- "1. The Office of the Tanodbayan. pare Fernandez and the Special Investigating Pare Justice Herrera, Fiscal Bernabe and Special Tamayo, was originally of the view that all (26) respondents named in the Agrava Boar should all be charged as principals of the murder for the death of Senator Benigno Aqualman.
- 2. When Malacañang learned of the impensaid charge before the Sandiganbaya Investigating Panel having already prepared recommending such course of action, I summoned Justice Fernandez, the three Special Investigating Panel, and Justice conference in Malacañang in the early event 1985.
- 3. In said conference. President Marcos initidisagreement with the recommendation Investigating Panel and disputed the findin Board that it was not Galman who shot Benight
- 4. Later in the conference, however, Presi convinced of the advisability of filing the

itself in several specific instances in the proceedings, such as the changing of the special investigating panel, the filing of the Sandiganbayan and its assignment to suppression of some vital evidence, harassn recantation of witnesses who gave adverse the Agrava Board, coaching of defense coaching, monitoring of proceedings, and even in rendered in the case.

- 8. That expression of President Marcos' de wanted the Aquino-Galman case to be hand of constituted sufficient pressure on those task to comply with the same in the subsequence proceedings.
- 9. That while Justice Pamaran and Jamanifested no revulsion against compalacañang directive. Justice Herrera play manifestly ambivalent feelings.
- 10. Sufficient evidence has been ventilated to and predetermined manner of handling and Aquino-Galman murder case, as stage

Malacañang and performed by willing *dram*