# **Meeting Notes 06.06.2022**

# Attendance **X**:

Name	abbr	attendance
Marco	forstma1	$\overline{\checkmark}$
Dan	hochsdan	
Luis	miranlui	×
Monika	reif	
Stefan	brrt	×

### **Notes**

#### **General / Todos:**

- Finished Chapters 4 Results and Chapter 5 Discussion and Conclusion
- Finished Abstract/Zusammenfassung and Preface
- Several improvements on references, table of contents and more
- Discussed further improvements possible for thesis before hand-in
- Describe the structure of the code base in the appendix and reference it in the thesis
  - Mention that access is only available for team members of ZUR
  - Add the link to the repository.
- Look that image sizes are unified.
- Track Plotter figure is missing a reference ->. Generally, all images have references.
- Descriptions at the start of the chapters need to be improved.
  - Don't start with "This chapter..."
- Maybe move the GGV table to the appendix and reference it.
- In Results, describe the Skidpad image more -> generally describe images in the results chapter more in detail.
- Overview images in the results chapter can be moved to the appendix if too many pictures are in it.
- If helpful, important text can be bold, or subheadings can be created.
- German Zusammenfassung needs improvement.

## **Meeting Notes 30.05.2022**



Name	abbr	attendance
Marco	forstma1	<b>▽</b>
Dan	hochsdan	
Luis	miranlui	×
Monika	reif	<b>▽</b>
Stefan	brrt	×

#### **General / Todos:**

- Talking about tips and feedback for thesis and what to write about next
- Finished Chapter 3, now on to Chapter 4 Results
- Would be great to have a draft of chapter 4 Results and possibly Chapter 5 Discussion on Friday or Saturday

# **Meeting Notes 19.05.2022**

## Attendance **X**:

Name	abbr	attendance
Marco	forstma1	<b>▽</b>
Dan	hochsdan	<b>✓</b>
Luis	miranlui	<b>✓</b>
Monika	reif	<b>▽</b>
Stefan	brrt	×

## **Notes**

#### What we have done:

- Only small changes in Code, added some parameters to the Optimization Algorithm
- Created additional figures for Approach and Methods Chapter (Architecture, Optimization Package, V-Model, ...)
- Written sections about Scrum and V-Model, started with Optimization Algorithm and Architecture

#### What we want to accomplish by next week:

- Mostly finish Approach / Methods Chapter
- Test algorithms with Simulation Tool (with Luis tomorrow)

#### **Problems:**

None

#### **General / Todos:**

- Will try to test the Path Planner Package with the Simulation Tool tomorrow
- Adjust V-Model figure, so it looks more like a V
- Figures on how the different objectives (algorithms) in Optimization work
- Project and Weekly Plan can be added to the appendix
- Concept of Operations (V-Model) given by Team (ZUR) and Formula Student Rulebook
- Add Verification and Integration Sections into Approach / Methods Chapter
- In Results Chapter
  - Difference between Optimization objectives (time-wise and more)
  - Describe Test Setup
- What has already been tested? What still needs to be verified? To think about next...

# **Meeting Notes 13.05.2022**

# Attendance 🗸 🗙:

Name	abbr	attendance
Marco	forstma1	<b>✓</b>
Dan	hochsdan	<b>✓</b>
Luis	miranlui	<b>▽</b>
Monika	reif	<b>▽</b>
Stefan	brrt	×

## **Notes**

#### What we have done:

- Started describing exploration algo in chapter 3
- Added scrum description
- Added chapter Hardware Abstraction à to explain how we developed the algos
- Applied corrections
- Added Section 'Work Overview' in Chapter 1 + updated Objective description
- Moved Optimization Algorithm to separate ROS Service => Path Planner can now still calculate the path via Exploration algorithm while the optimized path is being calculated
- Add additional test tracks (+ skidpad track) to cone publisher

#### What we want to accomplish by next week:

- Finish Algo exploration description
- Create further illustrations for explanation

