Active Transfer Learning

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation Address email

Abstract

2 1 Introduction

2 Preliminaries

Markov Decision Processes We define a Markov decision process (MDP) as a tuple \mathcal{M} $\langle \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{R}, p_0, \gamma \rangle$, where \mathcal{S} is the state-space, \mathcal{A} is a finite set of actions, $\mathcal{P}(\cdot|s, a)$ is the distribution of the next state s' given that action a is taken in state s, $\mathcal{R}: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the reward function, p_0 is the initial-state distribution, and $\gamma \in [0,1)$ is the discount factor. We assume the reward function to be uniformly bounded by a constant $R_{max} > 0$. A deterministic policy $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping 8 from states to actions. At the beginning of each episode of interaction, the initial state s_0 is drawn 9 from p_0 . Then, the agent takes the action $a_0 = \pi(s_0)$, receives a reward $\mathcal{R}(s_0, a_0)$, transitions to the next state $s_1 \sim \mathcal{P}(\cdot|s_0, a_0)$, and the process is repeated. The goal is to find the policy maximizing 11 the long-term return over a possibly infinite horizon: $\max_{\pi} J(\pi) = \mathbb{E}[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t \mid \mathcal{M}, \pi]$. To this 12 end, we define the optimal value function $Q^*(s,a)$ as the expected return obtained by taking action a in state s and following an optimal policy thereafter. Then, an optimal policy π^* is a policy that is greedy with respect to the optimal value function, i.e., $\pi^*(s) = \operatorname{argmax}_a Q^*(s, a)$ for all states 15 s. It can be shown (e.g., [4]) that Q^* is the unique fixed-point of the optimal Bellman operator T16 defined by $TQ(s, a) = \mathcal{R}(s, a) + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}}[\max_{a'} Q(s', a')]$ for any value function Q. From now on, we 17 adopt the term Q-function to denote any plausible value function, i.e., any function $Q: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ 18 uniformly bounded by $\frac{R_{max}}{1-\gamma}$. 19

We define the Bellman error (or Bellman residual) of a Q-function Q as $B(Q) \triangleq TQ - Q$. Notice that a Q-function Q is optimal if, and only if, $||B(Q)||_{\infty} = 0$.

Multitask Settings We represent tasks τ as MDPs with shared state and action spaces, but with potentially different values for all other parameters. We assume the existence of a distribution \mathcal{D} over tasks, i.e., $\tau \sim \mathcal{D}$, and we suppose that we are able to sample from such distribution.

25 3 Approach

We start by noticing that the task distribution \mathcal{D} clearly induces a distribution over optimal Qfunctions. Then, our goal is to estimate such distribution from the set of source tasks and use it as a
prior for speeding up the learning process in the target task.

We assume states and actions to be drawn from a fixed joint distribution μ . We define the set of Q-functions of our interest as the set Q^{ϵ} of all Q functions whose Bellman error B(Q) is in some ϵ -ball defined by the l_p -norm $||\cdot||_{p,\mu}$:

$$Q^{\epsilon} = \left\{ Q \in Q \mid ||B(Q)||_{p,\mu}^{p} \le \epsilon \right\} \tag{1}$$

Given a dataset of N samples $D=\langle s_i,a_i,r_i,s_i'\rangle_{i=1}^N$, we can approximate the l_p -norm of the Bellman

 33 error of a Q-function Q as:

$$||B(Q)||_{p,D}^{p} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| r_i + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s'_i, a') - Q(s_i, a_i) \right|^{p}$$
(2)

Theorem 1. Let Q be a Q-function with empirical Bellman error, computed on a dataset D of N i.i.d. samples, given by $||B(Q)||_{p,D}^p = \hat{q}$. Then, for any $\epsilon >= 0$:

$$P\left(Q \in \mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon} \mid ||B(Q)||_{p,D}^{p} = \hat{q}\right) \le exp\left(-\frac{2N \max\{\epsilon - \hat{q}, 0\}^{2}}{\left(\frac{2R_{max}}{1 - \gamma}\right)^{2p}}\right)$$
(3)

36 *Proof.* Assume $\hat{q} > \epsilon$. Then:

$$\begin{split} P\left(Q \in \mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon} \mid ||B(Q)||_{p,D}^{p} = \hat{q}\right) &= P\left(||B(Q)||_{p,\mu}^{p} \le \epsilon \mid ||B(Q)||_{p,D}^{p} = \hat{q}\right) \\ &= P\left(||B(Q)||_{p,\mu}^{p} - \hat{q} \le \epsilon - \hat{q} \mid ||B(Q)||_{p,D}^{p} = \hat{q}\right) \end{split}$$

Notice that $\mathbb{E}[\hat{q}] = ||B(Q)||_{p,\mu}^p$ and that $\epsilon - \hat{q} < 0$ by assumption. Then, we can apply Hoeffding's

38 inequality to write:

$$P\left(Q \in \mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon} \mid ||B(Q)||_{p,D}^{p} = \hat{q}\right) \leq exp\left(-\frac{2N(\epsilon - \hat{q})^{2}}{\left(\frac{2R_{max}}{1 - \gamma}\right)^{2p}}\right)$$

