

M382 – PROJECT

PROJECT 1

Due date: April 13 , 2022

During submission process (via email to : smerdan@metu.edu.tr) I want you submit

1. Diary file
2. Summary file (there is an example in the attached file. It can be txt file or doc files)
3. Submit m-files and jpeg files .

When you submit your project, I want you to write all of group's members names who contribute it.

Notation

This lab is focussed on finding functions that pass through certain specified points.

In customary notation, you are given a set of points $\{(x_k, y_k) | k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and

you want to find a function $f(x)$ that passes through each point (x_k, y_k) for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. That is, for each of the abscissæ, x_k , the function value $f(x_k)$ agrees with the ordinate y_k . The given points are variously called the "given" points, the "specified" points, the "data" points, *etc.* In this lab, we will use the term "data points."

Written in the customary notation, it is easy to see that the quantities x and x_k are essentially different. In Matlab, without kerning and font differentiation, it can be difficult to keep the various quantities straight. In this lab, we will use the name `xval` to denote the values of x and the names `xdata` and `ydata` to denote the data x_k and y_k . The variable names `fval` or `yval` are used for the value $y = f(x)$ to emphasize that it is an interpolated value.

Generally, one thinks of the values `xval` as not equal to any of the data values `xdata` although, in this lab, we will often set `xval` to one or more of the `xdata` values in order to test that our interpolation is correct.

Matlab Tips

At some point in this lab, you will need to determine if an integer m is not equal to an integer n . The Matlab syntax for this is

```
if m ~= n
```

Sometimes it is convenient to enclose the logical expression in parentheses, but this is not required. Numbers with decimal parts should never be tested for equality! Instead, the absolute value of the difference should be tested to see if it is small. The reason is that numbers are rarely known to full 16-digit precision and, in addition, small errors are usually made in representing them in binary instead of decimal form. To check if a number x is equal to y , you should use

```
if abs(x-y) <= TOLERANCE
```

where `TOLERANCE` represents some reasonable value chosen based on the problem.

If you have a (square) linear system of equations, of the form

```
A * x = b
```

where A is an N by N matrix, and b and x are *column* vectors, then Matlab can solve the linear system *either* by using the expression:

```
x = inv(A)*b
```

or, *better*, via the ``backslash" command:

```
x = A \ b
```

that you used in the previous labs. The backslash command is strange looking. You might remember it by noting that the matrix A appears underneath the backslash. The backslash is used because matrix multiplication is not commutative, so a square matrix should appear to the left of a column vector. The difference between the two commands is merely that the backslash command is about three times faster because it solves the equation without constructing the inverse matrix. You will not notice a difference in this lab, but you might see one later if you use Matlab for more complicated projects.

The backslash command is actually more general than just multiplication by the inverse. It can find solutions when the matrix A is singular or when it is not a square matrix! We will discuss how it does these things later in the course, but for now, be very careful when you use the backslash operator because it can find ``solutions" when you do not expect a solution.

Matlab represents a polynomial as the vector of its coefficients. Matlab has several commands for dealing with polynomials:

```
c=poly(r)
```

Finds the coefficients of the polynomial whose roots are given by the vector r .

```
r=roots(c)
```

Finds the roots of the polynomial whose coefficients are given by the vector c .

```
c=polyfit(xdata, ydata, n)
```

Finds the coefficients of the polynomial of degree n passing through, or approaching as closely as possible, the points $xdata(k), ydata(k)$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$, where $K - 1$ need not be the same as n .

`yval=polyval(c, xval)`
Evaluates a polynomial with coefficients given by the vector c at the values $xval(k)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$ for $K \geq 1$.

When there are more data values than the minimum, the `polyfit` function returns the coefficients of a polynomial that "best fits" the given values in the sense of least squares. This polynomial approximates, but does not necessarily interpolate, the data. In this lab, you will be writing m-files with functions similar to `polyfit` but that generate polynomials of the precise degree determined by the number of data points. ($N = \text{numel}(xdata) - 1$).

The coefficients c_k of a polynomial in Matlab are, by convention, defined as

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N c_k x^{N-k} \quad (1)$$

and in Matlab are represented as a vector c . Beware: With this definition of the vector c of coefficients of a polynomial:

1. $N = \text{numel}(c)$ is one higher than the degree of $p(x)$.
2. The subscripts run backwards! $c(1)$ is the coefficient of the term with degree $N-1$, and the constant term is $c(N)$.