#### **Problems:**

None

#### General / Todos:

- Implement Path Planning Package into Simulation Tool with Luis
- Proposal Structure for Chapter 3 Approach / Methods
  - Anfangs High Level Overview Mischung technisch und organisatorisch, Kapitel ersichtlich was kommt, Flussdiagram (um dieses haben wir dann die Prozessmethoden)
  - Vorgehensmethode => Kanban und Scrum, maybe V-Model?
  - Setup technisch, lokale Linux VM, ROS Installation, VS Code als IDE, GitHub Repos, GitHub Actions CI/CD (maybe?), Deployment Architektur
  - Overview Architektur ROS und Code, Grundüberlegung => Path Planning Package in ROS, Prototyp Architektur zeigen, Explo und Opt Algos, erhaltet Input und sendet Input
    - Messages (interfaces und fszhaw msgs) (System aussen)
    - Path Planner Node
      - Exploration Algorithm
    - Optimization Service Node
      - Optimization Algorithm
  - Verifikation und Validierungen (Code Reviews, Fehlerfälle: Cones gehen verloren, Cones andere Seite entdeckt, allg Fehlerannahmen)
    - Testing mit Maps, Cone Publisher und Planned Trajectory Subscriber (mocks), utils wie track plotter, trackconfig
- Setup eher im Projektanhang: Weekly meetings, Review every other week, Mitarbeit mit anderen BA Teams in Driverless und gesamt Verein (Working Saturday, Hilfe im Workshop, Ausstellung Conecto ZHAW)
- In Resultate Kapitel, Vergleich erste Algorithmen und Versuche
  - erste Überlegungen und Tests, alter path planner zhaw, dann densify und interpolate, rrt max hamburg, komplexer algo à la ultimate und dann jetzige implementation
  - o Zuerst was hat eth mit mpcc, dann global racetrajectory von tumftm

# **Meeting Notes 05.05.2022**



Name	abbr	attendance
Marco	forstma1	<b>✓</b>
Dan	hochsdan	<b>✓</b>
Luis	miranlui	<b>✓</b>
Monika	reif	
Stefan	brrt	×

#### What we have done:

- Extended Cone Publisher with Sim Tool compatibility, receives all track cones from tool and publishes these
- A lot of Code Refactoring, added Docstrings, Typings and many more improvements
- "Cache" for Exploration algorithm, takes only e.g. last 50 cones for distance measurements
- Working on "Switch" implementation, when to switch algorithms
- Optimization Algorithm with input from Exploration works now
- Added Path Smoothening for midpoints in Exploration Algorithm (Cubic Spline Interpolation)
- Extended Chapter 1 State of the Art Section with more examples
- Finished Algorithms Section in Chapter 2 (references and images)
- Started with Results

#### What we want to accomplish by next week:

- Write on Results
- Read other persons writings
- Refinement of Algorithms
- Compatibility with Simulation Tool
- Do "Work Overview" section in Chapter 1

#### **Problems:**

None

#### **General / Todos:**

- Maybe use the Starting Position to know when to switch the algorithms, know when a lap is finished (Possible for Trackdrive)
- Getting Sim Tool working with Algorithms => Trying together probably better
  - Ask Gui how hard a local installation might be (Performance reasons on server)
- For testing, note down configs, make screenshots and more
- Feedback on Thesis (Detailed in PDF):
  - o Sachlicher formulieren
  - o Objektiver schreiben, mehr Bezug zur Arbeit und weniger zu Person
  - Nie Bild direkt unter Überschrift

# **Meeting Notes 29.04.2022**

## Attendance **X**:

Name	abbr	attendance
Marco	forstma1	$\overline{\checkmark}$
Dan	hochsdan	<b>▽</b>
Luis	miranlui	<b>▽</b>
Monika	reif	<b>▽</b>
Stefan	brrt	×

### **Notes**

#### What we have done:

- Chapter 2 Section Algorithms finished (and added pictures)
- Done with "Exploration" algorithm implementation, a lot of refactoring and making it more clearer to understand
- Created figures for "Exploration" algorithm (Pseudocode and Flow-diagram)
- Finished PlannedTrajectory Publisher for the autopilot
- Tested "Exploration" algorithm with another, longer track => looks good!

