#### Algebraic Data Types with dPL

YSC-3208: Programming Language Design & Implementation

Răzvan Voicu

Week 08, March 6-10

Denotational Semantics of dPL

- 2 Syntax of dPL
- 3 Type System for dPL
- 4 Denotational Semantics of dPL
- 5 Virtual Machine for dPL

## Data Types in SimPL

- One deficiency in simPL its inability to model complex data types.
- If we drop well-typedness requirement, we can actually use functions to encode data types!

Denotational Semantics of dPL

#### Pairs as Functions

Data Types

Constucting a pair using a conditional

```
let p = fun i ->
           if i=1 then 10 else true end
        end
in ...
end
```

Accessing pairs by application

```
let p = fun i ->
           if i=1 then 10 else true end
        end
in ... (p 1) ... (p 2) ...
end
```

#### Generic Construction of Pairs

```
let pair =
   fun x y \rightarrow
       fun i ->
          if i=1 then x else y end
       end
   end
in ...
   let p = (pair 10 true)
   in ...
   end
end
```

#### Motivation

#### Disadvantages of data structures as functions:

- difficult to distinguish functions from data structures
- definition of data structures with many components gives rise to large nested conditionals
- inefficient, due to the function closures created, and linear execution of nested conditionals.
- loss of strong typing property

#### Defining Algebraic Data Types

Provide a new way to declare algebraic data types:

type 
$$t$$
 'v<sub>1</sub> ... 'v<sub>s</sub> =  $C_1 \ t1_1 \ ... \ t1_{n1}$  |  $C_2 \ t2_1 \ ... \ t2_{n2}$  : |  $C_m \ tm_1 \ ... \ tm_{nm}$ 

Polymorphism through type variables  $v_1 \dots v_s$ 

Distinct constructor tags :  $\{C_1, \ldots, C_m\}$ 

#### Defining Sum Type

- Algebraic data types allow us to define sum/union types.
- An example is:

```
type mix = I int
          | B bool
```

 Sum/union types allow us to combine different types into the same domain with the help of constructor tags.

For example, I 3 supports an integer value, while B true supports a boolean value in the mix type.

#### Defining Enumerated Data Type

Algebraic data types can also support enumerated types.

Denotational Semantics of dPL

• An example is:

```
type color = Red | Green | Blue
```

#### Defining Product Type

- Algebraic data types allow us to define product types.
- An example is:

```
type pair 'a 'b = Pair 'a 'b
```

 This type is polymorphic and allows two values of types 'a and 'b to be simultaneously captured.

Thus (Pair 3 true) is a value with type pair int bool. Nested pairs are also possible. Example:

```
(Pair 3 (Pair true 4))
```

Denotational Semantics of dPL

#### Defining Recursive Type

• We can also define recursive types:

```
type list 'a = Nil
              | Node 'a (list 'a)
```

- This allows us to build lists of arbitrary lengths. For example:
  - A two-elements list is constructed using (Cons e1 (Cons e2 Nil)).
  - A three-elements is constructed using (Cons e1 (Cons e2 (Cons e3 Nil))).

#### Data Accesses using Pattern-Matching

- While data construction is easy, we need a special pattern-matching language construct for accessing the values by data deconstruction.
- Some examples:

```
match x with Pair a b -> a end
match x with Pair a b -> b end
recfun len xs ->
  match xs with
  Nil -> 0;
  Cons a b -> 1+(len b)
  end
end
```

# Syntax of dPL

Program consists of type declarations and an expression:

$$T_1 \in TDecl \cdots T_n \in TDecl \quad E \in Expr$$

$$T_1$$
; ...;  $T_n$ ;  $E \in Prog$ 

# Syntax of dPL

Syntax for type declaration:

$$T_1 \in Ctr \cdots T_m \in Ctr$$

Denotational Semantics of dPL

type 
$$c$$
 ' $v_1$ ...' $v_x = T_1 \mid \cdots \mid T_m \in TDecl$ 

Syntax for constructor type.