In this and some later labs, you will be writing m-files with functions analogous to `polyfit` and `polyval`, using several different methods. Rather than following the matlab naming convention, functions with the prefix `coef_` will generate a vector of coefficients, as by `polyfit`, and functions with the prefix `eval_` will evaluate a polynomial (or other function) at values of $xval$, as with `polyval`.

In the this lab and often in later labs we will be using Matlab functions to construct a known polynomial and using it to generate "data" values. Then we use our interpolation functions to recover the original, known, polynomial. This strategy is a powerful tool for illustrative and debugging purposes, but practical use of interpolation starts from arbitrary data, not contrived data.

The Polynomial through Given Data

we discussed Newton's method, which can be derived by determining a linear polynomial (degree 1) that passes through the point (a, f_a) with derivative f'_a . That is $p(a) = f_a$ and $p'(a) = dp/dx(a) = f'_a$. One way of looking at this is that we are constructing an interpolating function, in this case a linear polynomial, that explains all the data that we have. We may then want to examine the graph of the polynomial, evaluate it at other points, determine its integral or derivative, or do other things with it. .

Vandermonde's Equation

Here's one way to see how to organize the computation of the polynomial that passes through a set of data.

Suppose we wanted to determine the linear polynomial $p(x) = c_1x + c_2$ that passes through the data points (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) . We simply have to solve a set of linear equations for c_1 and c_2 constructed by plugging in the two data points into the general linear polynomial. These equations are

$$c_1x_1 + c_2 = y_1$$

$$c_1x_2 + c_2 = y_2$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 1 \\ x_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

which (usually) has the solution

$$c_1 = (y_2 - y_1)/(x_2 - x_1)$$

$$c_2 = (x_2y_1 - x_1y_2)/(x_2 - x_1)$$

Compare that situation with the case where we want to determine the quadratic polynomial $p(x) = c_1x^2 + c_2x + c_3$ that passes through three sets of data values. Then we have to solve the following set of three linear equations for the polynomial coefficients c :

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 & x_1 & 1 \\ x_2^2 & x_2 & 1 \\ x_3^2 & x_3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

These are examples of second and third order *Vandermonde Equations*. It is characterized by the fact that for each row (sometimes column) of the coefficient matrix, the successive entries are generated by a decreasing (sometimes increasing) set of powers of a set of variables.

You should be able to see that, for *any* collection of abscissæ and ordinates, it is possible to define a linear system that should be satisfied by the (unknown) polynomial coefficients. If we can solve the system, and solve it accurately, then that is one way to determine the interpolating polynomial.

Now, let's see how to construct and solve the Vandermonde equation using Matlab. This involves setting up the coefficient matrix **A**. We use the Matlab

variables `xdata` and `ydata` to represent the quantities x_k and y_k , and we will assume them to be row vectors of length (numel) N.

```
for j = 1:N
    for k = 1:N
        A(j,k) = xdata(j)^(N-k) ;
    end
end
```

Then we have to set the right hand side to the ordinates `ydata`, that is assumed to be a row vector. If we can get all of that set up, then actually solving the linear system is easy. We just write:

```
c = A \ ydata';
```

Recall that the backslash symbol means to solve the system with matrix **A** and right side `ydata'`. Notice that `ydata'` is the transpose of the row vector `ydata` in this equation. (By default, Matlab constructs row vectors unless told to do otherwise.)

Exercise 1: The Matlab built-in function `polyfit` finds the coefficients of a polynomial through a set of points. We will write our own using the Vandermonde matrix. (This is the way that the Matlab function `polyfit` works.)

1. Write a Matlab function m-file, `coef_vander.m` with signature
2. `function c = coef_vander (xdata, ydata)`
3. `% c = coef_vander (xdata, ydata)`
4. `% xdata= ???`
5. `% ydata= ???`
6. `% c= ???`
7. `% other comments`
- 8.
9. `% your name and the date`

that accepts a pair of row vectors `xdata` and `ydata` of arbitrary but equal length, and returns the coefficient vector `c` of the polynomial that passes through that data. Be sure to complete the comments with question marks in

them.

