Definition

A **Polynomial time quantum algorithm** is a polynomial time turing machine that on n inputs outputs quantum circuit Q_n .

The class QMA

Definition

The **class QMA** consists of languages L such that there is a Quantum verifier V with

- 1 If $x \in L$, then there is a proof state $|\phi\rangle$ such that $Pr(V(x, |\phi\rangle) = 1) \geq 3/4$.
- 2 If $x \notin L$, then there is a disprove $|\phi\rangle$ of polynomial length such that $Pr(V(x, |\phi\rangle) = 1) \leq 1/4$.

Local Hamiltonian problem

Definition

A **k -local Hamiltonian** is a self adjoint operator $0 \leq H \leq 1$ on n qubits that only acts on k qubits.

Local Hamiltonian problem

Definition

A **k -local Hamiltonian** is a self adjoint operator $0 \leq H \leq 1$ on n qubits that only acts on k qubits.

Definition

The $k - LH_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the promise problem defined by:

Local Hamiltonian problem

Definition

A **k -local Hamiltonian** is a self adjoint operator $0 \leq H \leq 1$ on n qubits that only acts on k qubits.

Definition

The $k - LH_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the promise problem defined by:

- *Input:* m k -local Hamiltonians $H_1 \dots H_m$ on n qubits.

Local Hamiltonian problem

Definition

A **k -local Hamiltonian** is a self adjoint operator $0 \leq H \leq 1$ on n qubits that only acts on k qubits.

Definition

The $k - LH_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the promise problem defined by:

- *Input:* m k -local Hamiltonians $H_1 \dots H_m$ on n qubits.
- If the smallest eigenvalue of $H = H_1 + \dots + H_m$ is $\leq \beta$ then return 1.

Local Hamiltonian problem

Definition

A **k -local Hamiltonian** is a self adjoint operator $0 \leq H \leq 1$ on n qubits that only acts on k qubits.

Definition

The k - $LH_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the promise problem defined by:

- *Input:* m k -local Hamiltonians $H_1 \dots H_m$ on n qubits.
- If the smallest eigenvalue of $H = H_1 + \dots + H_m$ is $\leq \beta$ then return 1.
- If the smallest eigenvalue of $H = H_1 + \dots + H_m$ is $> \alpha$ then return 0.

Local Hamiltonian problem

Definition

A **k -local Hamiltonian** is a self adjoint operator $0 \leq H \leq 1$ on n qubits that only acts on k qubits.

Definition

The k - $LH_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the promise problem defined by:

- *Input:* m k -local Hamiltonians $H_1 \dots H_m$ on n qubits.
- If the smallest eigenvalue of $H = H_1 + \dots + H_m$ is $\leq \beta$ then return 1.
- If the smallest eigenvalue of $H = H_1 + \dots + H_m$ is $> \alpha$ then return 0.

3SAT reduces to 3-LH

Proof.

- Let $\phi(x_1 \dots x_n) = \bigwedge_i R_i$ be a formula for 3Sat.
- $R_i = x_j \vee \dots$: Define H_i to do $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ to j th qubit.

3SAT reduces to 3-LH

Proof.

- Let $\phi(x_1 \dots x_n) = \bigwedge_i R_i$ be a formula for 3Sat.
- $R_i = x_j \vee \dots$: Define H_i to do $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ to j th qubit.
- $R_i = \neg x_j \vee \dots$: Define H_i to do $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ to j th qubit.

3SAT reduces to 3-LH

Proof.

- Let $\phi(x_1 \dots x_n) = \bigwedge_i R_i$ be a formula for 3Sat.
- $R_i = x_j \vee \dots$: Define H_i to do $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ to j th qubit.
- $R_i = \neg x_j \vee \dots$: Define H_i to do $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ to j th qubit.
- all the $|x\rangle$ are eigenvalues of H_i , with eigenvalue 0 \iff x satisfies R_i .

3SAT reduces to 3-LH

Proof.

- Let $\phi(x_1 \dots x_n) = \bigwedge_i R_i$ be a formula for 3Sat.
- $R_i = x_j \vee \dots$: Define H_i to do $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ to j th qubit.
- $R_i = \neg x_j \vee \dots$: Define H_i to do $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ to j th qubit.
- all the $|x\rangle$ are eigenvalues of H_i , with eigenvalue 0 \iff x satisfies R_i .
- Hence $H = \sum H_i$ has smallest eigenvalue 0 $\iff \phi$ is satisfiable.



3-LH is in QMA

Proof.

- Take $|\psi\rangle$ to be a state that minimizes $\langle\psi| H |\psi\rangle$.

3-LH is in QMA

Proof.

- Take $|\psi\rangle$ to be a state that minimizes $\langle\psi| H |\psi\rangle$.
- pick i randomly and measure in POVM $\{H_i, 1 - H_i\}$

3-LH is in QMA

Proof.

- Take $|\psi\rangle$ to be a state that minimizes $\langle\psi| H |\psi\rangle$.
- pick i randomly and measure in POVM $\{H_i, 1 - H_i\}$
- The probability of getting 0 is

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m \langle\psi| H_i |\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{n} \langle\psi| H |\psi\rangle .$$

3-LH is in QMA

Proof.

