### Notes on Canonization for Resnets and Densenets

Alexander Binder

July 31, 2020



### Credits

Code inspired by Philipp Seegerer (TU Berlin). With important ideas from Gregoire Montavon (TU Berlin), Philipp Seegerer and Sebastian Lapuschkin (Fraunhofer HHI).

# Recap on LRP rules

## understanding properties of rules: LRP- $\epsilon$

#### LRP- $\epsilon$ :

$$R_{i\leftarrow k}(\mathbf{x}) \propto R_k h(w_i x_i)$$
 (1)

$$z = \sum_{i'} w_{i'} x_{i'} + b \tag{2}$$

$$R_{i \leftarrow k}(\mathbf{x}) = R_k \left( \frac{w_i x_i}{z + \epsilon \operatorname{sign}(z)} \right)$$
 (3)

- ▶ may produce  $R_{i\leftarrow k}$  with  $|R_{i\leftarrow k}| \gg |R_k|$
- no control over relevance scale!
- $ightharpoonup \epsilon$  dampens redistribution differences
- $ightharpoonup \epsilon 
  ightarrow \infty$  convergence to flat redistribution

## understanding properties of rules: LRP- $\beta$

#### LRP- $\beta$ :

$$R_{i\leftarrow k}(\mathbf{x}) \propto R_k h(w_i x_i)$$
 (4)

$$R_{i \leftarrow k}(\mathbf{x}) = R_k \left( (1+\beta) \frac{(w_i x_i)_+}{\sum_{i'} (w_{i'} x_{i'})_+ + b_+} - \beta \frac{(w_i x_i)_-}{\sum_{i'} (w_{i'} x_{i'})_- + b_-} \right)$$
(5)

- $ightharpoonup \beta$  controls ratio of negative to positive evidence.
- ▶ bounded relevance scale:  $|R_{i\leftarrow k}| \leq (1+\beta)|R_k|$
- negative to positive evidence:  $\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}$ ,
- ▶ negative to total evidence:  $\frac{\beta}{1+2\beta} \rightarrow 0.5$ , It is fixed independent of network inputs(!).

## which rule for which layer?

Name	Formula	layers
$LRP\text{-}\epsilon$	$\sum_{k} R_{k} \left( \frac{x_{i} w_{ik}}{\sum_{i} x_{i} w_{ik} + b + \epsilon \operatorname{sign}(z)} \right)$	linear
$LRP\text{-}\beta = 0$	$\sum_{k} R_{k} \left( \frac{(x_{i}w_{ik})_{+}}{\sum_{i}(x_{i}w_{ik})_{+}(b)_{+}} \right)$	conv
$LRP\text{-}\alpha-\beta$	$\sum_{k} R_{k} \left( \frac{(1+\beta)(x_{i}w_{ik})_{+}}{\sum_{i}(x_{i}w_{ik})_{+} + (b)_{+}} \right \beta \frac{(x_{i}w_{ik})_{-}}{\sum_{i}(x_{i}w_{ik})_{-} + (b)_{-}} \right)$	conv
$LRP ext{-}z_eta$	$\sum_{k} R_{k} \left( \frac{x_{i}w_{ik} - l_{i}(w_{ij})_{+} + h_{i}(w_{ij})_{-}}{\sum_{i} x_{i}w_{ik} + b - l_{i}(w_{ij})_{+} + h_{i}(w_{ij})_{-}} \right)$	first conv
LRP-w <sup>2</sup>	$\sum_{k} R_{k} \frac{w_{ik}^{2}}{\sum_{k} w_{ik}^{2}}$	same 1. conv
$LRP\text{-}\gamma$	$\sum_{k} R_{k} \frac{w_{ik}^{2}}{\sum_{i} w_{ik}^{2}} $ $\sum_{k} R_{k} \frac{x_{i} w_{ik} + \gamma(x_{i} w_{ik})_{+}}{\sum_{i} (x_{i} w_{ik} + b + \gamma(x_{i} w_{ik})_{+} \gamma(b)_{+})}$	conv

Biases in denominators can be omitted during the backward pass for better attributions

### make model usable for custom backward explanations

- create a modified copy with parameters from trained source model
- technical issue: need to replace the + in a residual connection x + ConvConv(x) by an operator implementing +.
- ▶ LRP-issue: fuse Conv-BatchNorm chains into a Conv-Layer

The conv-BN fusion is due to an LRP-issue:

Adebayo et al: LRP is not implementation invariant.