#### What we want to accomplish by next week:

- Do "Work Overview" section in Chapter 1
- Combine Exploration with Optimization -> does it work together?
- Generally more Thesis writing this week
- Path smoothening
- Start writing about realization

#### **Problems:**

None

#### **General / Todos:**

- Think about how to test the algorithms after they are finished
  - ->maybe use manual points and calculate delta? whats the difference with real midpoints

# **Meeting Notes 21.04.2022**



Name	abbr	attendance
Marco	forstma1	<b>▽</b>
Dan	hochsdan	<b>✓</b>
Luis	miranlui	<b>▽</b>
Monika	reif	<b>▽</b>
Stefan	brrt	×

#### What we have done:

- Testing/experimenting with Triangulation for the Exploration algorithm (see 'marcos-testing-reloaded'-branch)
- Started with the 'Output'-Publisher
- Finished section 'ZUR Autonomous System' in Chapter 2 Background
- Extended algorithm section in Chapter 2

#### What we want to accomplish by next week:

- Finish Implementation of the Exploration algorithm, or at least get a working prototype
- Add sections 'Work Overview' and add 'TUMFTM and U of Edinburgh' implementations to section 'FS
  Implementations' in Chapter 1 Introduction
- Improve BA thesis with feedback from Monika

#### **Problems:**

None

#### **Todos:**

- After algorithm is finished, try to apply it to other tracks
  - => Can use tracks from the Simulation Tool
- Consider the angle in front of the car if it could be a problem
- BA Thesis Feedback
  - Introduction looks quite good
    - Add some more implementations from other schools (e.g. Edinburgh and Munich)
  - Background also pretty good
    - Explain/Show different tracks (e.g. Skid Pad, etc.) at the beginning of Chapter 2 Background
    - Maybe explain basic setup of ROS project (e.g. ETHZ skeleton?)
    - Pictures or figures for these algorithms
  - Methods
    - Later more into detail with triangulation and more

# **Meeting Notes 12.04.2022**

# Attendance **X**:

Name	abbr	attendance
Marco	forstma1	$\overline{\checkmark}$
Dan	hochsdan	
Luis	miranlui	
Monika	reif	<b>▽</b>
Stefan	brrt	×

### **Notes**

#### What we have done:

- Optimized the triangulation algorithm with thresholds
- Integrate global trajectory into ROS project
- Created input transformer for global trajectory
- First test implementation for exploration with Delaunay
- Worked on Chapter 2 (FS Events and a bit about FSZHAW autonomous system)

#### What we want to accomplish by next week:

- Work on chapter 2
- Optimize Triangulation

#### **Problems:**

• Finding a way to get the middle point with curve detection

#### **Todos:**

- What happens when cones are missing? Will the algorithm still work?
- Maybe add virtual cones => As we know the width of the track
   After interpolation of the track, create additional points in between the "real" midpoints
- Send draft to Monika whenever we have something => Probably first version after easter weekend
- Wait with description of algorithms after they are prettty much finished and robust
- what happens if we see cones from the other side
- After track is known, even before the car is there => connect track to beginning (see screenshots)

## **Meeting Notes 07.04.2022**



Name	abbr	attendance
Marco	forstma1	$\overline{\checkmark}$
Dan	hochsdan	$\overline{\checkmark}$
Luis	miranlui	<b>▽</b>
Monika	reif	<b>▽</b>
Stefan	brrt	×

#### What we have done:

- Chapter 2 Algorithms (wrote about RRT and Triangulation)
- Chapter 2 Formula Student (from Monika's Input)
- Optimized the triangulation algorithm with less cones
- Created an "Input Transformer" in ROS (prepares cones and reference line for global racetrajectory)

#### What we want to accomplish by next week:

- Integrate global trajectory into ROS project
- Work on chapter 2

#### **Problems:**

• Finding a way to get the middle point with less cones on one side (not perfect yet à Demo)

# **Meeting Notes 31.03.2022**

## Attendance **X**:

Name	abbr	attendance
Marco	forstma1	$\overline{\checkmark}$
Dan	hochsdan	<b>✓</b>
Luis	miranlui	
Monika	reif	<b>▽</b>
Stefan	brrt	×

## **Notes**

#### What we have done:

• Gobal trajectory optimization input testing, how it works, settings, etc.: https://github.com/TUMFTM/global\_racetrajectory\_optimization

- Started with chapter 2
- Revision of chapter 1
- Tried to get middle point of cones with different color (demo)