Warning: Think carefully about what to use for N .

10. Test your function by computing the coefficients of the polynomial through the

following data points. (This polynomial is $y = x^2$, so you can check your coefficient vector ``by inspection.")

```
11. xdata= [ 0 1 2 ]
```

```
12. ydata= [ 0 1 4 ]
```

13. Test your function by computing the coefficients of the polynomial that passes through the following points

```
14. xdata= [ -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3]
```

```
15. ydata= [1636 247 28 7 4 31 412]
```

16. Confirm using `polyval` that your polynomial passes through these data points.

17. Double-check your work by comparing with results from the Matlab `polyfit` function. Please include both the full `polyfit` command you used and the coefficient vector it returned in your summary.

In the following exercise you will construct a polynomial using `coef_vander` to interpolate data points and then you will see what happens between the interpolation points.

Exercise 2:

1. Consider the polynomial whose roots are $r = [-2 -1 1 2 3]$ Use the Matlab `poly` function to find its coefficients. Call these coefficients `cTrue`.

2. This polynomial obviously passes through zero at each of these five ``data" points. We want to see if our `coef_vander` function can reproduce it. To use our `coef_vander` function, we need a sixth point

3. . You can ``read off" the value of the polynomial at $x=0$ from its coefficients `cTrue`.

4. What is this value?

5.

6. Use the `coef_vander` function to find the coefficients of the polynomial passing through the ``data" points

```
7. xdata=[ -2 -1 0 1 2 3];
```

```
8. ydata=[ 0 0 ?? 0 0 0];
```

9. Call these coefficients `cVander`.

10. Use `coef_vander` to find the coefficients using only the five roots as `xdata`. Name these coefficients something other than `cVander`. Explain your results.

11. Use the following code to compute and plot the values of the true and interpolant polynomials on the interval $[-3,2]$. If you look at the last line of the code, you will see an estimate of the difference between the two curves. How big is this difference? (We will be using essentially this same code in several following exercises. You should be sure you understand what it does. You might want to copy it to a script m-file.)

```
12. xval=linspace(-3,2,4001); % test abscissae for plotting
```

```
13. yvalTrue=polyval(cTrue,xval); % true ordinates
```

```
14. yvalVander=polyval(cVander,xval); % our ordinates
```

```
15. plot(xval,yvalTrue,'g','linewidth',4); % true curve: thick green
```

```
16. hold on
```

```
17. plot(xval,yvalVander,'k'); % interpolant curve: thin black
```

```
18. hold off
```

19. $\max(\text{abs}((y_{\text{valTrue}} - y_{\text{valVander}})))/\max(\text{abs}(y_{\text{valTrue}}))$

Please include a copy of this plot with your summary. (You should observe the two curves are the same. The second curve appears as a thin black curve overlaying the thick green curve.) Note: The relative error is used here so that the case where y_{valTrue} are very large or very small numbers does not cause difficulty..

Lagrange Polynomials

Suppose we fix the set of N distinct abscissæ $x_k, k = 1, \dots, N$ and think about the problem of constructing a polynomial that has (not yet specified) values y_i at these points. Now suppose I have a polynomial $\ell_7(x)$ whose value is zero at each $x_k, k \neq 7$, and is 1 at x_7 . Then the intermediate polynomial $y_7 \ell_7(x)$ would have the value y_7 at x_7 , and be 0 at all the other x_i . Doing the same for each abscissa and adding the intermediate polynomials together results in the polynomial that interpolates the data without solving any equations!

In fact, the *Lagrange polynomials* ℓ_k are easily constructed for any set of abscissæ. Each Lagrange polynomial will be of degree $N - 1$. There will be N Lagrange polynomials, one per abscissa, and the k^{th} polynomial $\ell_k(x)$ will have a special relationship with the abscissa x_k , namely, it will be 1 there, and 0 at the other abscissæ.

In terms of Lagrange polynomials, then, the interpolating polynomial has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= y_1 \ell_1(x) + y_2 \ell_2(x) + \dots + y_N \ell_N(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N y_k \ell_k(x) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Assuming we can determine these magical polynomials, this is a *second* way to define the interpolating polynomial to a set of data.