Why conv-bn-fusion? Adebayo et al: LRP is not implementation invariant.

Why LRP then at all and not Gradient/Grad-CAM?

- Gradient estimates an often suboptimal measure: a single-pixel sensitivity instead of contributions which account for interactions between larger regions.
- ► Gradient: +high noise from gradient shattering in ReLU nets.
- For a comparison of gradients against guided back prop in a medical context see eg. Eitel et.al. MICCAI 2019 https: //link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-33850-3\_1 https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.08856
- for NLP: Poerner et al. ACL 2018, https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/P18-1032.pdf

Fail in Implementation-invariance can be managed.



Fail in measures u can?

#### manual step!

resnet: need to replace the + in a residual connection x + ConvConv(x) by an operator implementing +. Why we do not overload the backward passes for + in general?

Check the code example for copy\_resnet\_onlycopy\_v2.py

- create a nn.Module-derived class sum\_stacked2 ,
- create a derived bottleneck, basicblock, resnet classes (easy).
- replace the shortcut by sum\_stacked2

▶ fuse Conv-BatchNorm chains into a Conv-Layer. Resnet has the following chain: Conv  $\rightarrow$  BN

conv-layer: 
$$y = w_{conv} \cdot x + b_{conv,c}$$
 (6)

bn-layer: 
$$z = w_c (y - \mu_{bn})/s_c + bn_c$$
,  $s_c = (\sigma_{bn,c} + \epsilon_{bn})^{0.5}$  (7)

$$bn \to conv : z = w_c/s_c (y - \mu_{bn}) + bn_c$$
 (8)

$$= (w_c/s_c)(w_{conv} \cdot x + b_{conv,c} - \mu_{bn}) + bn_c$$
 (9)

$$=\alpha_{\mathbf{c}}\cdot\mathbf{x}+\beta_{\mathbf{c}}\tag{10}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_c = (w_c/s_c)w_{conv} \tag{11}$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_c = (w_c/s_c)(b_{conv,c} - \mu_{bn,c}) + bn_c \tag{12}$$

Check the code example for copy\_resnet\_onlycopy\_v2.py

$$\alpha_c = (w_c/s_c)w_{conv} \tag{13}$$

$$\beta_c = (w_c/s_c)(b_{conv,c} - \mu_{bn,c}) + bn_c \tag{14}$$

```
def bnafterconv_overwrite_intoconv(conv,bn):
    s = (bn.running_var+bn.eps)**.5
    w = bn.weight
    b = bn.bias
   m = bn.running_mean
    conv.weight = torch.nn.Parameter(conv.weight * (w / s).reshape(-1, 1,
    if conv.bias is None:
      conv.bias = torch.nn.Parameter((0 - m) * (w / s) + b)
    else:
      conv.bias = torch.nn.Parameter(( conv.bias - m) * (w / s) + b)
   return conv
```

Alexander Binder

 $weight[c_{out}, c_{in}, h, w]$ , bn-weight:  $w[c_{out}]$ 

the .reshape (-1,1,1,1) due to the structure of the conv-weight as:

```
copy_resnet_onlycopy_v1.py
```

in derived class create routine for:

copy layers with parameters from pretrained model + process all layers

```
def copyfromresnet(self,net, ...):
```

- conv-bn-fusion:
  - if detect conv-layer, stash it (next will be a BN!).
  - if detect bn, (1) fuse bn into stashed conv, (2) overwrite stashed conv in model, (3) reset BN stats, so that it is the identity
- which layers need to be copied from the trained model?
  - Conv2d, BatchNorm(reset), nn.Linear

```
You got code for canonizing resnets. copy_resnet_onlycopy_v2.py
```

```
def copyfromresnet(self,net, ...):
```

#### TODO:

- verify that forward passes of original and canonized model are matching!
- which layers need to be wrapped for backward pass? (next step, when LRP rules are implemented)
  - Conv2d, BatchNorm(reset), nn.Linear + ReLU, adaptiveavgpool, maxpool

## implementation principles: how to treat biases?

- bias as constant-value firing legitimate neuron?
- bias as nuisance term onto which relevance dissipates?

