

# mapDamage2.0: fast approximate Bayesian estimates of ancient DNA damage parameters

Hákon Jónsson<sup>1,\*</sup>, Aurélien Ginolhac<sup>1</sup>, Mikkel Schubert<sup>1</sup>, Philip L. F. Johnson<sup>2</sup> and Ludovic Orlando<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for GeoGenetics, Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen, 1350 København K, Denmark and <sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA

Associate Editor: Michael Brudno

## ABSTRACT

**Motivation:** Ancient DNA (aDNA) molecules in fossilized bones and teeth, coprolites, sediments, mummified specimens and museum collections represent fantastic sources of information for evolutionary biologists, revealing the agents of past epidemics and the dynamics of past populations. However, the analysis of aDNA generally faces two major issues. Firstly, sequences consist of a mixture of endogenous and various exogenous backgrounds, mostly microbial. Secondly, high nucleotide misincorporation rates can be observed as a result of severe *post-mortem* DNA damage. Such misincorporation patterns are instrumental to authenticate ancient sequences versus modern contaminants. We recently developed the user-friendly mapDamage package that identifies such patterns from next-generation sequencing (NGS) sequence datasets. The absence of formal statistical modeling of the DNA damage process, however, precluded rigorous quantitative comparisons across samples.

**Results:** Here, we describe mapDamage 2.0 that extends the original features of mapDamage by incorporating a statistical model of DNA damage. Assuming that damage events depend only on sequencing position and *post-mortem* deamination, our Bayesian statistical framework provides estimates of four key features of aDNA molecules: the average length of overhangs ( $\lambda$ ), nick frequency ( $\nu$ ) and cytosine deamination rates in both double-stranded regions ( $\delta_d$ ) and overhangs ( $\delta_s$ ). Our model enables rescaling base quality scores according to their probability of being damaged. mapDamage 2.0 handles NGS datasets with ease and is compatible with a wide range of DNA library protocols.

**Availability:** mapDamage 2.0 is available at [ginolhac.github.io/mapDamage/](https://github.com/ginolhac/mapDamage) as a Python package and documentation is maintained at the Centre for GeoGenetics Web site ([geogenetics.ku.dk/publications/mapdamage2.0/](http://geogenetics.ku.dk/publications/mapdamage2.0/)).

**Contact:** [jonsson.hakon@gmail.com](mailto:jonsson.hakon@gmail.com)

**Supplementary information:** Supplementary data are available at *Bioinformatics* online.

Received on February 13, 2013; revised on April 16, 2013; accepted on April 18, 2013

## 1 INTRODUCTION

DNA in historical samples is subject to a plethora of environmental conditions and degradation reactions (Sawyer *et al.*, 2012). Abasic sites, strand breaks, interstrand cross-links and a

wide diversity of atypic nucleotidic bases are formed following oxidative and hydrolytic degradation (Lindahl, 1993; Pääbo *et al.*, 2004), even in the most favorable preservation conditions.

*Post-mortem* DNA damage limits our ability to access ancient DNA (aDNA) sequences and increases the risk of exogenous modern contamination, as undamaged DNA molecules are more prone to enzymatic manipulation. Nucleotide misincorporation patterns, which are mostly driven by deaminated forms of cytosines (uracils), have been suggested as a powerful approach to authenticate aDNA sequences generated on next-generation sequencing (NGS) platforms (Briggs *et al.*, 2007) and motivated the creation of the mapDamage package (Ginolhac *et al.*, 2011). Such patterns could vary according to the specific molecular approach used for constructing (Meyer *et al.*, 2012) and/or amplifying (Ginolhac *et al.*, 2011) second-generation DNA libraries. For instance, for one of the most popular protocols (Meyer and Kircher, 2010), we observe inflated cytosine deamination rates at 5'-overhangs, an increase in C → T substitution rates toward sequencing starts and complementary increase in G → A rates toward reads ends (Briggs *et al.*, 2007). Conversely, a novel procedure targeting single-stranded templates has shown elevated C → T substitution rates at both ends (Meyer *et al.*, 2012).