, **Remark:** The strategy of finding a function that equals 1 at a distinguished point and zero at all other points in a set is very powerful. If $y_k = 1$ in (2), then $p(x) \equiv 1$,

so the $\ell_k(x)$ are an example of a "partition of unity." One of the places you will meet it again is when you study the construction of finite elements for solving partial differential equations.

In the next two exercises, you will be constructing polynomials through the same points as in the previous exercise. Since there is only one nontrivial polynomial of degree (N-1) through N data points, the resulting interpolating polynomials are the same in these and the previous exercise.

In the next exercise, you will construct the Lagrange polynomials associated with the data points, and in the following exercise you will use these Lagrange polynomials to construct the interpolating polynomial.

Exercise 3: In this exercise you will construct Lagrange polynomials based on given data points. Recall the data set for $y = x^2$:

```
k :      1      2      3
xdata= [  0      1      2      ]
ydata= [  0      1      4      ]
```

(Actually, ydata is immaterial for construction of $\ell_k(x)$.) In general, the formula for $\ell_k(x)$ can be written as:

$$\ell_k(x) = (f_1(x))(f_2(x)) \cdots (f_{k-1}(x))(f_{k+1}(x)) \cdots (f_N(x)) \quad (3)$$

(skipping the k^{th} factor), where each factor has the form

$$f_j(x) = \frac{(x - x_j)}{(x_k - x_j)}. \quad (4)$$

1. Explain in a sentence or two why the formula (3) using the factors (4) yield a

$$\ell_k(x_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & j = k \\ 0 & j \neq k \end{cases}$$

2. Write a Matlab function m-file called `lagrangep.m` that computes the Lagrange polynomials (3) for any k. (One of the Matlab toolboxes has a function named "lagrange", so this one is named "lagrangep".) The signature should be

```
function pval = lagrangep( k , xdata, xval )
% pval = lagrangep( k , xdata, xval )
% comments
% k= ???
```



```

% xdata= ???
% xval= ???
% pval= ???

% your name and the date

```

and the function should evaluate the k -th Lagrange polynomial for the abscissæ `xdata` at the point `xval`. Hint, you can implement the general formula using code like the following.

```

pval = 1;
for j = 1 : ???
    if j ~= k
        pval = pval .* ??? % elementwise multiplication
    end
end
end

```

Note: If `xval` is a vector of values, then `pval` will be the vector of corresponding values, so that an elementwise multiplication (`.*`) is being performed.

- Using (5), determine the values of `lagrange(1, xdata, xval)` for `xval=xdata(1)`, `xval=xdata(2)` and `xval=xdata(3)`.
- Does `lagrange` give the correct values for `lagrange(1, xdata, xdata)`? For `lagrange(2, xdata, xdata)`? For `lagrange(3, xdata, xdata)`?

Exercise 4: The hard part is done. Now we want to use our `lagrange` routine as a helper for a second replacement for the `polyfit-polyval` pair, called `eval_lag`, that implements Equation (2). Unlike `coef_vander`, the coefficient vector of the polynomial does not need to be generated separately because it is so easy, and that is why `eval_lag` both fits and evaluates the Lagrange interpolating polynomial.

- Write a Matlab function m-file called `eval_lag.m` with the signature

```

function yval = eval_lag ( xdata, ydata, xval )
% yval = eval_lag ( xdata, ydata, xval )
% comments

% your name and the date

```

This function should take the data values `xdata` and `ydata`, and compute the value of the interpolating polynomial at `xval` according to (2), using your `lagrange` function for the Lagrange polynomials. Be sure to include comments to that effect.

- Test `eval_lag` on the simplest data set we have been using.

```

k :      1      2      3
xdata= [   0     1     2 ]
ydata= [   0     1     4 ]

```

by evaluating it at `xval=xdata`. Of course, you should get `ydata` back.

3. Test your function by interpolating the polynomial that passes through the following points, again by evaluating it at `xval=xdata`.
4. `xdata= [-3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3]`
5. `ydata= [1636 247 28 7 4 31 412]`
6. Repeat Exercise 2 using Lagrange interpolation.
 - o Return to the polynomial constructed in [Exercise 2](#) with roots `r=[-2 -1 1 2 3]`. and coefficients `cTrue`.
 - o Reconstruct (using `polyval`) or recall the `ydata` values associated with `xdata=[-2 -1 0 1 2 3]`.
 - o Compute the values of the Lagrange interpolating polynomial at the same 4001 test points between -2 and 3. Call these values `yvalLag`.
 - o Plot `yvalLag` and `yvalTrue` and compute the error between them using the test plotting approach [used in Exercise 2](#). Include a copy of this plot with your summary.

