



# Smart Contract Audit

FOR

## MiniDoge

DATED : 06 April 24'



# AUDIT SUMMARY

**Project name - MiniDoge**

**Date:** 06 April 2024

**Scope of Audit-** Audit Ace was consulted to conduct the smart contract audit of the solidity source codes.

**Audit Status: Passed**

## Issues Found

Status	Critical	High	Medium	Low	Suggestion
Open	0	0	0	2	1
Acknowledged	0	0	0	0	0
Resolved	0	0	0	0	0



# USED TOOLS

---

## Tools:

### 1- Manual Review:

A line by line code review has been performed by audit ace team.

**2- BSC Test Network:** All tests were conducted on the BSC Test network, and each test has a corresponding transaction attached to it. These tests can be found in the "Functional Tests" section of the report.

### 3- Slither :

The code has undergone static analysis using Slither.

### Testnet version:

The tests were performed using the contract deployed on the BSC Testnet, which can be found at the following address:

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/address/0x81431f480456ed34f3d3fe3ce59b033d6dc41598#code>

---



# Token Information

---

**Token Address:** 0xAE05711c27e82C18bBf4cf905BE393D8161eb67A

**Name:** MiniDoge

**Symbol:** MiniDoge

**Decimals:** 9

**Network:** Base Chain

**Token Type:** ERC-20

**Owner:** 0x7Ed14577D32e0F7383fd62c2598295A57900d451

**Deployer:** 0x7Ed14577D32e0F7383fd62c2598295A57900d451

**Token Supply:** 1000000000000000

**Checksum:** 67c39213a6527b25b3eb8deabf9424e7

## **Testnet version:**

The tests were performed using the contract deployed on the Binance smart chain Testnet, which can be found at the following address:  
<https://testnet.bscscan.com/address/0x81431f480456ed34f3d3fe3ce59b033d6dc41598#code>

---



# AUDIT METHODOLOGY

---

The auditing process will follow a routine as special considerations by Auditace:

- Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Auditace to make sure the contract logic meets the intentions of the client without exposing the user's funds to risk.
- Manual review of the entire codebase by our experts, which is the process of reading source code line-by-line in an attempt to identify potential vulnerabilities.
- Specification comparison is the process of checking whether the code does what the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Auditace describe.
- Test coverage analysis determines whether the test cases are covering the code and how much code is exercised when we run the test cases.
- Symbolic execution is analysing a program to determine what inputs cause each part of a program to execute.
- Reviewing the codebase to improve maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices.



# VULNERABILITY CHECKLIST



Return values of low-level calls



**Gasless Send**



Private modifier



Using block.timestamp



Multiple Sends



Re-entrancy



Using Suicide



Tautology or contradiction



Gas Limit and Loops



Timestamp Dependence



Address hardcoded



Revert/require functions



Exception Disorder



Use of tx.origin



Using inline assembly



Integer overflow/underflow



Divide before multiply



Dangerous strict equalities



Missing Zero Address Validation



Using SHA3



Compiler version not fixed



Using throw



# CLASSIFICATION OF RISK


Severity	Description
◆ Critical	These vulnerabilities could be exploited easily and can lead to asset loss, data loss, asset, or data manipulation. They should be fixed right away.
◆ High-Risk	A vulnerability that affects the desired outcome when using a contract, or provides the opportunity to use a contract in an unintended way.
◆ Medium-Risk	A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract in a specific scenario.
◆ Low-Risk	A vulnerability that does not have a significant impact on possible scenarios for the use of the contract and is probably subjective.
◆ Gas Optimization / Suggestion	A vulnerability that has an informational character but is not affecting any of the code.

## Findings

Severity	Found
◆ Critical	0
◆ High-Risk	0
◆ Medium-Risk	0
◆ Low-Risk	2
◆ Gas Optimization / Suggestions	1

# INHERITANCE TREE

---





## POINTS TO NOTE

---

- The owner can renounce ownership.
- The owner can transfer ownership.