- Finally, when $\hat{q} \leq \epsilon$, the probability can be straightforwardly upper-bounded by 1. Combining the two results concludes the proof.
- Theorem 2. Let Q be a Q-function with empirical Bellman error, computed on a dataset D of N i.i.d. samples, given by $||B(Q)||_{p,D}^p = \hat{q}$. Then, for any $\epsilon >= 0$:

$$P\left(||B(Q)||_{p,D}^p = \hat{q} \mid Q \in \mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}\right) \le \frac{\epsilon}{\hat{q}}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} P\left(||B(Q)||_{p,D}^p = \hat{q} \mid Q \in \mathcal{Q}^\epsilon\right) &= P\left(||B(Q)||_{p,D}^p = \hat{q} \mid ||B(Q)||_{p,\mu}^p \le \epsilon\right) \\ &\leq P\left(||B(Q)||_{p,D}^p \ge \hat{q} \mid ||B(Q)||_{p,\mu}^p \le \epsilon\right) \\ &\leq \frac{E[||B(Q)||_{p,D}^p]}{\hat{q}} \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{\hat{q}} \end{split}$$

43 The first inequality is straightforward, the second one is from Markov's inequality, while the third

one is due to the fact that $Q \in \mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}$.

45 3.1 Regularized Bellman Residual Minimization with Gaussian Priors

Consider solving the target task given a dataset D of N samples. The posterior distribution over

optimal Q-functions is:

$$P(Q^* \mid D) \propto P(D \mid Q^*)P(Q^*) \tag{4}$$

Bounding the likelihood $P(D \mid Q^*)$ (FIND A BETTER DERIVATION) and taking the maximum of the log-posterior, we obtain the following optimization problem:

$$\min_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} ||B(Q)||_{p,D}^p - \log P(Q) \tag{5}$$

Then, let us specify a particular hypothesis space \mathcal{Q} . We consider Q-functions $Q_{m{w}}$ parameterized by

the vector w. Our optimization problem becomes:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} ||B(\boldsymbol{w})||_{p,D}^p - \log P(\boldsymbol{w}) \tag{6}$$

We model the prior distribution over the optimal parameters w as a Gaussian. That is, we assume:

$$P(\boldsymbol{w}) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \tag{7}$$

Then, our optimization, adopting the l_2 -norm, becomes:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} ||B(\mathbf{w})||_D^2 + ||\mathbf{w} - \boldsymbol{\mu}||_{\Sigma} = \min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N |y_i - Q_{\mathbf{w}}(s_i, a_i)|^2 + ||\mathbf{w} - \boldsymbol{\mu}||_{\Sigma}$$
(8)

where $y_i = r_i + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_{\boldsymbol{w}}(s_i', a')$ and $||\boldsymbol{x}||_{\boldsymbol{A}} \triangleq \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{A}^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}$ for \boldsymbol{A} positive definite matrix.

55 **Linear model** We assume a linear model for the Q-functions: $Q_{m{w}}(s,a) = m{w}^T \phi(s,a)$. Here ϕ is

⁵⁶ a K-dimensional feature vector. Then, the solution to the optimization problem of Eq. (8) can be

57 computed in closed form as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{w}^* = \left(\boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{A} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \left(\boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{b} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}\right) \tag{9}$$

where A is an $N \times K$ matrix containing the feature vectors at each data point (s_i, a_i) and b is an N-dimensional vector containing their targets y_i .

60 4 Related Works

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70 71

72

- 61 List of any paper that relates to our approach together with a brief description:
 - [3]: the authors propose a method for efficient exploration via randomized value functions. The optimal Q-function is computed by bayesian LSVI and, after each update, parameters are sampled from the posterior. Then, the agent follows a greedy policy with respect to the sampled Q-function. Regret bounds are provided.
 - [2]: the authors extend the idea of randomized value functions to drive exploration in deep RL. A posterior distribution over Q-functions is approximated via bootstrapping. In each episode, the agent acts greedily with respect to a Q-function sampled from the approximated posterior.
 - [1]: the authors build on top of bootstrapped DQNs to provide UCB-like exploration bonuses. In a previous (?) version of the paper, exploration bonuses based on information gain are also proposed.

5 Experiments

74 6 Conclusion

75 References

- 76 [1] Richard Y Chen, John Schulman, Pieter Abbeel, and Szymon Sidor. Ucb and infogain exploration via
 q-ensembles. arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.01502, 2017.
- [2] Ian Osband, Charles Blundell, Alexander Pritzel, and Benjamin Van Roy. Deep exploration via bootstrapped
 dqn. In Advances in neural information processing systems, pages 4026–4034, 2016.
- 80 [3] Ian Osband, Benjamin Van Roy, and Zheng Wen. Generalization and exploration via randomized value functions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1402.0635*, 2014.
- [4] Martin L. Puterman. Markov Decision Processes: Discrete Stochastic Dynamic Programming. John Wiley
 & Sons, Inc., New York, NY, USA, 1994.

84 A Proofs