Interpolating a function that is not a polynomial

Interpolating functions that are polynomials and using polynomials to do it is cheating a little bit. You have seen that interpolating polynomials can result in interpolants that are essentially identical to the original polynomial. Results can be much less satisfying when polynomials are used to interpolate functions that are not themselves polynomials. At the interpolation points, the function and its interpolant agree exactly, so we want to examine the behavior between the interpolation points. In the following exercise, you will see that some non-polynomial functions can be interpolated quite well, and in the subsequent exercise you will see one that cannot be interpolated well. The example used here is due in part to C. Runge, *Über empirische Funktionen und die Interpolation zwischen äquidistanten Ordinaten*, Z. Math. Phys., 46(1901), pp. 224-243.

Exercise 5: In this exercise you will construct interpolants for the hyperbolic sine function $\sinh(x)$ and see that it and its polynomial interpolant are quite close.

1. We would like to interpolate the function $y = \sinh(x)$ on the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$, so use the following outline to examine the behavior of the polynomial interpolant to the exponential function for five evenly-spaced points. It would be best if you put these commands into a script m-file named `exer5.m`.
2. `% construct N=5 data points`
3. `N=5;`
4. `xdata=linspace(-pi,pi,N);`
5. `ydata=sinh(xdata);`
- 6.
7. `% construct many test points`

```

8. xval=linspace(???,???,4001);
9. % construct the true test point values, for reference
10. yvalTrue=sinh(???) ;
11.
12. % use Lagrange polynomial interpolation to evaluate
13. % the interpolant at the test points
14. yval=eval_lag(???,???,xval);
15.
16. % plot reference values in thick green
17. plot(xval,yvalTrue,'g','linewidth',4);
18. hold on
19. % plot interpolation data points
20. plot(xdata,ydata,'k+');
21. % plot interpolant in thin black
22. plot(xval,yval,'k');
23. hold off
24.
25. % estimate the approximation error of the interpolant
26. approximationError=max(abs(yvalTrue-
    yval))/max(abs(yvalTrue))

```

Please send me this plot.

27. By zooming, *etc.*, confirm visually that the exponential and its interpolant agree at the interpolation points.
28. Using more data points gives higher degree interpolation polynomials. Fill in the following table using Lagrange interpolation with increasing numbers of data points.

| | | |
|-----|--------|-----------------------------|
| 29. | N = 5 | Approximation Error = _____ |
| 30. | N = 11 | Approximation Error = _____ |
| 31. | N = 21 | Approximation Error = _____ |

You should have observed in Exercise 5 that the approximation error becomes quite small. The exponential function is entire, as are polynomials, so they share one essential feature. In the following exercise, you will see that attempts to interpolate functions that are not entire can give poor results.

Exercise 6:

- Construct a function m-file for the Runge example function $y = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$. Name the file `runge.m` and give it the signature
- `function y=runge(x)`
- `% y=runge(x)`
- `% comments`
-
- `% your name and the date`

Use componentwise (vector) division and exponentiation (`./` and `.^`).

- Copy `exer5.m` to `exer6.m` and modify it to use the Runge example function. Please send me the plot it generates.
- Confirm visually that the Runge example function and its interpolant agree at the interpolation points, but not necessarily between them.

9. Using more data points gives higher degree interpolation polynomials. Fill in the following table using Lagrange interpolation with increasing numbers of data points.

10. N = 5 Approximation Error = _____
 11. N = 11 Approximation Error = _____
 12. N = 21 Approximation Error = _____

13. Are you surprised to see that the errors do not decrease?

Many people expect that an interpolating polynomial $p(x)$ gives a good approximation to the function $f(x)$ everywhere, no matter what function we choose. If the approximation is not good, we expect it to get better if we increase the number of data points. These expectations will be fulfilled only when the function does not exhibit some "essentially non-polynomial" behavior. You will see why the Runge example function cannot be approximated well by polynomials in the following exercise.