#### What we want to accomplish by next week:

- Calculate distance from middle point to border
- Integrate global trajectory into ROS project
- Work on chapter 2
- Optimize triangulation with less cones

#### **Problems:**

• If there are not a lot of cones in curve, it will "break" the algorithm

#### **Todos:**

- Change chapter title from "Theoretical Principles" to "Background"
- Better to move some information about Formula Student from chapter 1 to chapter 2
  - => Explain different tracks and challenges as well
- Create a figure about the methods

Problem => two different sides 1. Exploration (Triangulation, RRT) 2. Optimization

Figure should give a overview of whole project, show how everything works

- => Put it at the beginning of the methods chapter
- Also create overview / figures for the algorithms => how they work
- Think about how to compare different algorithms
  - => How to test and verify the algorithms? Look at precision and timing?
- Notes for Marco:
  - Update diagram with output + inner working of algorithm
  - Implement: Input transformation for algorithm (+ maybe also needed for output)
  - Maybe able to start optimizing in parts? Don't need to wait for whole track

# **Meeting Notes 24.03.2022**

# Attendance **X**:

Name	abbr	attendance
Marco	forstma1	
Dan	hochsdan	
Luis	miranlui	
Monika	reif	<b>▽</b>
Stefan	brrt	×

# **Meeting Notes 17.03.2022**

## Attendance **X**:

Name	abbr	attendance
Marco	forstma1	
Dan	hochsdan	
Luis	miranlui	
Monika	reif	
Stefan	brrt	×

### **Notes**

#### What we have done:

- Chapter 1 "finished", will be extended if more sources are found
- Research of algorithms and literature
- Found test tracks and created a plotter for those tracks
- First test implementation in ROS (simple cone publisher and planner subscriber)
- First Input / Output Model «drawn»

#### What we want to accomplish by next week:

- Implement and test algorithms implementieren (RRT / MPCC)
- Start with chapter 2

#### **Problems:**

- Difficult to find ideal algorithms (how to approach)
- Combining different algorithms (some teams have used Triangulation with RRT => how to approach?)

# **Meeting Notes 10.03.2022**



Name	abbr	attendance
Marco	forstma1	$\overline{\checkmark}$
Dan	hochsdan	<b>▽</b>
Luis	miranlui	$\overline{\checkmark}$
Monika	reif	V
Stefan	brrt	×

- Question: What should we include in the thesis?
  - o In chapter 1 Initial Situation write about what other schools have used, e.g. ETH used algorithms a and b, Edinburgh used algorithms c and d, and FSZHAW last year used bla bla
  - In chapter 2 theory write about the algorithms used by the schools briefly and the algorithms we use
  - In chapter 3 methods talk about the algorithms we are using and how we implemented them
- Algorithms
  - Pick which already have been used in other teams
  - Think about miscalculated cones (error rate?)
- Have an illustration ready for next monday about inputs/outputs

# **Meeting Notes 03.03.2022**

## Attendance **X**:

Name	abbr	attendance
Marco	forstma1	<b>✓</b>
Dan	hochsdan	<b>✓</b>
Luis	miranlui	V
Monika	reif	×
Stefan	brrt	×

## **Notes**

- Both Marco and Dan have completed the official ROS 2 tutorials
- Both have done some research into existing path planning algorithms and other works
  - Basic graph search algorithms
    - Dijkstra

- A\*
- Rapidly-exploring Random Trees (RRT)
- Model Predictive Path Integral (MPPI)
- Some interesting papers have been uploaded to the FSZHAW SharePoint

https://zhaw.sharepoint.com/sites/FSZHAW2020-2021

- Next big step is to define the interfaces for both the inputs and outputs
  - Output will very likely be the next coordinate for the car to go to (probably the easiest and most preferable solution)
    - Still some restrictions apply
      - Distance of the car to the next point (e.g. 2m in front of the car) <- most likely be a config parameter
  - Input will probably be a list of the coordinates of the detected cones and the current position of the car (will be most tricky part)
- Need to think about what to implement and how to organize the nodes internally in ROS
- Resource Mgmt also important, computation needs to be quick of the path
- If we already want to implement something in ROS -> Draw a middle line of the path

