

PINBPA: Cytoscape app for network analysis of GWAS data

Lili Wang¹, Takuya Matsushita², Lohith Madireddy², Parvin Mousavi¹ and Sergio E. Baranzini^{2,*}¹School of Computing, Queen's University, 25 Union Street, Goodwin Hall, Kingston, Ontario K7L 3N6, Canada and²Department of Neurology, University of California San Francisco, 675 Nelson Rising Lane, Room 215, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

Associate Editor: John Hancock

ABSTRACT

Summary: Protein interaction network-based pathway analysis (PINBPA) for genome-wide association studies (GWAS) has been developed as a Cytoscape app, to enable analysis of GWAS data in a network fashion. Users can easily import GWAS summary-level data, draw Manhattan plots, define blocks, prioritize genes with random walk with restart, detect enriched subnetworks and test the significance of subnetworks via a user-friendly interface.

Availability and implementation: PINBPA app is freely available in Cytoscape app store.

Contact: pmousavi@cs.queensu.ca and sebaran@cgl.ucsf.edu

Supplementary information: Supplementary data are available at *Bioinformatics* online.

Received on August 8, 2014; revised on September 18, 2014; accepted on September 22, 2014

1 INTRODUCTION

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) continue to be a widely used approach to detect genetic associations with a phenotype of interest in well-defined populations. As of September 15, 2014, almost 2000 publications have reported associations of >13 000 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) with close to 200 phenotypes in the GWAS catalog (Welter *et al.*, 2014). The successful record of this genomic mapping strategy includes the identification of dozens or even hundreds of susceptibility alleles in common diseases, such as multiple sclerosis (MS), type 1 and type 2 diabetes, lymphomas, leukemias and metabolic disorders. Despite the unquestionable utility of this method, most of the data generated by GWAS are neglected because of the heavy emphasis devoted to eliminate false discoveries (type I error). Typically, a stringent threshold ($P\text{-value} < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) is applied to minimize type I error, thus inevitably increasing the proportion of false-negative results (type II error). Although this is a necessary tactic to effectively evaluate studies testing up to millions of markers individually, a number of methods that analyze groups of markers simultaneously (thus potentially increasing statistical power) have recently emerged (Huang Da *et al.*, 2009; Khatri *et al.*, 2012; Lee *et al.*, 2012; Wang *et al.*, 2007; Yaspan *et al.*, 2011). These approaches, collectively known as pathway analysis, aim at identifying functional relationships among associated signals. Given that susceptibility to complex human diseases is likely a result of genes operating as part of functional modules rather

than individual effects (Lage *et al.*, 2007), pathway analysis methods hold promise in discovering additional associations from existing GWAS data.

The most recent class of pathway analysis methods is network based, and they largely overcome the assumptions of independence and preselection of reference database that limited its predecessors. Network-based analyses commonly use a scaffold of protein interactions to build connections between gene products, where nodes represent proteins and edges represent physical or functional interactions between pairs of proteins. Rather than focusing on individual markers, network-based analysis methods take into account multiple loci in the context of molecular pathways. Owing to this critical feature, these methods can afford to use sub genome-wide statistical significance and yet increase the power to detect new associations and functional relationships between genes in complex traits. Several network-based methods have been proposed to identify active modules (subnetworks) in a given network, such as DAPPLE (Rossin *et al.*, 2011), dmGWAS (Jia *et al.*, 2011) and NIMMI (Akula *et al.*, 2011).

The original protein interaction network-based pathway analysis (PINBPA) method was first developed in the context of MS research (Baranzini *et al.*, 2009) and most recently used to successfully identify novel associations by the International MS Genetics Consortium (International Multiple Sclerosis Genetics Consortium, 2013). In this article, we introduce the PINBPA app for Cytoscape (Shannon *et al.*, 2003).

2 IMPLEMENTATION AND FEATURES

PINBPA has been implemented as an app for Cytoscape 3.0 and later versions using Java. Additionally, R scripts are called via Rserve inside Cytoscape for plotting.

Like many other pathway analysis methods, PINBPA requires gene-level summary statistics (P -values), as those generated by the popular tool VEGAS (Liu *et al.*, 2010). As shown in Figure 1, the PINBPA app directly reads the VEGAS output as input file (but other formats are also possible), and has six features: (i) generates a gene-wise Manhattan plot of the GWAS; (ii) sorts all genes by their genomic coordinates and defines association blocks at any user-defined threshold ($P\text{-value} < 0.05$ by default); (iii) annotates the user-selected PPI network with imported gene-wise GWAS P -values; (iv) generates a subnetwork of only significant genes (first-order networks) exceeding a user-defined threshold, and tests the statistical significance of the sub-networks using random permutations; (v) runs network smoothing (an optional gene prioritization scheme) using a

*To whom correspondence should be addressed.



Downloaded from <http://bioinformatics.oxfordjournals.org/> at :: on August 30, 2016

Downloaded from <http://bioinformatics.oxfordjournals.org/> at :: on August 30, 2016

Downloaded from <http://bioinformatics.oxfordjournals.org/> at :: on August 30, 2016

Downloaded from <http://bioinformatics.oxfordjournals.org/> at :: on August 30, 2016

Downloaded from <http://bioinformatics.oxfordjournals.org/> at :: on August 30, 2016

Downloaded from <http://bioinformatics.oxfordjournals.org/> at :: on August 30, 2016

Downloaded from <http://bioinformatics.oxfordjournals.org/> at :: on August 30, 2016

Downloaded from <http://bioinformatics.oxfordjournals.org/> at :: on August 30, 2016

Downloaded from <http://bioinformatics.oxfordjournals.org/> at :: on August 30, 2016

- Downloaded from <http://bioinformatics.oxfordjournals.org/> at :: on August 30, 2016

- Lee,P.H. et al. (2012) INRICH: interval-based enrichment analysis for genome-wide association studies. *Bioinformatics*, **28**, 1797–1799.
- Liu,J.Z. et al. (2010) A versatile gene-based test for genome-wide association studies. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **87**, 139–145.
- Rossin,E.J. et al. (2011) Proteins encoded in genomic regions associated with immune-mediated disease physically interact and suggest underlying biology. *PLoS Genet.*, **7**, e1001273.
- Shannon,P. et al. (2003) Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res.*, **13**, 2498–2504.
- Wang,K. et al. (2007) Pathway-based approaches for analysis of genomewide association studies. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **81**, 1278–1283.
- Welter,D. et al. (2014) The NHGRI GWAS catalog, a curated resource of SNP-trait associations. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **42**, D1001–D1006.
- Whitlock,M.C. (2005) Combining probability from independent tests: the weighted z-method is superior to fisher's approach. *J. Evol. Biol.*, **18**, 1368–1373.
- Yaspan,B.L. et al. (2011) Genetic analysis of biological pathway data through genomic randomization. *Hum. Genet.*, **129**, 563–571.