



Smart Contract Audit

FOR

Asian Pepe

DATED : 6 July 23'



MANUAL TESTING

Centralization – Enabling Trades

Severity: High

function: enableTrading

Status: Not Resolved

Overview:

Owner of the contract must enable trades manually for investors, otherwise no one would be able to buy/sell/transfer their tokens.

```
function enableTrading() external onlyOwner {  
    tradingEnabled = true;  
}
```

Suggestion

It's suggested to either enable trades prior to presale, or transfer ownership of the contract to a certified pinsksale safu developer to guarantee enabling of trades.



AUDIT SUMMARY

Project name - Asian Pepe

Date: 6 July, 2023

Scope of Audit- Audit Ace was consulted to conduct the smart contract audit of the solidity source codes.

Audit Status: Passed With High Risk

Issues Found

Status	Critical	High	Medium	Low	Suggestion
Open	0	1	2	0	0
Acknowledged	0	0	0	0	0
Resolved	0	0	0	0	0



USED TOOLS

Tools:

1- Manual Review:

A line by line code review has been performed by audit ace team.

2- BSC Test Network: All tests were conducted on the BSC Test network, and each test has a corresponding transaction attached to it. These tests can be found in the "Functional Tests" section of the report.

3- Slither :

The code has undergone static analysis using Slither.

Testnet version:

The tests were performed using the contract deployed on the BSC Testnet, which can be found at the following address:

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/token/0xe69d73d7d8edd288e7b899412cde1376d45bf078>



Token Information

Token Name: Asian Pepe

Token Symbol: \$Asian Pepe

Decimals: 18

Token Supply: 100,000,000

Token Address:

--

Checksum:

aebbe21646d49e34c96296a62339ac485bc6a716

Owner:

--

(at time of writing the audit)

Deployer:

--



TOKEN OVERVIEW

Fees:

Buy Fees: 0-15%

Sell Fees: 0-15%

Transfer Fees: 0-15%

Fees Privilege: owner

Ownership: owned

Minting: No mint function

Max Tx Amount/ Max Wallet Amount: Yes

Blacklist: No

Other Privileges: Initial distribution of the tokens

- modifying fees
 - enabling trades
-



AUDIT METHODOLOGY

The auditing process will follow a routine as special considerations by Auditace:

- Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Auditace to make sure the contract logic meets the intentions of the client without exposing the user's funds to risk.
- Manual review of the entire codebase by our experts, which is the process of reading source code line-by-line in an attempt to identify potential vulnerabilities.
- Specification comparison is the process of checking whether the code does what the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Auditace describe.
- Test coverage analysis determines whether the test cases are covering the code and how much code is exercised when we run the test cases.
- Symbolic execution is analysing a program to determine what inputs cause each part of a program to execute.
- Reviewing the codebase to improve maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices.

VULNERABILITY CHECKLIST



Return values of low-level calls



Gasless Send



Private modifier



Using block.timestamp



Multiple Sends



Re-entrancy



Using Suicide



Tautology or contradiction



Gas Limit and Loops



Timestamp Dependence



Address hardcoded



Revert/require functions



Exception Disorder



Use of tx.origin



Using inline assembly



Integer overflow/underflow



Divide before multiply



Dangerous strict equalities



Missing Zero Address Validation



Using SHA3



Compiler version not fixed



Using throw

CLASSIFICATION OF RISK

Severity	Description
◆ Critical	These vulnerabilities could be exploited easily and can lead to asset loss, data loss, asset, or data manipulation. They should be fixed right away.
◆ High-Risk	A vulnerability that affects the desired outcome when using a contract, or provides the opportunity to use a contract in an unintended way.
◆ Medium-Risk	A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract in a specific scenario.
◆ Low-Risk	A vulnerability that does not have a significant impact on possible scenarios for the use of the contract and is probably subjective.
◆ Gas Optimization / Suggestion	A vulnerability that has an informational character but is not affecting any of the code.

Findings

Severity	Found
◆ Critical	0
◆ High-Risk	1
◆ Medium-Risk	2
◆ Low-Risk	0
◆ Gas Optimization / Suggestions	0