- Take $|\psi\rangle$ to be a state that minimizes $\langle\psi| H |\psi\rangle$.
- pick i randomly and measure in POVM $\{H_i, 1 - H_i\}$
- The probability of getting 0 is

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m \langle\psi| H_i |\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{n} \langle\psi| H |\psi\rangle .$$

- If $|\psi\rangle^{\otimes N}$ for large N was the proof, we could figure out $\langle\psi| H |\psi\rangle$ to high precision.

Where does the “local” come in?

- Note 3-LH is **not** in NP. This is because a proof state would have exponential embedding.

Where does the “local” come in?

- Note 3-LH is **not** in NP. This is because a proof state would have exponential embedding.
- Locality assures input can be embedded independent of number of qubits n .

Where does the “local” come in?

- Note 3-LH is **not** in NP. This is because a proof state would have exponential embedding.
- Locality assures input can be embedded independent of number of qubits n .
- In 3Sat, the number of variables of the formula doesn't matter, the number of clauses does.

$O(\log(n))$ -LH is QMA complete

Proof: Kataev-Shen-Viyali.

- Goal: For each x , find Local Hamiltonian H_x .

$O(\log(n))$ -LH is QMA complete

Proof: Kataev-Shen-Viyali.

- Goal: For each x , find Local Hamiltonian H_x .
- If $x \in L$ then H_x should have small eigenvalue and vice versa.

$O(\log(n))$ -LH is QMA complete

Proof: Kataev-Shen-Viyali.

- Goal: For each x , find Local Hamiltonian H_x .
- If $x \in L$ then H_x should have small eigenvalue and vice versa.
- The quantum circuit for a given QMA problem is $U = U_T \dots U_1$.

$O(\log(n))$ -LH is QMA complete

Proof: Kataev-Shen-Viyali.

- Goal: For each x , find Local Hamiltonian H_x .
- If $x \in L$ then H_x should have small eigenvalue and vice versa.
- The quantum circuit for a given QMA problem is $U = U_T \dots U_1$.
- Has N inputs, with m ancilla qubits set to 0.

$O(\log(n))$ -LH is QMA complete

Proof: Kataev-Shen-Viyali.

- Goal: For each x , find Local Hamiltonian H_x .
- If $x \in L$ then H_x should have small eigenvalue and vice versa.
- The quantum circuit for a given QMA problem is $U = U_T \dots U_1$.
- Has N inputs, with m ancilla qubits set to 0.
- Find H on $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{T+1}$ which will be of the form

$$H = H_{in} + H_{out} + H_{prop}.$$



The Local Hamiltonians

Proof.

$$H_{in} = \left(\sum_{i=m+1}^N |1\rangle_i \langle 1|_i \right) \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|$$

The Local Hamiltonians

Proof.

$$H_{in} = \left(\sum_{i=m+1}^N |1\rangle_i \langle 1|_i \right) \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|$$

$$H_{out} = |0\rangle\langle 0|_1 \otimes |T\rangle\langle T|$$

The Local Hamiltonians

Proof.

$$H_{in} = \left(\sum_{i=m+1}^N |1\rangle_i \langle 1|_i \right) \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|$$

$$H_{out} = |0\rangle\langle 0|_1 \otimes |T\rangle\langle T|$$

$$H_{prop} = \sum_{j=0}^T H_j$$

The Local Hamiltonians

Proof.

$$H_{in} = \left(\sum_{i=m+1}^N |1\rangle_i \langle 1|_i \right) \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|$$

$$H_{out} = |0\rangle\langle 0|_1 \otimes |T\rangle\langle T|$$

$$H_{prop} = \sum_{j=0}^T H_j$$

$$2H_j = I \otimes (|j\rangle\langle j| + |j-1\rangle\langle j-1|) - U_j \otimes |j\rangle\langle j-1| - U_j^* \otimes |j-1\rangle\langle j|$$



When $x \in L$

Proof.

- Suppose $x \in L$ and has proof state $|\xi\rangle$ to feed to the circuit.

When $x \in L$

Proof.

- Suppose $x \in L$ and has proof state $|\xi\rangle$ to feed to the circuit.
- Let

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L+1}} \sum_{j=0}^T U_j \dots U_1 |\xi, 0\rangle \otimes |j\rangle.$$

When $x \in L$

Proof.

- Suppose $x \in L$ and has proof state $|\xi\rangle$ to feed to the circuit.

- Let

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L+1}} \sum_{j=0}^T U_j \dots U_1 |\xi, 0\rangle \otimes |j\rangle.$$

- Clearly $\langle\psi| H_{in} |\psi\rangle = \langle\psi| H_{prop} |\psi\rangle = 0$.

When $x \in L$

Proof.

- Suppose $x \in L$ and has proof state $|\xi\rangle$ to feed to the circuit.
- Let

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L+1}} \sum_{j=0}^T U_j \dots U_1 |\xi, 0\rangle \otimes |j\rangle.$$

- Clearly $\langle\psi| H_{in} |\psi\rangle = \langle\psi| H_{prop} |\psi\rangle = 0$.
- Note

$$\langle\psi| H_{out} |\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{L+1} |\langle 0, 0 | U |\xi, 0\rangle|^2 = \frac{P(V(x, |\xi\rangle) = 0)}{L+1}.$$

References

Kataev, Shen, Vyali, *Classical and Quantum Computation*, 1999
O'Donnell, *Lecture 24: QMA: Quantum Merlin-Arthur*, 2015.
Kempe, Regev, *3-Local Hamiltonian is QMA-complete*, 2003