$$C t_1 \ldots t_n \in Ctr$$

# Syntax of dPL

Data construction:

$$E_1 \in Expr \cdots E_n \in Expr$$

$$C E_1 \dots E_n \in Expr$$

Denotational Semantics of dPL

Deconstruction:

$$P_1 \in Pat \cdots P_m \in Pat \qquad E_1 \in Expr \cdots E_m \in Expr$$

match E with  $P_1 \rightarrow E_1$ ; ...;  $P_m \rightarrow E_m$  end  $\in Expr$ 

#### Simple Patterns

For pattern-matching, we use simple patterns of the form below.

$$C v_1 \ldots v_n \in Pat$$

Each complex pattern can be compiled into simpler patterns.

false

#### Syntax of dPL (from simPL)

$$x$$
  $n$  true  $E_1$   $E_2$  where  $p \in \{1, \&, +, -, *\}$   $p[E_1, E_2]$   $E$  where  $p \in \{\setminus, ^{\sim}\}$   $p[E]$ 

$$E$$
  $E_1$   $E_2$ 

if E then  $E_1$  else  $E_2$  end

 $E_1 \cdots$  $E_n$  E

let  $x_1 = E_1 \cdots x_n = E_n$  in E end

E  $E_1$   $\cdots$   $E_n$ 

Denotational Semantics of dPL

 $(E E_1 \cdots E_n)$ 

#### Ε

fun  $x_1 \cdots x_n \rightarrow E$  end

Ε

recfun  $f x_1 \cdots x_n \rightarrow E$  end

#### Examples

The following function constructs a list with the first n even natural numbers.

```
let even = recfun {int->int->int->list int}
  even i counter done ->
    if counter=done then Nil
    else Cons i (even (i+2) (counter+1) done)
  end end
in let evennumbers = fun n -> (even 2 0 n) end
  in ...
  end
end
```

The following function applies a function to every element of its given list.

```
recfun {('a->'b)->list 'a->list 'b}
map f xs -> match xs with
  Nil -> Nil ;
  Cons y ys -> Cons (f y) (map f ys) end end
```

# Examples

The following function applies a binary function f to reduce the list to some given value.

```
recfun {('a->'b->'b)->list 'a->'b->'b}
fold f xs start ->
  match xs with
  Nil -> start;
  Cons y ys -> f y (fold f ys start)
  end
end
```

Denotational Semantics of dPL

- Syntax of dPL
- 3 Type System for dPL
  - Syntax for Types
  - Type Rules
- 5 Virtual Machine for dPL

Denotational Semantics of dPL

# Syntax for Types

Marginally extended from polymorphic simPL.

$$int \in \mathit{Type}$$
  $bool \in \mathit{Type}$  'a  $\in \mathit{Type}$   $t_1 \in \mathit{Type}$   $t_2 \in \mathit{Type}$   $t \in \mathit{Type}$   $t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \in \mathit{Type}$   $\forall$  'a. $t \in \mathit{Type}$ 

# Syntax for Types

$$t_1 \in \mathit{Type} \ \cdots \ t_m \in \mathit{Type}$$

Denotational Semantics of dPL

$$c\ t_1\ \cdots\ t_m\in\ \textit{Type}$$

#### Type Rules

We can check if a constructor belongs to declared type as follows:

Denotational Semantics of dPL

type 
$$c$$
 'a<sub>1</sub>...'a<sub>m</sub> = ··· |  $C$   $t_1'' \cdot \cdot \cdot t_n''$  | ···  $\in$   $TDecl$   $\rho = ['a_1 \mapsto t_1', \ldots, 'a_n \mapsto t_n']$   $t_1 = \rho t_1'' \cdot \cdot \cdot t_n = \rho t_n''$ 

$$C t_1 \cdots t_n \in (c t'_1 \ldots t'_m)$$

#### Type Rules

Type rules for variables and data constructors:

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = t \quad inst(t) \searrow t_2}{\Gamma \vdash x : t_2}$$
[VarI]