INHERITANCE TREE





CONTRACT ASSESSMENT

Contract	Type	Bases			
	L	**Function Name**	**Visibility**	**Mutability**	**Modifiers**
	DexFactory	Interface			
	L	createPair	External !	● NO !	
	DexRouter	Interface			
	L	factory	External !	NO !	
	L	WETH	External !	NO !	
	L	addLiquidityETH	External !	💵 NO !	
	L	swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens	External !	● NO !	
	AsianPepe	Implementation	ERC20, Ownable		
	L	<Constructor>	Public !	●	ERC20
	L	initialize	External !	●	onlyOwner
	L	setMarketingWallet	External !	●	onlyOwner
	L	setSwapTokensAtAmount	External !	●	onlyOwner
	L	toggleSwapping	External !	●	onlyOwner
	L	setWhitelistStatus	External !	●	onlyOwner
	L	checkWhitelist	External !	NO !	
	L	updateBuyFees	Public !	●	onlyOwner
	L	updateSellFees	Public !	●	onlyOwner
	L	updateTransferFees	Public !	●	onlyOwner
	L	updateMaxBuy	Public !	●	onlyOwner
	L	updateMaxSell	Public !	●	onlyOwner
	L	_takeTax	Internal 🔒	●	
	L	enableTrading	External !	●	onlyOwner
	L	_transfer	Internal 🔒	●	
	L	internalSwap	Internal 🔒	●	
	L	swapAndLiquify	Internal 🔒	●	
	L	swapToETH	Internal 🔒	●	
	L	addLiquidity	Private 🔒	●	
	L	withdrawStuckETH	External !	●	onlyOwner
	L	withdrawStuckTokens	External !	●	onlyOwner
	L	<Receive Ether>	External !	💵 NO !	
Symbol	Meaning				
	●	Function can modify state			
	💵	Function is payable			



POINTS TO NOTE

- **Owner is able to update buy/sell/transfer fees (0-15%)**
- Owner is not able to blacklist an address
- Owner is not able to disable buy/sell/transfers
- Owner is not able to set max wallet limit and minimum wallet limits
- Owner is not able to mint new tokens
- **Owner must enable trades manually**



STATIC ANALYSIS

```
Context._msgData() (contracts/Token.sol#25-27) is never used and should be removed
ERC20._burn(address,uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#735-751) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.add(uint256,uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#242-244) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.div(uint256,uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#284-286) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.div(uint256,uint256,string) (contracts/Token.sol#336-341) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#300-302) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256,string) (contracts/Token.sol#358-363) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mul(uint256,uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#270-272) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.sub(uint256,uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#256-258) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.sub(uint256,uint256,string) (contracts/Token.sol#317-322) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.tryAdd(uint256,uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#171-177) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.tryDiv(uint256,uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#213-218) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.tryMod(uint256,uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#225-230) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.tryMul(uint256,uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#196-206) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.trySub(uint256,uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#184-189) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code
```

```
Pragma version^0.8.0 (contracts/Token.sol#8) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.0 (contracts/Token.sol#37) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.0 (contracts/Token.sol#120) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.0 (contracts/Token.sol#153) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.0 (contracts/Token.sol#373) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.0 (contracts/Token.sol#461) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.17 (contracts/Token.sol#836) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.6.12/0.7.6/0.8.16
solc-0.8.20 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity
```

```
Low level call in AsianPepe.internalSwap() (contracts/Token.sol#1027-1056):
  - (success) = address(marketingWallet).call{value: address(this).balance}() (contracts/Token.sol#1054)
Low level call in AsianPepe.withdrawStuckETH() (contracts/Token.sol#1086-1089):
  - (success) = address(msg.sender).call{value: address(this).balance}() (contracts/Token.sol#1087)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls
```

```
Function DexRouter.WETH() (contracts/Token.sol#845) is not in mixedCase
Parameter AsianPepe.setMarketingWallet(address).newmarketing (contracts/Token.sol#924) is not in mixedCase
Parameter AsianPepe.setSwapTokensAtAmount(uint256).newAmount (contracts/Token.sol#929) is not in mixedCase
Parameter AsianPepe.setWhitelistStatus(address,bool).wallet (contracts/Token.sol#942) is not in mixedCase
Parameter AsianPepe.setWhitelistStatus(address,bool).status (contracts/Token.sol#942) is not in mixedCase
Parameter AsianPepe.checkWhitelist(address).wallet (contracts/Token.sol#947) is not in mixedCase
Parameter AsianPepe.swapAndLiquify(uint256).amount (contracts/Token.sol#1058) is not in mixedCase
Parameter AsianPepe.swapToETH(uint256).amount (contracts/Token.sol#1069) is not in mixedCase
Parameter AsianPepe.addLiquidity(uint256,uint256).ETHAmount (contracts/Token.sol#1079) is not in mixedCase
Parameter AsianPepe.withdrawStuckTokens(address).erc20_token (contracts/Token.sol#1091) is not in mixedCase
Constant AsianPepe._totalSupply (contracts/Token.sol#871) is not in UPPER_CASE WITH underscores
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions
```

**Result => A static analysis of contract's source code has been performed using slither,
No major issues were found in the output**



FUNCTIONAL TESTING

1- Adding liquidity (**passed**):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0xc2a15ac3cc8437c1cc930014ab4a03ba7e5cf9180e35e703b7f35b4b98c96914>

2- Buying when excluded from fees (0% tax) (**passed**):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0xd978315113178a0724ee1b48d68294ab32ebc793a352557dea66bef1996a0864>

