

Phylogenetics

PHYLUCÉ is a software package for the analysis of conserved genomic loci

Brant C. Faircloth

Department of Biological Sciences and Museum of Natural Science, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA

Associate Editor: David Posada

Received on September 30, 2015; revised on October 28, 2015; accepted on October 29, 2015

Abstract

Summary: Targeted enrichment of conserved and ultraconserved genomic elements allows universal collection of phylogenomic data from hundreds of species at multiple time scales (<5 Ma to > 300 Ma). Prior to downstream inference, data from these types of targeted enrichment studies must undergo preprocessing to assemble contigs from sequence data; identify targeted, enriched loci from the off-target background data; align enriched contigs representing conserved loci to one another; and prepare and manipulate these alignments for subsequent phylogenomic inference. PHYLUCÉ is an efficient and easy-to-install software package that accomplishes these tasks across hundreds of taxa and thousands of enriched loci.

Availability and Implementation: PHYLUCÉ is written for Python 2.7. PHYLUCÉ is supported on OSX and Linux (RedHat/CentOS) operating systems. PHYLUCÉ source code is distributed under a BSD-style license from <https://www.github.com/faircloth-lab/phyluce/>. PHYLUCÉ is also available as a package (<https://binstar.org/faircloth-lab/phyluce>) for the Anaconda Python distribution that installs all dependencies, and users can request a PHYLUCÉ instance on iPlant Atmosphere (tag: phyluce). The software manual and a tutorial are available from <http://phyluce.readthedocs.org/en/latest/> and test data are available from doi: 10.6084/m9.figshare.1284521.

Contact: brant@faircloth-lab.org

Supplementary information: [Supplementary data](#) are available at *Bioinformatics* online.

1 Introduction

Target enrichment of conserved and ultraconserved elements (hereafter ‘conserved loci’) allows universal phylogenomic analyses of nonmodel organisms (Faircloth *et al.*, 2012, 2013, 2015) at multiple time scales (Faircloth *et al.*, 2012; Smith *et al.*, 2014). The strength of the approach derives from its ability to collect sequence data from thousands of loci across hundreds of species, permitting phylogenetic comparisons across deep phylogenetic breaks such as organismal Classes (>200–300 Ma) and shallower evolutionary divergences such as populations (<0.5–5 Ma). When the goal of data collection is to infer the evolutionary history of species, the subsequent analytical tasks are generally to: (i) assemble the sequencing reads, which may span tens to hundreds of individuals; (ii) identify putative orthologs among the assembled contigs on a sample-by-sample basis while removing putative paralogs; (iii) easily generate datasets that contain different individuals, individuals

included from other experiments or conserved locus data harvested from individual genome sequences; (iv) identify and export sequence data from orthologs across all individuals in the set; (v) align the data and optionally trim resulting alignments in preparation for phylogenetic inference; (vi) compute summary statistics on the aligned data and (vii) perform utility functions on the sequence or alignment data to prepare them for downstream analyses using a variety of phylogenetic inference programs. PHYLUCÉ (pronounced ‘phy-loo-chee’) is the first open-source, easy-to-install software package to perform these tasks for target enriched, conserved loci in a computationally efficient manner.

2 Workflow and features

The PHYLUCÉ workflow (Supplementary Fig. S1) for inferring phylogeny begins with external preparation of sequence reads from

target-enriched libraries by trimming adapter contamination and low-quality bases using a program like Trimmomatic (Bolger *et al.*, 2014) or a batch processing script similar to illumiprocessor (<https://github.com/faircloth-lab/illumiprocessor>). PHYLUCE then offers several programs to batch-assemble the resulting ‘clean’ reads into contigs using different assembly programs (Zerbino and Birney, 2008; Simpson *et al.*, 2009; Grabherr *et al.*, 2011) with parallelization approaches tailored to each program. The next step in the PHYLUCE workflow is to identify orthologous conserved loci shared among individuals. The MATCH_CONTIGS_TO_PROBES program performs the steps of ortholog identification and paralog removal by aligning the assembled contigs to a FASTA file of target enrichment baits using lastz (Harris, 2007). Although this program is designed to work with standardized baits sets developed for the targeted enrichment of UCE loci (e.g. <http://ultraconserved.org>), users can input custom bait sets with different naming conventions targeting different classes of loci by adjusting several parameters (e.g., Mandel *et al.*, 2014). Following the alignment step, MATCH_CONTIGS_TO_PROBES screens the lastz output to identify (i) assembled contigs hit by probes targeting different loci, and (ii) different contigs that are hit by probes targeting the same locus. The program assumes that these reciprocally duplicate loci are potentially paralogous and removes them from downstream analytical steps. The program then builds a relational database containing a table of detections and nondetections at each locus across all input assemblies as well as a table associating the name of each targeted locus (from the FASTA file representing the bait set) with the name of the assembled contig to which it matches. Next, users of PHYLUCE create a ‘taxon-set’ configuration file that specifies the individual assemblies that will be used in downstream phylogenetic analyses. By inputting this configuration file to the GET_MATCH_COUNTS program, users can flexibly create different data sets, integrate data from separate studies targeting the same loci, or include identical loci harvested from published genome sequences (e.g. <http://github.com/faircloth-lab/uce-probe-sets>). After identifying those individuals and loci in the desired taxon set, users extract the contigs corresponding to non-duplicate conserved loci into a monolithic (all loci for all taxa) FASTA-formatted file using the GET_FASTAS_FROM_MATCH_COUNTS program. This program renames each contig for each species within the taxon set such that the FASTA header for each contig contains information denoting the species in which the conserved locus was detected and the specific conserved locus to which it matched. After creating the monolithic FASTA, users can align the targeted loci with the SEQCAP_ALIGN program, which parallelizes MAFFT (Katoh and Standley, 2013) or MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) alignments across all targeted loci on computers with multiple CPUs. The SEQCAP_ALIGN program also offers the option to trim the resulting alignments for edges that are poorly aligned—a suitable choice when the species within the taxon set are closely related (e.g. roughly Order-level or lower taxonomic ranks, <50 Ma). To apply more aggressive alignment trimming when relationships are older (>50 Ma), PHYLUCE provides a similar program that implements parallelized, internal trimming using Gblocks (Castresana, 2000; Talavera and Castresana, 2007).

