

A Virtual Machine for simPL

YSC3208: Programming Design & Language Implementation

Răzvan Voicu

Week 6, Feb 13 - 17, 2017

1 A Virtual Machine for simPL

- simPLa: An Old Hat
- simPLb: “Adding Division”
- simPLc: Jumping Up and Down
- simPLd: Getting Serious
- simPLe: Getting Recursive
- Tail Recursion: Getting Efficient

n	true	false
$\frac{E_1 \quad E_2}{p[E_1, E_2]} \quad p \in \{ , \&, +, -, *, =, >, < \}.$		$\frac{E}{p[E]} \quad p \in \{ \backslash, \sim \}$

SVMLa

	s	s	
<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	
DONE	LDCI $i . s$	LDCB $b . s$	
s	s	s	
<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	...
PLUS. s	MINUS. s	TIMES. s	

$$: \text{simPLa} \rightarrow \text{SVML}$$
$$F \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{s}$$

$$E \rightarrow s.DONE$$

$n \hookrightarrow \text{LDCI } i$	$\text{true} \hookrightarrow \text{LDCB } \textit{true}$	$\text{false} \hookrightarrow \text{LDCB } \textit{false}$
$E_1 \hookrightarrow s_1$	$E_2 \hookrightarrow s_2$	$E_1 \hookrightarrow s_1$ $E_2 \hookrightarrow s_2$
$E_1 + E_2 \hookrightarrow s_1.s_2.\text{PLUS}$	$E_1 * E_2 \hookrightarrow s_1.s_2.\text{TIMES}$	

1. **Introduction**

— — — — —

1. *Journal of Management Studies*, 1990, 27, 1, 1-14.

— — — — —

— — — — —

Executing SVMLa Code

- Given: SVMLa program s
- To be defined: machine M_s
- M_s keeps two *registers*
 - Program counter pc
 - Operand stack os

100

(1) \mathcal{C}_1 is a \mathcal{C}_2 -subalgebra of \mathcal{C}_1 if and only if $\mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_2$.

simPLb

- simPLb adds division to simPLa
- Add \perp as possible stack value
- Division by zero pushes \perp on the stack, and jumps to DONE

1. *Journal of Management Studies*, 1997, 34, 1, 1-14.

simPLc

- simPLc adds conditionals to simPLb
- Idea: introduce conditional and unconditional jump instructions
- How can we jump from one part of the SVML program to another?
- Answer: by setting the program counter to the address of the jump target

$$E_1 \hookrightarrow s_1 \qquad E_2 \hookrightarrow s_2 \qquad E_3 \hookrightarrow s_3$$

$$\text{if } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3 \text{ end} \hookrightarrow s_1.\text{JOFR} \mid s_2 \mid + 2.s_2.\text{GOTOR} \mid s_3 \mid + 1.s_3$$

1

Execution of SVMLe

$$s(pc) = \text{GOTOR } i$$

$$(os, pc) \Rightarrow_s (os, pc + i)$$

$$s(pc) = \text{JOFR } i$$

$$(true.os, pc) \Rightarrow_s (os, pc + 1)$$

$$s(pc) = \text{JOFR } i$$

$$(false.os, pc) \Rightarrow_s (os, pc + i)$$

Implementation of simPLc

- Compiler can generate and use symbolic labels.
- Translate later to use absolute jump addresses
- Corresponding instructions: GOTO and JOF

CV

1 1 1 1 1 1

Example

```
2 *  
if true | false  
then 1+2  
else 2+3  
end
```

compiles to:

```
          [LDCI 2,LDCB true,LDCB false,OR,JOF l0,  
          LDCI 1,LDCI 2,PLUS,GOTO l1,  
LABEL l0 : LDCI 2,LDCI 3,PLUS,  
LABEL l1 : TIMES,DONE]
```

100

```

    [LDCI 2,LDCB true,LDCB false,OR,JOF 9,
    LDCI 1,LDCI 2,PLUS,GOTO 12,
9:  LDCI 2,LDCI 3,PLUS,
12: TIMES,DONE]

```

Rules for New Instructions (with absolute addr)

$$s(pc) = \text{GOTO } i$$

$$(os, pc) \Rightarrow_s (os, i)$$

$$s(pc) = \text{JOF } i$$

$$(true.os, pc) \Rightarrow_s (os, pc + 1)$$

$$s(pc) = \text{JOF } i$$

$$(false.os, pc) \Rightarrow_s (os, i)$$

1 A Virtual Machine for simPL

- simPLa: An Old Hat
- simPLb: “Adding Division”
- simPLc: Jumping Up and Down
- simPLd: Getting Serious
- simPLe: Getting Recursive
- Tail Recursion: Getting Efficient

simPLd

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \hline
 x
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{c}
 E \\
 \hline
 \text{fun } x_1 \cdots x_n \rightarrow E \text{ end}
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{c}
 E \quad E_1 \quad \cdots \quad E_n \\
 \hline
 (E \ E_1 \cdots E_n)
 \end{array}$$