Exercise 7: The Runge example function has Taylor series

$$\frac{1}{1+x^2} = 1 - x^2 + x^4 - x^6 + \dots \quad (6)$$

as you can easily prove. This series has a radius of convergence of 1 in the complex plane. Polynomials, on the other hand, are entire functions, *i.e.*, their Taylor series converge everywhere in the complex plane. No finite sum of polynomials can be anything but entire, but no entire function can interpolate the Runge example function on a disk with radius larger than one about the origin in the complex plane. If there were one, it would have to agree with the series (6) inside the unit disk, but the series diverges at $x = i$ and an entire function cannot have an infinite value (a pole).

1. Make a copy of `exer6.m` called `exer7.m` that uses `coef_vander` and `polyval` to evaluate the interpolating polynomial rather than `eval_lag`.
2. Confirm that you get the same results as in Exercise 6 when you use Vandermonde interpolation for the Runge example function.
3. N = 5 Approximation Error = _____
4. N = 11 Approximation Error = _____
5. N = 21 Approximation Error = _____

6. Look at the nontrivial coefficients (c_k) of the interpolating polynomials by filling in the following table.

7. N=5 c(5)= _____ c(3)= _____ c(1)= _____
 8. N=11 c(11)= _____ c(9)= _____ c(7)= _____ c(5)= _____
 9. N=21 c(21)= _____ c(19)= _____ c(17)= _____ c(15)= _____

10. Look at the trivial coefficients (c_k) of the interpolating polynomials by filling in the following table. (Look carefully at what the colon notation does.)

| | | | |
|-----|------|---|-------|
| 11. | N=5 | $\max(\text{abs}(c(2:2:\text{end}))) =$ | _____ |
| 12. | N=11 | $\max(\text{abs}(c(2:2:\text{end}))) =$ | _____ |
| 13. | N=21 | $\max(\text{abs}(c(2:2:\text{end}))) =$ | _____ |

You should see that the interpolating polynomials are "trying" to reproduce the Taylor series (6). These polynomials cannot agree with the Taylor series at all points, though, because the Taylor series does not converge at all points.

Chebyshev Points

If we have no idea what function is generating the data, but we are allowed to pick the locations of the data points beforehand, the Chebyshev points are the smartest choice.

We show that the interpolation error between a function f and its polynomial interpolant P at any point x is given by an expression of the form:

$$f(x) - p(x) = \left[\frac{(x - x_1)(x - x_2) \dots (x - x_n)}{n!} \right] f^n(\xi) \quad (7)$$

where ξ is an unknown nearby point. This is something like an error term for a Taylor's series.

We can't do a thing about the expression $f^n(\xi)$, because f is an arbitrary (smooth enough) function, but we *can* affect the magnitude of the bracketed expression. For instance, if we are only using a single data point ($n = 1$) in the interval $[10, 20]$, then the very best choice for the data point would be $x_1 = 15$, because then the maximum absolute value of the expression

$$\left[\frac{(x - x_1)}{1!} \right]$$

would be 5. The worst value would be to choose x at one of the endpoints, in which case we'd double the maximum value. This doesn't guarantee better results, but it improves the chance.

The Chebyshev polynomial of degree n is given by

$$T_n(x) = \cos(n \cos^{-1} x). \quad (8)$$

This formula doesn't look like it generates a polynomial, but the trigonometric formulae for sums of angles and the relation that $\sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta = 1$ can be used to show that it does generate a polynomial.

These polynomials satisfy an orthogonality relationship and a three-term recurrence relationship

$$T_n = 2xT_{n-1}(x) - T_{n-2}(x), \quad \text{with } T_0(x) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad T_1(x) = x. \quad (9)$$

The facts that make Chebyshev polynomials important for interpolation are

- The peaks and valleys of T_n are smallest of all polynomials of degree n over $[-1,1]$ with $T_n(1) = 1$ and
- On the interval $[-1,1]$, each polynomial oscillates about zero with peaks and valleys all of equal magnitude
- Thus, when $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ in (7) are chosen to be the roots of $T_n(x)$, then the bracketed expression in (7) is proportional to T_n , and the bracketed expression is minimized over all polynomials.

Before going on to use the Chebyshev points, it is a good idea to confirm that the two expressions (8) and (9) do, in fact, refer to the same polynomials.