PHYLUCE includes several parallelized programs to manipulate the resulting alignments, including the ability to rapidly generate summary statistics across thousands of alignments, explode alignments into their corresponding FASTA sequences, extract taxa from alignments, compute parsimony informative sites within alignments, and convert alignments between common formats, and these programs can also be used with alignments from other data types. After alignment, PHYLUCE users can generate data matrices having varying levels of completeness using the GET_ONLY_LOCI_WITH_MIN_TAXA program. This program screens each locus for taxonomic completeness and filters out

loci containing fewer taxa than desired. In this way, users can create 100% complete (all taxa have data for all loci) or incomplete data matrices (some loci have data for a certain percentage of taxa). After filtering loci for taxonomic completeness, PHYLUCE offers several programs to format resulting alignments for analyses in PartitionFinder (Lanfear *et al.*, 2012), RAxML (Stamatakis, 2014), ExaBayes (Aberer *et al.*, 2014), GARLI (Zwickl, 2006), or MrBayes (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001; Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003). Programs are also available to assist users with preparing data for and running gene-tree-based species tree analyses.

Acknowledgements

I thank Carl Oliveros, Nick Crawford, and Mike Harvey for contributing to the source code and Travis Glenn, John McCormack, Michael Alfaro, Robb Brumfield, Brian Smith, and Kevin Winker for contributing to early UCE studies. Comments from David Posada and three anonymous reviewers improved this manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation Division of Environmental Biology (grant numbers DEB-1242260, DEB-0956069, DEB-0841729, DEB-1354739) and start-up funds provided by Louisiana State University.

Conflict of Interest: none declared.

References

- Aberer, A.J. *et al.* (2014) ExaBayes: massively parallel bayesian tree inference for the whole-genome era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, **31**, 2553–2556.
- Bolger, A.M. *et al.* (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, **30**, 2114–2120.
- Castresana, J. (2000) Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, **17**, 540–552.
- Edgar, R.C. (2004) MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and space complexity. *BMC Bioinform.*, **5**, 113–119.
- Faircloth, B.C. *et al.* (2013) A phylogenomic perspective on the radiation of ray-finned fishes based upon targeted sequencing of ultraconserved elements (UCEs). *PLoS One*, **8**, e65923.
- Faircloth, B.C. *et al.* (2015) Target enrichment of ultraconserved elements from arthropods provides a genomic perspective on relationships among Hymenoptera. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.*, **15**, 489–501.
- Faircloth, B.C. *et al.* (2012) Ultraconserved elements anchor thousands of genetic markers spanning multiple evolutionary timescales. *Syst. Biol.*, **61**, 717–726.
- Grabherr, M.G. *et al.* (2011) Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, **29**, 644–U130.
- Harris, R.S. (2007) Improved pairwise alignment of genomic DNA. Ph.D. Thesis, The Pennsylvania State University.
- Huelsenbeck, J.P. and Ronquist, F. (2001) MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogeny. *Bioinformatics*, **17**, 754–755.
- Katoh, K. and Standley, D.M. (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, **30**, 772–780.
- Lanfear, R. *et al.* (2012) Partitionfinder: combined selection of partitioning schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, **29**, 1695–1701.
- Mandel, J.R. *et al.* (2014) A target enrichment method for gathering phylogenetic information from hundreds of loci: An example from the Compositae. *Appl. Plant Sci.*, **2**.
- Ronquist, F. and Huelsenbeck, J.P. (2003) MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics*, **19**, 1572–1574.
- Simpson, J. *et al.* (2009) ABySS: a parallel assembler for short read sequence data. *Genome Res.*, **19**, 1117–1123.

- Smith, B.T. *et al.* (2014) Target capture and massively parallel sequencing of ultraconserved elements (UCEs) for comparative studies at shallow evolutionary time scales. *Syst. Biol.*, **63**, 83–95.
- Stamatakis, A. (2014) RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*, **30**, 1312–1313.
- Talavera, G. and Castresana, J. (2007) Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. *Syst. Biol.*, **56**, 564–577.
- Zerbino, D.R. and Birney, E. (2008) Velvet: Algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. *Genome Res.*, **18**, 821–829.
- Zwickl, D.J. (2006) Genetic algorithm approaches for the phylogenetic analysis of large biological sequence datasets under the maximum likelihood criterion. Ph.D. dissertation, The University of Texas at Austin.