Outline

- Compilation of identifiers
- Execution of identifiers
- Compilation of function application
- Compilation of function definition
- Execution of function definition
- Execution of function application
- Returning from a function

Compilation of Identifiers

- add register e (environment), mapping identifiers to denotable values.
- translation: $\frac{\quad}{x \hookrightarrow \text{LDS } x}$
- Later, will find a mapping from identifier to integer offsets on environment stack.

Execution of Identifiers

$$s(pc) = \text{LDS } x$$

$$(os, pc, e) \Rightarrow_s (e(x).os, pc + 1, e)$$

Compilation of Function Application

$$E \hookrightarrow s \quad E_1 \hookrightarrow s_1 \cdots E_n \hookrightarrow s_n$$

$$(E \ E_1 \cdots E_n) \hookrightarrow s_n \dots s_1.s.CALL \ n$$

Note that arguments are compiled in reverse order. This allow us to support over-applications, if needed.

Compilation of Function Definition (without Labels)

$$E \hookrightarrow s \quad vs = fv(E) - \{x_1 \cdots x_n\}$$

```
fun  $x_1 \dots x_n \rightarrow E$  end  $\hookrightarrow$ 
LDFS [vs][ $x_1 \dots x_n$ ].GOTOR |s| + 1.s.RTN
```

What is vs ? Answer : Variables from the environment when function was created.

Compilation using Symbolic Labels

$$E \hookrightarrow s \quad vs = fv(E) - \{x_1 \cdots x_n\}$$

```
fun  $x_1 \dots x_n \rightarrow E$  end  $\hookrightarrow$ 
LDFS [ $vs$ ][ $x_1 \cdots x_n$ ].GOTO /.s.RTN.LABEL / :
```

Execution of Function Definition (Building a Closure)

$$s(pc) = \text{LDFS}[v_1 \cdots v_m][x_1 \cdots x_n]$$

$$(os, pc, e) \Rightarrow_s ((pc+2, x_1 \cdots x_n, e\#[v_1 \cdots v_m]).os, pc+1, e)$$

We introduce triple $(address, formals, e)$ to represent function value on the operand stack. Such a triple is called a *closure*.

Idea

- Add another machine register that can store the machine state to be re-installed after functions return.
- Since functions call other functions, we need a stack, to support the restoration of caller's state.
- This stack is called the *runtime stack*, denoted by *rs*.
- Stack entries are called *stack frames* and consist of $(r, address, e)$ where *r* denotes the number of residual arguments on operand stack.

Execution of Function Application

Over-Application when $m < n$

Exact-Application when $m = n$

$$s(pc) = \text{CALL } n \quad 0 < m \leq n$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((\text{address}, x_1 \cdots x_m, e').v_1 \dots v_m.\text{os}, pc, e, rs) \Rightarrow_s \\
 & (\text{os}, \text{address}, e'[x_1 \leftarrow v_1] \cdots [x_m \leftarrow v_m], (n-m, pc+1, e).rs)
 \end{aligned}$$

Examples of Applications

Over-Applied Applications:

```
(fun x -> (fun y -> x+y end) end 2 3)
```

Under-Applied Applications:

```
(fun x y -> x+y end 2)
```

Pre-processing ==> :

```
fun z -> (fun x y -> x+y end 2 z) end
```

Returning from a Function

Pop stack frame if there are no residual argument.

$$s(pc) = \text{RTN} \quad r = 0$$

$$(v.os, pc, e, (r, pc', e').rs) \Rightarrow_s (v.os, pc', e', rs)$$

Returning from a Function

Perform further application if there are some residual arguments.

$$s(pc) = \text{RTN} \quad 0 < m \leq r$$

$$((\text{address}, x_1 \cdots x_m, e').v_1 \dots v_m.\text{os}, pc, e, (r, pc_2, e_2).rs) \Rightarrow_s (\text{os}, \text{address}, e'[x_1 \leftarrow v_1] \cdots [x_m \leftarrow v_m], (r-m, pc_2, e_2).rs)$$

Representation of Environments

We organize each environment as an array of values for both non-local arguments and local arguments.

Copying from Stack to Environments

During call application, arguments in operation stack are copied to environment and placed after the non-local variables.

Compilation of Application

Applications remember the number of arguments. The application may either be *fully-applied* or *over-applied* but never under-applied due to our pre-processing.