### **TODOS**

- Think about the Input and Output
- Think about the architecture and how we want to organize the nodes internally
- First implementation in ROS possible -> draw a middle line

## **Meeting Notes 24.02.2022**

## Attendance **X**:

Name	abbr	attendance
Marco	forstma1	$\overline{\checkmark}$
Dan	hochsdan	<b>▽</b>
Luis	miranlui	<b>✓</b>
Monika	reif	×
Stefan	brrt	×

## Notes

- Merging both meetings into one would be good
- Deadlines
  - Car assembly deadline doesn't matter for us

- 08.07.2022 is deadline for the video of the car driving itself
  - => everything should be done at least 1 week before
- o Implementation should be done at around semester end, so we have enough time for testing
- Simulation Tool Ba will probably be based on <u>fs-driverless.github.io</u>
- Input
  - Need to figure out what input we need
  - Other teams can adapt on our needs
  - Should have it in 1-2 weeks
- Luis will discuss with Monika about the MS channel
  - => easiest would be to invite her to the existing channel in FSZHAW
- Input/Output interfaces will be very important
  - e.g. output could be a list of points (for the car to drive to)

### **TODOS**

- Figure out what we need as Input (in 1-2 weeks)
- Invite Monika to the Teams Channel (Luis)
- Think about Input/Output
- Send invitations for newly merged meeting

# **Meeting Notes 22.02.2022**

# Attendance **X**:

Name	abbr	attendance
Marco	forstma1	<b>✓</b>
Dan	hochsdan	V
Luis	miranlui	×
Monika	reif	<b>▽</b>
Stefan	brrt	<b>▽</b>

## **Notes**

- Struktur BA
  - Einleitung
    - Was wollen wir machen?
    - Was gibt es bereits? Was ist der Stand der Technik?
    - Wie ist die Ausgangslage?
    - Infos zum Vorgänger (Was hat das letzte Team gemacht?)
    - Infos zu Formula Student

- Was machen ETHZ oder andere in der Industrie
- Theoretishe Grundlagen
  - Während dem einlesen => gleich gelerntes in die Dokumentation notieren
  - Grobbeschrieb ROS 2
- Vorgehen
  - Wie sind wir hier vorgegangen?
  - Beschreibung der verschiedenen Methoden für Path Planning
  - Auch Gesamtmethodik
  - V-Modell => Schritte in V-Modell eventuell eigene Methodik
  - Iterativ, Scrum
  - DevOps, CI/CD
- Resultate
  - Was wurde gemacht, wie schauts aus
- Diskussion
  - Was klappte, was nicht
- Können uns an vorhandene BAs von Formula Student orientieren
- Sonstiges
  - o Falls Drittsoftware auf GPU zugreifen möchte, muss der Safe Mode im Jetson deaktiviert werden
  - Struktur für zukünftige Meetings zum beschleunigen
    - Was wurde gemacht => Was als nächstes geplant => Wo gibt es Schwierigkeiten
  - o Ist eine Gruppe in MS Teams für die Kommunikation nötig?
- Offene Fragen
  - Genaue Deadlines noch offen
    - Wann muss Algorithmus/Implementation stehen? Auch wichtig bezüglich Testphase
    - Vorabversion ab wann? Finale Version ab wann?
  - Wie sieht der Input aus für unseren Algorithmus? (Aus Perception, evtl Liste mit x/y Positionen der detektierten Objekten als Float Werten) => Pub/Sub
    - Was für Testdaten brauchen wir?
    - Als Requirement für Perception Team, das wir Testdaten haben
  - Einzelne Meetings mit Luis und Reif oder gemeinsam (Auch möglich das sie sich 10/15min später einwählen)
    - Möglicher Termin finden

## **TODOs**

- Termin finden für Weekly Meeting mit Luis (miranlui), Reif (reif) und Stefan (brrt)
- Gruppe in MS Teams erstellen mit alle Stakeholders (Marco, Dan, Luis, Reif, Stefan)
- Offene Fragen mit Luis beantworten
- ROS 2 Umgebung einrichten + Tutorials durchmachen
- Bereits schauen ob ROS 2 bereits Bibliotheken zum Thema hat
- Einlesen ins Thema Path Planning und schauen wie andere dies gemacht haben (Vorgänger, AMZ, etc.)