Mathematically speaking, the best way to approach the problem is to use the symbolic toolbox, which can produce the identity that can become the central portion of a proof. Instead, we will approach the problem numerically. This approach will yield a convincing example, but no proof.

Exercise 8:

1. Write a Matlab function with the signature and first few lines,
2. `function tval=cheby_trig(xval,degree)`
3. `% tval=cheby_trig(xval,degree)`
- 4.
5. `% your name and the date`
- 6.
7. `if nargin==1`

```

8.     degree=7;
9. end

```

to evaluate $T_n(x)$ as defined using trigonometric functions in (7) above. If the argument `degree` is omitted, it defaults to 7, a fact that will be used below.

10. Write a recursive Matlab function with the signature and first few lines,

```

11.     function tval=cheby_recurs(xval,degree)
12.     % tval=cheby_recurs(xval,degree)
13.
14.     % your name and the date
15.
16.     if nargin==1
17.         degree=7;
18.     end

```

to evaluate $T_n(x)$ as defined recursively in (8) above. If the argument `degree` is omitted, it defaults to 7, a fact that will be used below.

19. Show that `cheby_trig` and `cheby_recurs` agree for `degree=4` (T_4) at the points `x=[0,1,2,3,4]` by computing the largest absolute value of the differences at those points. What is this value?

Remark: If two polynomials of degree 4 agree at 5 points, they agree everywhere. Hence *if (7) defines a polynomial*, then (7) and (8)

produce identical values for T_4 . This is why only five test points are required.

20. Plot values of `cheby_trig` and `cheby_recurs` on the interval `[-1.1, 1.1]`

for `degree=7` (T_7). Use at least 100 points for this plot in order to see the details. The two lines should lie on top of one another. You should

also observe visually that the peaks and valleys of T_7 are of equal absolute value. Please include this plot with your summary.

Constructing the Chebyshev points

The Chebyshev points are the zeros of the Chebyshev polynomials. They can be found from (7):

$$\cos(n \cos^{-1} x) = 0,$$

$$n \cos^{-1} x = (2k - 1)\pi/2,$$

$$\cos^{-1} x = (2k - 1)\pi/(2n),$$

$$x = \cos\left(\frac{(2k - 1)\pi}{2n}\right)$$

For a given number n of data points, then, the Chebyshev points on the interval $[a, b]$ can be constructed in the following way.

1. Pick equally-spaced angles $\theta_k = (2k - 1)\pi/(2n)$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.
2. The Chebyshev points on the interval $[a, b]$ are given as

$$x_k = 0.5(a + b + (a - b) \cos \theta_k),$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Exercise 9:

1. Write a Matlab function m-file called `cheby_points.m` with the signature:
`function xdata = cheby_points (a, b, ndata)`
3. `% xdata = cheby_points (a, b, ndata)`
4. `% more comments`
- 5.
6. `% your name and the date`

that returns in the vector `xdata` the values of the `ndata` Chebyshev points in the interval $[a, b]$. You can do this in 3 lines using vector notation:

```
k = (1:ndata); %vector, NOT A LOOP
theta = (vector expression involving k)
xdata = (vector expression involving theta)
```

or you can use a `for` loop. (The vector notation is more compact and runs faster, but is a little harder to understand.)

7. To check your work, use `cheby_points` to find the Chebyshev points on the interval $[-1, 1]$ for `ndata=7`. Do the largest and second largest roots agree with your roots of T_7 computed above?
8. What are the five Chebyshev points for `ndata=5` and $[a, b] = [-5, 5]$?

9. You should observe that the Chebyshev points are not uniformly distributed on the interval but they are *symmetrical about its center*. It is easy to see from (7) that this fact is true in general.

Exercise 10: Repeat Exercise 4 but with Chebyshev points on $[-5,5]$. Fill in the table. (Note the extra row!) You should see smaller errors than before, especially for larger n_{data} .

| Runge function, Chebyshev points | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| $n_{\text{data}} = 5$ | Max Error = _____ |
| $n_{\text{data}} = 11$ | Max Error = _____ |
| $n_{\text{data}} = 21$ | Max Error = _____ |
| $n_{\text{data}} = 41$ | Max Error = _____ |