Gene expression

Advance Access publication October 15, 2014

Rount: simple and flexible RNA-Seg read counting

Marc W. Schmid* and Ueli Grossniklaus

Institute of Plant Biology and Zürich-Basel Plant Science Center, University of Zurich, 8008 Zürich, Switzerland Associate Editor: Ivo Hofacker

ABSTRACT

Summary: Analysis of differential gene expression by RNA sequencing (RNA-Seg) is frequently done using feature counts, i.e. the number of reads mapping to a gene. However, commonly used count algorithms (e.g. HTSeq) do not address the problem of reads aligning with multiple locations in the genome (multireads) or reads aligning with positions where two or more genes overlap (ambiguous reads). Roount specifically addresses these issues. Furthermore, Rount allows the user to assign priorities to certain feature types (e.g. higher priority for protein-coding genes compared to rRNAcoding genes) or to add flanking regions.

Availability and implementation: Rcount provides a fast and easyto-use graphical user interface requiring no command line or programming skills. It is implemented in C++ using the SeqAn (www.seqan. de) and the Qt libraries (qt-project.org). Source code and 64 bit binaries for (Ubuntu) Linux, Windows (7) and MacOSX are released under the GPLv3 license and are freely available on github.com/ MWSchmid/Rcount.

Contact: marcschmid@gmx.ch

Supplementary information: Test data, genome annotation files, useful Python and R scripts and a step-by-step user guide (including run-time and memory usage tests) are available on github.com/ MWSchmid/Rcount.

Received on July 15, 2014; revised on September 24, 2014; accepted on October 13, 2014

1 INTRODUCTION

RNA-Seg is the method of choice for transcriptional profiling and differential expression (DE) studies. For DE analysis, methods based on negative binomial modeling, such as the popular DESeq (Anders et al., 2010) and edgeR (Robinson et al., 2010), have been shown to outperform other methods in terms of specificity, sensitivity and control of false positives (Rapaport et al., 2013). Current work flows for DE analysis generally involve the (i) alignment of the short reads to a reference genome, (ii) quantification of expression levels and (iii) comparison between different treatments, tissue/cell types and time-points (Anders et al., 2013).

Read counting and read summarization are essential steps in any RNA-Seq workflow. However, they have received little attention. Specifically for RNA-Seq, counting is not as simple as it may appear. First, a read may align multiple times with the genome (multireads). Second, several genes may overlap at a given position within the genome. Reads aligning with those positions are ambiguous with respect to the gene they originate

*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

from (ambiguous reads). Third, alignments can span exoniunctions (exon-iunction reads). Furthermore, a gene may have several isoforms. However, DE analysis is often performed using the total number of reads per gene.

Popular open source tools for read counting, such as HTSeq (www-huber.embl.de/users/anders/HTSeq), BEDTools (Quinlan and Hall, 2010) and featureCounts (Liao et al., 2014), do not specifically address all three issues. Multireads are not treated specifically by any of these programs and are generally discarded, although this problem has been addressed for ChIP-Seq data analysis (Chung et al., 2011). Ambiguous reads are counted repeatedly for each gene by BEDTools and featureCounts (optionally), whereas HTSeq discards them. HTSeq and featureCounts both consider exon-junction reads, whereas BEDTools does not. ERANGE addresses all three problems, but uses RPKM (reads per kilobase per million) instead of counts throughout the whole algorithm. Moreover, a conversion to counts during the algorithm is not possible (Mortazavi et al., 2008).

Here we describe Rcount, a fast and simple GUI tool for flexible RNA-Seq read counting. It builds on the algorithm described in Schmid et al. (2012), and further allows for editing the genome annotation and assigning priorities to certain feature types (see Figure 1A for details on genomic feature types).

2 DESCRIPTION

Rount takes read alignments files (BAM, Binary Alignment/ Map) and a reference genome annotation (GFF/GTF/BED, General Feature Format/Gene Transfer Format/Browser Extensible Data) as input, and counts the number of reads per gene, taking into account multireads, ambiguous reads and exonjunction reads (Fig. 1). It has three modules: Rcount-multireads, Rcount-format and Rcount-distribute.