#### Type Rule for Pattern Matching

We can type check/infer on pattern-matching, as follows:

 $\Gamma \vdash \text{match } E \text{ with } P_1 \rightarrow E_1; \cdots; P_n \rightarrow E_n \text{ end } : t$ 

#### Type Environment Extension

Need to extend type environment with local variables used by pattern-matching.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \ t_1 \cdots t_n \in t \\ \hline \\ (C \ v_1 \ldots v_n) : t \Longrightarrow [v_1 : t_1, \ldots, v_n : t_n] \end{array}$$

# Type Rule for Try-Catch

- What about type rules for exception handling?
- Define a new type  $\perp$ .
- Support  $\forall t \cdot \bot <: t$ .

# Type Rules

Type rules for exception handling:

- Syntax of dPL
- 3 Type System for dPL
- Denotational Semantics of dPL
- Virtual Machine for dPL

Sem. domain	Definition	Explanation
Bool	{true, false}	ring of booleans
Int	$\{\ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$	ring of integers
EV	Bool + Int + Exc + Fun + Dat	expressible values
DV	Bool + Int + Fun + Dat	denotable values
ld	alphanumeric string	identifiers
Env	ld → DV	environments
Fun	DV ↔ EV	function values
Dat	c DV···DV	data constructors
Exc	$\perp$ (Int)	exceptions

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 \rightarrowtail v_1 \quad \cdots \quad \Delta \Vdash E_k \rightarrowtail v_k \quad k < n \quad \Delta \Vdash E_{k+1} \rightarrowtail \bot (n)$$

$$\Delta \Vdash c E_1 \dots E_n \rightarrowtail \bot(n)$$

$$\Delta \Vdash E_1 \rightarrowtail v_1 \quad \cdots \quad \Delta \Vdash E_n \rightarrowtail v_n$$

$$\Delta \Vdash c E_1 \dots E_n \rightarrow c v_1 \dots v_n$$

#### Rules for dPL

Exception occurring:

$$\Delta \Vdash E \rightarrowtail \bot(n)$$

Denotational Semantics of dPL

$$\Delta \Vdash$$
 match  $E$  with  $P_1 ext{->} E_1$ ;  $\cdots$ ;  $P_n ext{->} E_n$  end  $\rightarrowtail \bot(n)$ 

Missing pattern:

$$\Delta \Vdash E \rightarrowtail C_i \ v_1 \ \dots \ v_n \qquad \forall k \in \{1..n\}. tag(P_k) \neq C_i$$

$$\Delta \Vdash \text{match } E \text{ with } P_1 \rightarrow E_1; \cdots; P_n \rightarrow E_n \text{ end } \mapsto \bot(0)$$

$$\Delta \Vdash E \rightarrowtail C_i \ v_1 \ \dots \ v_m \qquad \Delta + [w_1 \rightarrowtail v_1, \dots, w_m \mapsto v_m] \Vdash E_i \rightarrowtail e$$

 $\Delta \Vdash \mathtt{match}\ E\ \mathtt{with}\ \cdots;\ C_i\ w_1\ \ldots\ w_m\ { ext{->}} E_i;\ \cdots\ \mathtt{end} \rightarrowtail e$ 

- 2 Syntax of dPL
- 3 Type System for dPL
- Virtual Machine for dPL
  - Data Construction
  - Pattern Matching
  - Exception Handling

#### New Instruction for Data Construction

New instructions:

LDCS 
$$((x,i),n).s$$

where x is its symbolic name, i is its integer constructor tag and n is its arity.

$$E_1 \hookrightarrow s_1 \qquad \cdots \qquad E_n \hookrightarrow s_n$$

 $C_i E_1 \ldots E_n \hookrightarrow s_1 \ldots s_n.$ LDCS  $((C_i, i), n)$ 

$$s(pc) = LDCS((-,i), n)$$

 $(v_n,\ldots,v_1,os,pc,e,rs) \Longrightarrow_s (C(i,v_1,\ldots,v_n),os,pc+1,e,rs)$ 