### !!BUG: You absolutely must not zero out biases in the forward pass!!

- if you do that, you explain a different predictor than your original model
  - predicted class gets wrong.
  - inputs x<sub>i</sub> used to distribute relevances towards layer inputs getting wrong
  - You can zero out biases in the LRP-backward pass

3.30 am random thought: pytorch is the communist movement in deep learning?

copy\_densenet\_onlycopy.py manual steps:

- create derived class
- replace in classifier head calls to F.function(...) by nn.Module equivalents in the derived class
  - adapt classifier head to use these equivalents: self.toprelu, self.toppool
- def copyfromdensenet(self,net): to copy trainable parameters from trained net
  - nn.Linear in the classifier head, nn.Conv2d at the start and denseblocks
  - canonization different from resnets!!
  - ightharpoonup have: BN ightharpoonup ReLU ightharpoonup Conv blocks. Need to deal with this structure

have:  $BN \rightarrow ReLU \rightarrow Conv$  blocks.

- lacktriangle step 1: swap the BN ightarrow ReLU
- step 2: fuse BN → Conv.
- result: ThreshRELU → tensorbiasedConv. step 2 will result in a convolution layer which cannot be represented by nn.Conv2D anymore, because it will have a bias which is spatially varying.

#### step 1: swap the BN $\rightarrow$ ReLU

#### A theorem

given 
$$BN(x) = \frac{w_{bn}}{\sigma_{bn}}x - \frac{w_{bn}\mu_{bn}}{\sigma_{bn}} + b_{bn}$$
 (15)

The following commutation holds for any  $w_{bn} \neq 0$ :

$$ReLU(BN(x)) = BN(ThreshReLU(x))$$
 with (16)

$$ThreshReLU(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x - t > 0 \text{ and } w_{bn} > 0 \\ x & \text{if } x - t < 0 \text{ and } w_{bn} < 0 \\ t & \text{else} \end{cases}$$
 (17)

for 
$$t = \mu_{bn} - \frac{b_{bn}\sigma_{bn}}{w_{bn}}$$
 (18)

$$= t + (x - t)\{\mathbf{1}[x - t > 0]\mathbf{1}[w_{bn} > 0] + \mathbf{1}[x - t < 0]\mathbf{1}[w_{bn} < 0]\} \quad (19)$$

- step 1: swap the BN  $\rightarrow$  ReLU  $\rightarrow$  Conv2d
  - ightharpoonup replace it by ThreshReLU ightarrow BN ightarrow Conv2d
  - code: get\_clamplayer in the code computes the ThreshReLU
- step 2: now can fuse the BN into the Conv2d

step 2: now can fuse the BN into the Conv2d

$$conv(BN(x)) = conv[w](\alpha x + \beta) + b \qquad (20)$$

$$= conv[w\alpha](x) + conv[w](broadcast(\beta)) + b$$

$$conv.w.shape = (n_{out}, n_{in}, ksize, ksize), \ \alpha.shape = n_{in}$$

$$(w\alpha)[o, c, h, w] := w[o, c, h, w]\alpha[c] \text{ and}$$

$$broadcast(\beta).shape = (n_{in}, ksize, ksize)$$

$$broadcast(\beta)[c, h, w] = \beta[c]$$

$$conv[w](broadcast(\beta)).shape = (n_{out}, f, f)$$

The point here is: if conv is using any padding, then

- ightharpoonup conv(broadcast( $\beta$ )) is not constant the spatial dimensions h, w in [:, h, w]
- for kernelsize = 3, pad = 1 the value on the fringe indices h = 0 and h = f 1 will be different from  $h \in [1, f 2]$
- thats why defining the class tensorbiased\_convlayer for densenets.

- step 2: now can fuse the BN into the Conv2d: def convafterbn\_returntensorbiasedconv(conv,bn) implements this
- need a similar trick in the classifier head:

$$\mathsf{BN}(\mathsf{norm5}) {\to} \mathsf{relu}(\mathsf{toprelu}) {\to} \mathsf{adaptiveAvgPool}(\mathsf{toppool}) {\to} \mathsf{linear}(\mathsf{classifier})$$

- = ThresReLU  $\rightarrow$  BN  $\rightarrow$ adaptiveAvgPool(toppool) $\rightarrow$ linear(classifier)
- = ThresReLU  $\rightarrow$ adaptiveAvgPool(toppool) $\rightarrow$  BN  $\rightarrow$ linear(classifier)
- = ThresReLU  $\rightarrow$ adaptiveAvgPool(toppool) $\rightarrow$  tensorbiasedlinear(classifier)