Recount-multireads assigns weights to each alignment of a multiread (Fig. 1B). The weight H_i of an individual alignment i is calculated using a score S_i divided by the sum of scores from all alignments of the multiread $(H_i = S_i / \sum_{i=1}^m S_i)$. S_i is currently implemented as the sum of coverage (number of reads per base) originating from uniquely aligned reads at the position of the alignment i and the surrounding region (the size can be set by the user). If an alignment spans an exon junction, S_i equals to the number of uniquely aligned reads spanning the same exon junction. Thus, if a multiread has both types of alignments, the ungapped ones are generally preferred. The weight is automatically added as XW: $f:H_i$ tag to the alignments in the BAM file.

Recount-format reads the reference genome annotation in GFF/ GTF/BED format, displays the structure of the genome annotation and saves it in an XML format required by Rcount-distribute.




Fig. 1. Schematic Rcount algorithm used to calculate gene expression values. (A) After initial quality checks have been performed, the reads are aligned to a reference genome, preferentially with a splice-aware aligner [we tested TopHat2 (Kim *et al.*, 2013), Subread (Liao *et al.*, 2013) and STAR (Dobin *et al.*, 2013)]. (B) Alignments of multireads are weighted based on the number of uniquely aligned reads in the neighborhood. (C) In a first round, alignments are mapped to all annotated transcripts and treated as unambiguous. Temporary expression values are calculated (c_t) and used to filter the transcripts (optionally, transcripts with uncovered 3' ends are filtered as well). (D) In a second round, ambiguous alignments are distributed based on unambiguous alignments, resulting in final expression values (c_t)

During this process, the user can extend the genes (add flanking regions) or remove features from the annotation. Depending on the library preparation protocol, some of the features in the genome annotation are less likely to be sequenced (e.g. rRNA-coding genes with poly(A)-selective library preparation protocols). The user can choose to remove these features or to assign a lower priority to them. If a read aligns to a location where two genes with different priorities overlap, it is automatically assigned to the one with higher priority.

Recount-distribute sums up the weights of the alignments (hits) per gene in two steps. In the first step, all hits are mapped to all genes (i.e. their transcripts). Transcripts of truly expressed genes

should generally have at least some hits in the vicinity of their 3' end (e.g. due to poly(A)-tail priming during library preparation) and/or at least a minimal total number of hits (user-specified). Transcripts not matching these criteria are discarded during the first round (Fig. 1C). During the second step, the hits are divided into unambiguous and ambiguous. The unambiguous hits are assigned first and subsequently used to proportionally distribute the ambiguous hits (Fig. 1D). The transcripts are re-filtered using the same criteria as before. The final expression value c_f of a gene is then calculated as the sum of hits assigned to any of its transcripts (Fig. 1D).

The final output is one count table per sample. In addition to the final expression values, the output table also contains the number of unambiguous and ambiguous (before and after distributing them) hits per gene (either on the whole gene length, or only within a certain number of bases from the 3' end of the transcript, which can be specified by the user). To extract a certain column or to merge multiple samples for downstream analyses, an R script is provided on github.com/MWSchmid/Rcount.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Dr. Diana E. Coman Schmid (EAWAG) for helpful discussions and software testing.

Funding: This work was supported by the University of Zurich, and grants from the Swiss National Science Foundation to U.G.

Conflict of interest: none declared.

REFERENCES

Anders,S. and Huber,W. (2010) Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. Genome Biol., 11, R106.

Anders, S. et al. (2013) Count-based differential expression analysis of RNA sequencing data using R and Bioconductor. Nat. Protocols, 8, 1765–1786.

Chung, D. et al. (2011) Discovering transcription factor binding sites in highly repetitive regions of genomes with multi-read analysis of ChIP-Seq data. PLOS Comput. Biol., 7, e1002111.

Dobin,A. et al. (2013) STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics, 29, 15–21.

Kim, D. et al. (2013) TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. Genome Biol., 14, R36.

Liao, Y. et al. (2013) The Subread aligner: fast, accurate and scalable read mapping by seed-and-vote. Nucleic Acids Res., 41, e108.

Liao, Y. et al. (2014) featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics, 30, 923–930.

Mortazavi, A. et al. (2008) Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. Nat. Methods, 5, 621–628.

Rapaport, F. et al. (2013) Comprehensive evaluation of differential gene expression analysis methods for RNA-seq data. Genome Biol., 14, R95.

Robinson, M.D. et al. (2010) edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics, 26, 321–332.

Schmid, M.W. et al. (2012) A powerful method for transcriptional profiling of specific cell types in eukaryotes: laser-assisted microdissection and RNA sequencing. PLoS One. 7, e29685.

Quinlan, A.R. and Hall, I.M. (2010) BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics*, 26, 841–842.