
## $ext{PAR} - 1^{st} ext{ In-Term Exam} - ext{Course } 2016/17 ext{-Q2}$ $ext{April } 19^{th}, 2017$


**Problem 1** (1.5 points) Given the following task dependence graph for the **parallelizable part** of a program:



in which there are two different kind of tasks: 1) generation tasks in charge of generating more tasks by traversing a recursive program; and 2) computation tasks in charge of doing the actual computation.

- 1. Assuming that the execution of each generation and computation task takes 3 and 12 time units, respectively, we ask to compute the values of  $T_1$ ,  $T_{\infty}$  and Parallelism for the parallelizable part of the program. Consider that both kind of tasks are also executed in the sequential version of the program.
- 2. If the execution of the **non-parallelizable** part of the program takes 38 time units, **we ask** to compute the value of the parallel fraction  $\phi$  for the whole program and the ideal speed-up  $S_{\infty}$  that could be achieved if we are able to scale the parallelizable part to infinite processors.

**Problem 2** (1.5 points) Assume that the tasks in the previous task graph are assigned to 4 processors as shown in the following picture:



For the same task durations in the previous problem and duration of the non-parallelizable part, we ask to compute the values for  $T_4$  and  $S_4$  for the whole program.

**Problem 3** (2.5 points) Assume that each computation task performs the computation specified in the following code:

```
void computation (double *A, double *B, int size) {
   for (int i=0; i<size; i++) A[i] += foo(B[i]);
}</pre>
```

Observe that during the execution of the task each element of vector A accumulates the value of the corresponding element in vector B after invoking foo.

In order to improve the parallelization efficiency someone has proposed us the following idea to dynamically balance the load (number of tasks) assigned to each processor: "as soon a processor finishes with all the tasks initially assigned to it, it steals a computation task from the most loaded processor at that moment; the process is repeated until all tasks are executed". However, stealing the execution of a task incurs an overhead that is associated with 1) the cost of moving the data that is needed to execute that task from the memory of the owner processor to the new processor, and 2) returning the computed result to the memory of the owner processor once the computation is finished. Assuming that each processor has all data associated to the initially assigned tasks and the data sharing model explained in class ( $t_{overhead} = t_s + m \times t_w$ , being  $t_s = 1$  and  $t_w = 0.1$  the start-up time and transfer time for each data element, respectively), we ask:

- 1. Compute the value of the overhead associated with the **remote execution of one computation** task, assuming that size=20 for all computation tasks and that all the elements of a vector are transferred in a single message, each vector in a different message.
- 2. Using the attached solution sheet, draw a possible temporal diagram for the execution of the previous task graph, considering the initial assignment of tasks to processors shown in **problem 2** and the use of the stealing mechanism that has been proposed to dynamically balance the load initially assigned. **Important:** 1) assume that tasks are extracted from the task pool first choosing the one that is at a lower recursion level (i.e. close to the root of the tree), and second, if more than one is at the same recursion level, choosing from left to right; 2) if several threads attempt to steal tasks from the same processor at the same time, the steals will be sequentialized; and 3) according to the data sharing model, a processor can only serve one remote transfer from other processors at a time.

Solution: See attached solution sheet.

**Problem 4** (3 points) Assume that the task graph shown in **problem 1** is generated from the parallel OpenMP version of the following sequential recursive program:

```
#define SIZE_VECTOR 992  // always larger than 4 times MIN_SIZE
#define MIN_SIZE 32

void generation(double * A, double * B, int size) {
   int assigned = 0;
   for (int i=0; i<4; i++) {
      int m = partition(size-assigned, i);
      if (m > MIN_SIZE) generation(&A[assigned], &B[assigned], m);
      else computation(&A[assigned], &B[assigned], m);
      assigned += m;
   }
}
void main() {
    generation(vectorA, vectorB, SIZE_VECTOR);
}
```

In this program, function partition randomly returns a value that is multiple of MIN\_SIZE (i.e. between MIN\_SIZE and the remaining number of elements size-assigned), ensuring that all the size elements are partitioned after the four invocations in the loop. We ask to write an OpenMP parallelization that could potentially generate the tasks shown in the task graph in problem 1. In addition, in order to minimize the overheads of task creation, the code will have to include a cut-off mechanism to ensure that no additional generation tasks are created after a certain recursion level (MAX\_LEVEL) (important: the cut-off mechanism should only apply to generation tasks, not to computation tasks).

**Problem 5** (1.5 points) Function int foo(int input) invoked in **problem 3** performs a certain computation that takes a considerable amount of time. Since the input may appear multiple times during program execution, we have decided to do an implementation that memorizes previously computed values, assuming that input values are always in a range between 0 and MAX-1:

```
struct entrada {
    int computed = 0; // 0 to indicate that the result is not already computed
    int result;
} table[MAX]

int foo(int input) {
    if (table[input].computed == 0) {
        table[input].result = expensive_comp(input);
        table[input].computed = 1;
    }

return(table[input].result);
}
```

We ask you to complete the implementation of function foo, the main program in **problem 4** and the definition of data type entrada to guarantee the correct access to table while maximizing the parallelism.

Student name: .....

Task numbering and empty temporal diagram for **Problem 3**. Fill each cell with: 1) the number of the task that is executed; 2) R if a read data transfer is occurring; or 3) W if a write data transfer is occurring. **Important:** each slot in the temporal diagram corresponds to 3 time units.

1	0	3	6	9	-	12	15	18	21	24	2	7	30	33	36	3	19	42	45	48	51	54	ı b	57	60	63	66	69	72	75	78	8	1 8	4 8	87	90	93	96	99	102	105	108	111	114	111	7 120	123	126	129	132
1	_	Ť	2	6	6	6	6		7	7	7	7	8	_	3	8	8	9	,,	10 0	3	_	₹ +	R	33	_	_			w	R	_	_	36	36	36		R **		40						1	123	12.0	12.5	1
1		1	7	10	18	19	20	2	1 2	1 2	1	21	30	30	3	30	30	31	31	31	1 3	_	32	32	32	_	_	_		34	34	_	_	37	37	39	39	_	_		_	_	_	_	+	1	_		1	T
1		1	3	11	11	11	11	1	2 1	3 2	2	22	22	22	2 2	23	23	23	23	24	1 2	4	24	24	25	2	5 2	5 2	5 :	26 :	26	26	26	27	27	27	27	28	28	28	28	29	2	9 29	9 2	19				1
T			4	5	5	5	5	1	1 1	4 1	4	14	15	15	5 1	15	15	16	16	16	5 1	6	17	17	17	1	7 R+	+	R 3	35	35	35	35	W	R	R	38	38	38	38	W			1	T					
+ At this point 32 and 33 could have been interchanged  ++ At this point 34 and 35 could have been interchanged															108 40 41	41	114 0 V 1 R 29	11 <sup>1</sup>	7 120	d have ) 123 9 29	126	129																												
																																														n 28	123	126	120	1
																																						R	R	28						, 120	, 123	120	123	1
																																						39	39	_	_	_	_	_	T	$\top$	1			1
																																						29	29	29	29	F		R 4:	1 4	1 4	1 41	W	/	1

					108	111	114	11/	120	123	126	129		
ar	nged				40	40	×							
					41	41								
					R	R	29	29	29	29	W			
	** At this point P1 could have also stolen 28													
	96	99	102	105	108	111	114	117	120	123	126	129		
	R	R	28	28	28	28	8							
	39	39	40	40	40	40								
	29	29	29	29	R	R	41	41	41	41	W			
	38	38	38	W										