# STATIC ANALYSIS

```
INFO:Detectors:  
StandardToken.allowance(address,address).owner (StandardToken.sol#522) shadows:  
    - Ownable.owner() (StandardToken.sol#150-152) (function)  
StandardToken._approve(Address,address,uint256).owner (StandardToken.sol#680) shadows:  
    - Ownable.owner() (StandardToken.sol#150-152) (function)  
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#local-variable-shadowing  
INFO:Detectors:  
Context._msgData() (StandardToken.sol#110-112) is never used and should be removed  
SafeMath.div(uint256,uint256) (StandardToken.sol#324-326) is never used and should be removed  
SafeMath.div(uint256,uint256,string) (StandardToken.sol#380-389) is never used and should be removed  
SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256) (StandardToken.sol#340-342) is never used and should be removed  
SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256,string) (StandardToken.sol#406-415) is never used and should be removed  
SafeMath.mul(uint256,uint256) (StandardToken.sol#310-312) is never used and should be removed  
SafeMath.sub(uint256,uint256) (StandardToken.sol#296-298) is never used and should be removed  
SafeMath.tryAdd(uint256,uint256) (StandardToken.sol#211-217) is never used and should be removed  
SafeMath.tryDiv(uint256,uint256) (StandardToken.sol#253-258) is never used and should be removed  
SafeMath.tryMod(uint256,uint256) (StandardToken.sol#265-270) is never used and should be removed  
SafeMath.tryMul(uint256,uint256) (StandardToken.sol#236-246) is never used and should be removed  
SafeMath.trySub(uint256,uint256) (StandardToken.sol#224-229) is never used and should be removed  
StandardToken._setupDecimals(uint8) (StandardToken.sol#698-700) is never used and should be removed  
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code  
INFO:Detectors:  
Pragma version=0.8.19 (StandardToken.sol#420) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.8.18.  
solc-0.8.19 is not recommended for deployment  
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity  
INFO:Detectors:  
StandardToken.constructor() (StandardToken.sol#438-447) uses literals with too many digits:  
    - _totalSupply = 1000000000000000 * 10 ** _decimals (StandardToken.sol#444)  
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#too-many-digits  
INFO:Detectors:  
StandardToken._totalSupply (StandardToken.sol#436) should be immutable  
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#state-variables-that-could-be-declared-immutable  
INFO:Slither:StandardToken.sol analyzed (5 contracts with 93 detectors), 19 result(s) found
```

**Result => A static analysis of contract's source code has been performed using slither,  
No major issues were found in the output**



# FUNCTIONAL TESTING

---

## 1- Approve (passed):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x5f38d6acf2a6debec31b60d3761054e10886a6150afec3702c61e7da984c2e18>

## 2- Increase Allowance (passed):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x126ca400594d20208c05d1419ca4924c8ed22940dd0b9206a831e833a78a92dc>

## 3- Decrease Allowance (passed):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x10939beb253d2826f929ec0f7c34a7a5f880bf579d2cc2b71b3efedad00a14c8>

## 4- Transfer (passed):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x34718e89510c8e20b3d23be15e2cc301dc998e9376dc54a26681d66815469b37>



# MANUAL TESTING

---

**Centralization – Remove the safe math library.**

**Severity: Low**

**Status: Open**

**Line Number: 205-416**

## **Overview:**

The Safe Math library is no longer needed for Solidity version 0.8 and above. This is because Solidity 0.8 includes checked arithmetic operations by default. All Safe Math's methods are now inherited into Solidity programming.



# MANUAL TESTING

## Centralization – Local Variable Shadowing

**Severity:** Low

**Status:** Open

**Function:** \_approve and allowance

**Overview:**

```
function allowance(address owner, address spender)
    public
    view
    virtual
    override
    returns (uint256)
{
    return _allowances[owner][spender];
}

function _approve(
    address owner,
    address spender,
    uint256 amount
) internal virtual {
    require(owner != address(0), "ERC20: approve from the zero
address");
    require(spender != address(0), "ERC20: approve to the zero
address");

    _allowances[owner][spender] = amount;
    emit Approval(owner, spender, amount);
}
```

**Suggestion:**

Rename the local variable that shadows another component.



# MANUAL TESTING

---

## Optimization

**Severity:** Optimization

**Subject:** Remove unused code.

**Status:** Open

### Overview:

Unused variables are allowed in Solidity, and they do not pose a direct security issue. It is the best practice, though to avoid them

```
function _msgData() internal view virtual returns (bytes calldata) {
    return msg.data;
}
function _setupDecimals(uint8 decimals_) internal virtual {
    _decimals = decimals_;
}
```



# DISCLAIMER

---

All the content provided in this document is for general information only and should not be used as financial advice or a reason to buy any investment. Team provides no guarantees against the sale of team tokens or the removal of liquidity by the project audited in this document. Always Do your own research and protect yourselves from being scammed. The Auditace team has audited this project for general information and only expresses their opinion based on similar projects and checks from popular diagnostic tools. Under no circumstances did Auditace receive a payment to manipulate those results or change the awarding badge that we will be adding in our website. Always Do your own research and protect yourselves from scams. This document should not be presented as a reason to buy or not buy any particular token. The Auditace team disclaims any liability for the resulting losses.



# ABOUT AUDITACE

---

We specialize in providing thorough and reliable audits for Web3 projects. With a team of experienced professionals, we use cutting-edge technology and rigorous methodologies to evaluate the security and integrity of blockchain systems. We are committed to helping our clients ensure the safety and transparency of their digital assets and transactions.



**<https://auditace.tech/>**



**[https://t.me/Audit\\_Ace](https://t.me/Audit_Ace)**



**[https://twitter.com/auditace\\_](https://twitter.com/auditace_)**



**<https://github.com/Audit-Ace>**

---