#### New Instruction for Pattern-Matching

#### New instructions:

$$\frac{s}{\text{SWITCH } n.s}$$
  $\frac{s}{\text{ENDSC } (m, l).s}$ 

where n denotes the number of branches, m denotes the number of local variables to be removed at the end of scope.

$$\textit{fresh } \textit{I}, \textit{I}_1 \cdots \textit{I}_n \quad \textit{E} \hookrightarrow \textit{s} \quad \textit{P}_1; \textit{I}; \textit{E}_1 \hookrightarrow \textit{I}_1 : \textit{s}_1 \quad \cdots \quad \textit{P}_n; \textit{I}; \textit{E}_n \hookrightarrow \textit{I}_n : \textit{s}_n$$

match E with  $P_1 \rightarrow E_1$ ;  $\cdots$ ;  $P_n \rightarrow E_n$  end  $\hookrightarrow s.SWITCH n.GOTO <math>I_1, \ldots, GOTO I_n, s_1, \ldots, s_n.LABEL I$ 

## Compilation of Pattern-Matching (optimised)

fresh 
$$I, I_1 \cdots I_n$$
  $E \hookrightarrow s$   $P_1; I; E_1 \hookrightarrow I_1 : s_1 \cdots P_n; I; E_n \hookrightarrow I_n : s_n$ 

```
match E with P_1 \rightarrow E_1; ...; P_n \rightarrow E_n end
\hookrightarrow s.SWITCH n.GOTO l_1, \ldots, GOTO l_{n-1}, s_n, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}, LABEL /
```

### Compilation of Pattern Clauses

fresh I 
$$E_i \hookrightarrow s_i$$

 $C_i \ v_1 \ \ldots \ v_m; l_2; E_i \hookrightarrow \texttt{LABEL} \ l.s_i.\texttt{ENDSC} \ (m, l_2)$ 

$$i \le n$$
  $s(pc) = SWITCH n$ 

$$(C(i, v_1, \ldots, v_m).os, pc, e, rs)$$
  
 $\Rightarrow_s (os, pc+i, e[v_1, \ldots, v_m], rs)$ 

$$s(pc) = \text{ENDSC}(m, l)$$

 $(os, pc, e[v_1, \dots, v_m], rs) \Rightarrow_s (os, l, e, rs)$ 

### Use Runtime Stack for Catching Exceptions

- Use runtime stack to keep track of the catch...with... part of try expressions
- Exception from try part will pop stackframes, until it finds the appropriate catch...with... part

### Compilation for try Statement

fresh  $l_1, l_2$   $E_1 \hookrightarrow s_1$   $E_2 \hookrightarrow s_2$ 

try  $E_1$  catch n with  $E_2$  end  $\hookrightarrow$ (TRY l). $s_1$ .(ENDTRY  $l_2$ ).LABEL  $l.s_2$ .ENDSC (1,  $l_2$ )LABEL  $l_2$ 

# $E \hookrightarrow s$

throw  $E \hookrightarrow s. THROW$ 

Denotational Semantics of dPL

$$s(pc) = TRY I$$

$$(os, pc, e, rs) \Rightarrow_s (os, pc + 1, e, (-1(os), l, e).rs)$$

-1 denotes the TRY catch frame

$$s(pc) = \text{ENDTRY } I$$

$$(os, pc, e, (-1(\_), \_, \_).rs) \rightrightarrows_s (os, I, e, rs)$$

$$n \neq -1$$
  $s(pc) = THROW$ 

$$(\mathit{os}, \mathit{pc}, e, (\mathit{n}, \mathit{pc}', e').\mathit{rs}) \rightrightarrows_{\mathsf{s}} (\mathit{os}, \mathit{pc}, e, \mathit{rs})$$

$$s(pc) = THROW$$

$$(\bot(i).os, pc, e, (-1(os'), pc', e').rs) \Rightarrow_s (os', pc', e'[i], rs)$$