3- Selling when excluded from fees (0% tax) (**passed**):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x857b80b2948e9a42e16cdd21532895f79c0e004cca4fc69d8e112cb92e66f6e6>

4- Transferring when excluded from fees (0% tax) (**passed**):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x1512bfdc89167244c15d3858df147823945115930364ffe3d958ce7e2768ef76>

5- Buying(0-15% tax) (**passed**):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x6b4a80e807d3a1e1289ac08ce5c1428aeecef1b1fe5bfed4204544ef312f351b3>

6- Selling (0-15% tax) (**passed**):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x51d3c5c78563ebcc12d8eb18e6e077207cfe620f5414229c0048da49bc666ff3>



FUNCTIONAL TESTING

4- Transferring (0-15% tax) (passed):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0xc65d25c42216a75ec15e8a000543c78ad1d4bf4457658f2656f9e0ee2fb4cbfa>

4- Internal swap (auto liquidity | marketing BNB) (passed):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x51d3c5c78563ebcc12d8eb18e6e077207cfe620f5414229c0048da49bc666ff3>



MANUAL TESTING

Centralization – Enabling Trades

Severity: High

function: enableTrading

Status: Not Resolved

Overview:

Owner of the contract must enable trades manually for investors, otherwise no one would be able to buy/sell/transfer their tokens.

```
function enableTrading() external onlyOwner {  
    tradingEnabled = true;  
}
```

Suggestion

It's suggested to either enable trades prior to presale, or transfer ownership of the contract to a certified pinsksale safu developer to guarantee enabling of trades.



MANUAL TESTING

Centralization – Excessive Fees

Severity: Medium

function: updateBuyFees – updateSellFees - updateTransferFees

Status: Not Resolved

Overview:

Owner is able to change buy/sell/transfer fees within 0-15% each.

```
function updateBuyFees(uint256 marketing, uint256 liquidity) public onlyOwner {  
    buyTaxes.liquidityTax = liquidity;  
    buyTaxes.marketingTax = marketing;  
    require(marketing + liquidity <= 15, "Can't set fees higher than 15%");  
    totalBuyFees = marketing + liquidity;  
}
```

```
function updateSellFees(uint256 marketing, uint256 liquidity) public onlyOwner {  
    sellTaxes.liquidityTax = liquidity;  
    sellTaxes.marketingTax = marketing;  
    require(marketing + liquidity <= 15, "Can't set fees higher than 15%");  
    totalSellFees = marketing + liquidity;  
}
```

```
function updateTransferFees(uint256 marketing, uint256 liquidity) public onlyOwner {  
    transferTaxes.liquidityTax = liquidity;  
    transferTaxes.marketingTax = marketing;  
    require(marketing + liquidity <= 15, "Can't set fees higher than 15%");  
    totalTransferFees = marketing + liquidity;  
}
```

Suggestion

Its suggested to keep fees within 0-10%

0% <= buy fees <= 10%

0% <= sell fees <= 10%

0% <= transfer fees <= 10%



MANUAL TESTING

Centralization – Trade limits

Severity: Medium

function: updateMaxBuy - updateMaxSell

Status: Not Resolved

Overview:

Owner is able to set maximum buy and sell limits. This limits can not be set to 0 and are always more than 1% of supply

```
function updateMaxBuy(uint256 maxBuyAmount) public onlyOwner {  
    maxBuy = maxBuyAmount;  
    require(maxBuyAmount >= _totalSupply / 100, "Can't update max buy to lower than 1% of  
supply");  
}  
  
function updateMaxSell(uint256 maxSellAmount) public onlyOwner {  
    maxSell = maxSellAmount;  
    require(maxSellAmount >= _totalSupply / 100, "Can't update max sell to lower than 1% of  
supply");  
}
```



DISCLAIMER

All the content provided in this document is for general information only and should not be used as financial advice or a reason to buy any investment. Team provides no guarantees against the sale of team tokens or the removal of liquidity by the project audited in this document. Always Do your own research and protect yourselves from being scammed. The Auditace team has audited this project for general information and only expresses their opinion based on similar projects and checks from popular diagnostic tools. Under no circumstances did Auditace receive a payment to manipulate those results or change the awarding badge that we will be adding in our website. Always Do your own research and protect yourselves from scams. This document should not be presented as a reason to buy or not buy any particular token. The Auditace team disclaims any liability for the resulting losses.



ABOUT AUDITACE

We specialize in providing thorough and reliable audits for Web3 projects. With a team of experienced professionals, we use cutting-edge technology and rigorous methodologies to evaluate the security and integrity of blockchain systems. We are committed to helping our clients ensure the safety and transparency of their digital assets and transactions.



<https://auditace.tech/>



https://t.me/Audit_Ace



https://twitter.com/auditace_



<https://github.com/Audit-Ace>