Statistical modeling of such patterns has been developed by Briggs *et al.*, 2007 with strand break, overhangs and cytosine deamination as key factors. Using read alignment to reference genomes and maximum likelihood optimization, this approach has delivered the first quantitative estimates of damage parameters. However, the likelihood framework originally implemented scales poorly with the size of NGS datasets, and extensive running times have prevented common usage. Here, we present an extension of mapDamage, which implements a fast approximation of the DNA damage model using a Bayesian framework. mapDamage 2.0 opens the possibility of comparing DNA damage levels across temporal and environmental gradients. Posterior distributions of damage parameters also enable penalizing the quality score of likely damaged bases, reducing noise in downstream single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) calling procedures.

## 2 APPROACH

Here we build on the DNA damage model described in Briggs *et al.*, 2007. We make the simplifying assumption that mutations

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

and *post-mortem* DNA damage are independent within a fragment, with occurrences depending only on the relative position from the sequence ends.

### 3 METHODS

The general idea is to mutate bases following an Hasegawa, Kishino and Yano (HKY) transition matrix (Hasegawa *et al.*, 1985) and then independently add *post-mortem* damage on top of mutated bases. In this framework, we have multinomial distributions describing the position-specific substitutions for any given base ( $S_{A,i}$ ,  $S_{C,i}$ ,  $S_{G,i}$  and  $S_{T,i}$ ).

$$S_{A,i} \sim \text{Mul}(D_A, (1, 0, 0, 0) \cdot \Theta(\mu, \rho) \cdot P_{\text{dam}}(\delta_d, \delta_s, \lambda, \nu, i))$$

$\Theta$  is the HKY transition matrix, and  $P_{\text{dam}}$  is defined as the DNA damage transition matrix. We assume *post-mortem* cytosine deamination is the main driver of nucleotide misincorporations in agreement with experimental evidence (Briggs *et al.*, 2007), providing

$$P_{\text{dam}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - p_{ct} & 0 & p_{ct} \\ p_{ga} & 0 & 1 - p_{ga} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Where the base-specific damage probabilities are defined as


$$p_{ct}(\delta_d, \delta_s, \lambda, \nu, i) = \nu_i(\lambda_i \delta_s + \delta_d(1 - \lambda_i))$$

$$p_{ga}(\delta_d, \delta_s, \lambda, \nu, i) = (1 - \nu_i)(\lambda_i \delta_s + \delta_d(1 - \lambda_i))$$

The motivation for the base-specific damage probabilities  $p_{\text{dam}}$  is best explained by the Markov chain in Figure 1 where the first jump decides if the position is before or after a nick; then a C  $\rightarrow$  T substitution could be observed following deamination in overhang or double-stranded DNA regions. A similar Markov chain could be drawn for G  $\rightarrow$  A substitutions (Supplementary Section 1).

For rescaling base quality scores, we assume that C  $\rightarrow$  T and G  $\rightarrow$  A substitutions either originate from true biological differences or from damage driven misincorporations. We can derive an estimate for the probability that a C  $\rightarrow$  T (similar for G  $\rightarrow$  A) misincorporation at position  $i$  along the reads is due to damage using

$$p_{\text{dam}}(i) = \frac{\Theta_{c,c} \cdot p_{c,t}(i)}{\Theta_{c,c} \cdot p_{c,t}(i) + \Theta_{c,t}}$$



**Fig. 1.** A schematic view describing the DNA damage Markov chain, which extends the DNA substitution model. The states  $C_{\text{end}}$  and  $T_{\text{end}}$  correspond to the final nucleotides in the sequences

We can now correct base quality scores provided in alignment BAM files [ $p_{\text{err}}(i, r)$  at position  $i$  for read  $r$ ] using

$$p'_{\text{err}}(i, r) = 1 - (1 - p_{\text{err}}(i, r))(1 - p_{\text{dam}}(i))$$

