

## Systems biology

# Simulated single molecule microscopy with SMeagol

Martin Lindén, Vladimir Ćurić, Alexis Boucharin, David Fange and Johan Elf\*

Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, Science for Life Laboratory, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Associate Editor: Alfonso Valencia

Received on November 1, 2015; revised on February 5, 2016; accepted on February 19, 2016

### Abstract

**Summary:** SMeagol is a software tool to simulate highly realistic microscopy data based on spatial systems biology models, in order to facilitate development, validation and optimization of advanced analysis methods for live cell single molecule microscopy data.

**Availability and implementation:** SMeagol runs on Matlab R2014 and later, and uses compiled binaries in C for reaction–diffusion simulations. Documentation, source code and binaries for Mac OS, Windows and Ubuntu Linux can be downloaded from <http://smeagol.sourceforge.net>.

**Contact:** [johan.elf@icm.uu.se](mailto:johan.elf@icm.uu.se)


**Supplementary information:** [Supplementary data](#) are available at *Bioinformatics* online.

Recent advances in single particle tracking (SPT) microscopy (Manley *et al.*, 2008) make it possible to obtain tens of thousands macromolecular trajectories from within a living cell in just a few minutes. Since molecules typically change their movement properties upon interactions, these trajectories contain information about both locations and rates of intracellular reactions. This information is unfortunately obscured by physical limitations of the optical microscope and noise in detection systems, making statistical methods development for SPT analysis a very active research field. Unbiased testing and comparison of such methods are however difficult given the absence of in vivo data of intracellular dynamics where the true states of interaction are known, a.k.a. the ‘ground truth’. A common resort is to instead use simulated, synthetic, data. However, tests using such data give unrealistically optimistic results if the simplifying assumptions underlying the analysis method are exactly satisfied. The need for realistic simulations is long recognized in microscopy and systems biology (Andrews, 2012; Chenouard *et al.*, 2014; Cox *et al.*, 2012; Fange *et al.*, 2012; Fullerton *et al.*, 2012; Kerr *et al.*, 2008; Sage *et al.*, 2015; Sinkó *et al.*, 2014; Slepchenko *et al.*, 2002; Takahashi *et al.*, 2010), but systematic combinations of the two are only currently emerging (Angiolini *et al.*, 2015; Watabe *et al.*, 2015).

We present the SMeagol package, that has been developed to generate highly realistic single molecule microscopy time-lapse image series aimed primarily at single particle tracking applications.

The purpose of SMeagol is to enable realistic comparisons between the output of advanced analysis methods and known ground truth. SMeagol includes an extended MesoRD (Fange *et al.*, 2012) version for simulation of 3D diffusion in cellular compartments, diffusion limited reaction kinetics, surface adsorption, reactions in membranes and other complex aspects of reaction diffusion kinetics that do occur in cells, but are not considered in SPT analysis algorithms. In addition to the molecules’ trajectories, SMeagol integrates the 3D point spread function of the microscope, the kinetics of photo-activation, blinking and bleaching of the simulated fluorophores, background noise and camera specific parameters (Fig. 1, movie S1 and S2). Great flexibility is allowed by the possibility to supply these characteristic parameters either as tabulated experimental data for a particular optical setup, or as theoretical models. The combination of using reaction diffusion kinetics in cellular geometries and physics-based simulations of the emission and detection processes makes the images more realistic than the synthetic data used for example by Chenouard *et al.* (2014).

SMeagol can be used to optimize imaging conditions for specific systems in silico and to benchmark methods for SPT analysis in analogy with the methods that has been developed to benchmark localization methods for non-moving single particles (Sage *et al.*, 2015). In the [supplementary material](#), we explore the robustness against localization errors and motional blur of the vbSPT software, which



**Fig. 1.** Simulated microscopy with SMeagol. **(a)** Workflow from stochastic reaction–diffusion simulations to images. **(b)** The microscopy simulation starts from trajectories generated by stochastic reaction–diffusion simulations, fills in stochastic motion and photon emission events between the trajectory points, and finally combines PSF and camera noise models to simulate realistic images. **(c)** Simulated microscopy of fluorescently labeled MinE proteins in the Min oscillatory system. Left: Stochastic reaction–diffusion simulation. Mid columns: Simulated SPT microscopy using an actual experimental background noise movie with continuous illumination and 4 ms/frame. Right: A simulation of continuous illumination and 1 s/frame renders a conventional (non-single molecule) fluorescence microscopy time-lapse movie. See also [Supplementary movies S1, S2](#) and the [Supplementary material](#) for further details (Color version of this figure is available at [Bioinformatics online](#).)

extracts multi-state diffusive models from SPT data (Persson *et al.*, 2013), and find that these effects can induce overfitting under certain conditions. In addition, we provide a number of examples highlighting possibilities, limitation and computational requirements of the SMeagol simulation engine.

When combined with increasingly refined simulations of intracellular processes, photo-physics and optics; live-cell microscopy is moving closer to methods in fundamental physics, where combined simulation of physical processes and detection systems have guided experimental design and data analysis for a long time.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Fredrik Persson and Elias Amselem for helpful discussions.

## Funding

This work was supported by the European Research Council (Grant no. 616047), Vetenskapsrådet, the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation, the Foundation for Strategic Research, and the Swedish strategic research programme eSSANCE.

*Conflict of Interest:* none declared.

## References

Andrews, S.S. (2012) Spatial and stochastic cellular modeling with the Smoldyn simulator. In: van Helden, J. *et al.* (eds.) *Bacterial Molecular Networks*, vol. 804. Springer, New York, pp. 519–542.

- Angiolini, J. *et al.* (2015) Exploring the dynamics of cell processes through simulations of fluorescence microscopy experiments. *Biophys. J.*, **108**, 2613–2618.
- Chenouard, N. *et al.* (2014) Objective comparison of particle tracking methods. *Nat. Methods*, **11**, 281–289.
- Cox, S. *et al.* (2012) Bayesian localization microscopy reveals nanoscale podosome dynamics. *Nat. Methods*, **9**, 195–200.
- Fange, D. *et al.* (2012) MesoRD 1.0: Stochastic reaction–diffusion simulations in the microscopic limit. *Bioinformatics*, **28**, 3155–3157.
- Fullerton, S. *et al.* (2012) Camera simulation engine enables efficient system optimization for super-resolution imaging. *SPIE*, **8228**, 822811–822817.
- Kerr, R.A. *et al.* (2008) Fast Monte Carlo simulation methods for biological reaction-diffusion systems in solution and on surfaces. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, **30**, 3126–3149.
- Manley, S. *et al.* (2008) High-density mapping of single-molecule trajectories with photoactivated localization microscopy. *Nat. Methods*, **5**, 155–157.
- Persson, F. *et al.* (2013) Extracting intracellular diffusive states and transition rates from single-molecule tracking data. *Nat. Methods*, **10**, 265–269.
- Sage, D. *et al.* (2015) Quantitative evaluation of software packages for single-molecule localization microscopy. *Nat. Methods*, **12**, 717–724.
- Sinkó, J. *et al.* (2014) TestSTORM: simulator for optimizing sample labeling and image acquisition in localization based super-resolution microscopy. *Biomed. Opt. Express*, **5**, 778–787.
- Slepchenko, B.M. *et al.* (2002) Computational cell biology: spatiotemporal simulation of cellular events. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.*, **31**, 423–441.
- Takahashi, K. *et al.* (2010) Spatio-temporal correlations can drastically change the response of a MAPK pathway. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **107**, 2473–2478.
- Watabe, M. *et al.* (2015) A computational framework for bioimaging simulation. *PLoS ONE*, **10**, e0130089.