Exact Application Example

```
(fun x -> x + 1 end 2)
```

becomes

```
      [LDCI 2,LDF([],1,4),CALL 1,DONE,  
4:    LD (x,0),LDCI 1,PLUS,RTN]
```

Handling Non-Local Variables inside Closures

Why bind non-local variables inside the closure?

Reasons : closures may escape the scope of their non-local variables when under-applied.

```
let {int->int->int} f =  
  (fun {int->int->int} x  
    -> (fun {int->int} y -> x+y end) end) end  
in {int->int} f 4 end
```

Inner function of `f` escapes the scope of its non-local variable `x`.
What is the disadvantage?

Partial -> Full Application

```
let {int->int->int} f =
  (fun {int->int->int} x
    -> (fun {int->int} y -> x+y end) end)
in {int->int} f 4 end
```

transforms to:

```
let {int->int->int} f =
  (fun {int->int->int} x
    -> (fun {int->int} y -> x+y end) end)
in {int->int} (fun {int->int} pa -> f 4 pa end) end
```

1. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 2000; 284: 2689-2695.

```

[LDF([],1,11),LDF([],1,4),CALL 1,DONE,
4:  LDF([(f,0)],1,6),RTN,
6:  LD (pa,1),LDCI 4,LD (f,0),CALL 2,RTN,
10: LDF([(x,0)],1,13),RTN,
13: LD (x,0),LD (y,1),PLUS,RTN]

```

Note partial application removed by pre-processing.

1. **Introduction**

Each stack frame comprises of (i) number of residual arguments that remain in the operand stack, (ii) address of method, and (iii) bindings for variables used by the method.

“...and the other side of the coin is that the more you know about the world, the more you know about yourself.”

Execution of SVMLe

$$s(pc) = \text{LDFRS}([v_1 \cdots v_m], f, [x_1 \cdots x_n])$$

$$(os, pc, e) \Rightarrow_s (c = (pc + 2, x_1 \cdots x_n, e \# [v_1 \cdots v_m][f \leftarrow c]).os, pc + 1, e)$$

Idea of Implementation

Use same call. Create a circular data structure.

Environment of recursive function value points to function itself.

- Each function call creates a new stack frame.
- Function calls consume significant amount of memory.
- There are situations, where the creation of a new stack frame can be avoided.

- last action in the body of a function is another function call
- calling function and the function to be called is the same recursive function

Terminology

- A recursive call, which appears in the body of a recursive function as the last instruction to be executed, is called *tail call*.
- A recursive function, in which all recursive calls are tail calls, is called *tail-recursive*.

Example

```
let {.}
  facloop = recfun  facloop {.} n acc ->
    if n = 1 then acc
    else (facloop (n-1) (acc*n)) end
  end
in {int}
  let {.}
    fac = fun {.} n -> (facloop n 1) end
  in {int}
    (fac 4)
  end
end
```

Compilation for Tail Recursion

Replace

CALL n .RTN

by

TAILCALL n

if operator of the call is the function variable of the immediately surrounding recursive function definition

Example (normal calls)

```

        [LDFR([(facloop,1)],2,17),LDF([],1,4),CALL 1,DONE,
4:  LDF([(facloop,0)],1,12),LDF([],1,8),CALL 1,RTN,
8:  LDCI 4,LD (fac,0),CALL 1,RTN,
12: LDCI 1,LD (n,1),LD (facloop,0),CALL 2,RTN,
17: LD (n,1),LDCI 1,EQ,JOF 23,LD (acc,2),GOTO 31,
23: LD (acc,2),LD (n,1),TIMES,LD (n,1),LDCI 1,MINUS,
    LD (facloop,0),CALL 2,
31:  RTN]

```

Example (tail calls)

```

        [LDFR([(facloop,1)],2,14),LDF([],1,4),CALL 1,DONE,
4:  LDF([(facloop,0)],1,10),LDF([],1,7),TAILCALL 1,
7:  LDCI 4,LD (fac,0),TAILCALL 1,
10: LDCI 1,LD (n,1),LD (facloop,0),TAILCALL 2,
14: LD (n,1),LDCI 1,EQ,JOF 20,LD (acc,2),GOTO 28,
20: LD (acc,2),LD (n,1),TIMES,LD (n,1),LDCI 1,MINUS,
        LD (facloop,0),TAILCALL 2,
28:  RTN]

```

$$\begin{aligned} & ((addr, x_1 \cdots x_s, e_2).v_1 \dots v_s.os, pc, e, (r, npc, ne).rs) \Rightarrow_s \\ & (os, addr, e_2[x_1 \leftarrow v_1] \cdots [x_s \leftarrow v_s], (r+n-s, npc, ne).rs) \end{aligned}$$