### 4 DISCUSSION

We applied mapDamage2.0 on a series of aDNA sequence datasets generated from a range of periods, source materials and environments (Supplementary Section 3). Posterior predictive intervals and empirical frequencies are in general agreement, as shown for the ancient plague dataset (Supplementary Table S2 and Supplementary Figs S4–S9) (Schuenemann *et al.*, 2011), demonstrating the adequacy of our method. We observed a ratio of cytosine deamination rates for double- and single-stranded regions orders of magnitude greater than estimates based on *in vitro* experiments in aqueous solution (0.007 in Lindahl, 1993 versus 0.026–0.070 for Schuenemann *et al.*, 2011 in Supplementary Table S1). This suggests that tissue- and sample-specific micro-environmental characteristics drive different DNA damage kinetics *in situ*. We also found a significant rank correlation between the posterior mean for single-stranded cytosine deamination and sample age (Supplementary Table S3) in agreement with Sawyer *et al.*, 2012. However, remains of similar age and location showed diverse parameter estimates (Supplementary Table S2), suggesting a prominent role of micro-environmental characteristics over age in diagenesis.

We also applied our quality rescaling scheme to the sequence data of an Australian Aboriginal individual who died in 1920s (Rasmussen *et al.*, 2011). This increased the overlap of genotype calls to dbSNP v137, suggesting that lower false-positive SNP calls were achieved (Supplementary Table S4).

### 5 CONCLUSION

We have developed a computational method for inferring aDNA damage parameters from NGS sequence datasets, with minimal changes to the DNA damage model presented by Briggs *et al.*, 2007. Our model is compatible with the specificities of different sequencing and library building protocols. We believe that downscaling quality scores of likely damaged bases is the first from a long list of possible applications for damage parameter posterior distributions, limiting the impact of nucleotide misincorporations in downstream sequence analyses. The knowledge of such distributions could also be instrumental for improving mapping procedures to reference genomes (Schubert *et al.*, 2012).

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to EUROTAST (Marie Curie FP7 Initial Training Network), a Marie Curie Career Integration Grant (293845), a Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship (299176) and Danish Council for Independent Research; Natural Sciences (FNU) for funding. Johannes Krause, Simon Rasmussen and Stefan Probst for sharing data/scripts.

*Conflict of Interest:* none declared.

## REFERENCES

- Briggs,A.W. *et al.* (2007) Patterns of damage in genomic DNA sequences from a Neandertal. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **104**, 14616–14621.
- Ginolhac,A. *et al.* (2011) mapDamage: testing for damage patterns in ancient DNA sequences. *Bioinformatics*, **27**, 2153–2155.
- Hasegawa,M. *et al.* (1985) Dating of the human-ape splitting by a molecular clock of mitochondrial DNA. *J. Mol. Evol.*, **22**, 160–174.
- Lindahl,T. (1993) Instability and decay of the primary structure of DNA. *Nature*, **362**, 709–715.
- Meyer,M. and Kircher,M. (2010) Illumina sequencing library preparation for highly multiplexed target capture and sequencing. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.*, **2010**, pdb.prot5448.
- Meyer,M. *et al.* (2012) A high-coverage genome sequence from an archaic denisovan individual. *Science*, **338**, 222–226.
- Pääbo,S. *et al.* (2004) Genetic analyses from ancient DNA. *Annu. Rev. Genet.*, **38**, 645–679.
- Rasmussen,M. *et al.* (2011) An aboriginal Australian genome reveals separate human dispersals into Asia. *Science*, **334**, 94–98.
- Sawyer,S. *et al.* (2012) Temporal patterns of nucleotide misincorporations and DNA fragmentation in ancient DNA. *PloS One*, **7**, e34131.
- Schubert,M. *et al.* (2012) Improving ancient DNA read mapping against modern reference genomes. *BMC Genomics*, **13**, 178.
- Schuenemann,V.J. *et al.* (2011) Targeted enrichment of ancient pathogens yielding the pPCP1 plasmid of *Yersinia pestis* from victims of the Black Death. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **108**, E746–E752.