1 Confidence Interval Introduction

We observe a random variable X which has mean μ and standard deviation $\sigma \in (0, \infty)$. Assume that the mean μ is unknown, but σ is known.

We would like to give a 95% confidence interval for the unknown mean μ . In other words, we want to give a random interval (a,b) (it is random because it depends on the random observation X) such that the probability that μ lies in (a,b) is at least 95%.

We will use a confidence interval of the form $(X - \varepsilon, X + \varepsilon)$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is the width of the confidence interval. When ε is smaller, it means that the confidence interval is narrower, i.e., we are giving a more *precise* estimate of μ .

- (a) Using Chebyshev's Inequality, calculate an upper bound on $\mathbb{P}[|X \mu| \ge \varepsilon]$.
- (b) Explain why $\mathbb{P}(|X \mu| < \varepsilon)$ is the same as $\mathbb{P}[\mu \in (X \varepsilon, X + \varepsilon)]$.
- (c) Using the previous two parts, choose the width of the confidence interval ε to be large enough so that $\mathbb{P}[\mu \in (X \varepsilon, X + \varepsilon)]$ is guaranteed to exceed 95%. [Note: Your confidence interval is allowed to depend on X, which is observed, and σ , which is known. Your confidence interval is not allowed to depend on μ , which is unknown.]
- (d) The previous three parts dealt with the case when you observe one sample X. Now, let n be a positive integer and let X_1, \ldots, X_n be i.i.d. samples, each with mean μ and standard deviation $\sigma \in (0, \infty)$. As before, assume that μ is unknown but σ is known.
 - Here, a good estimator for μ is the *sample mean* $\bar{X} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$. Calculate the mean and variance of \bar{X} .
- (e) We will now use a confidence interval of the form $(\bar{X} \varepsilon, \bar{X} + \varepsilon)$ where $\varepsilon > 0$ again represents the width of the confidence interval. Imitate the steps of (a) through (c) to choose the width ε to be large enough so that $\mathbb{P}[\mu \in (\bar{X} \varepsilon, \bar{X} + \varepsilon)]$ is guaranteed to exceed 95%.
 - To check your answer, your confidence interval should be *smaller* when n is larger. Intuitively, if you collect more samples, then you should be able to give a more *precise* estimate of μ .

Solution:

(a) Since $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mu$ and $\text{Var} X = \sigma^2$, then by Chebyshev's Inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}\{|X-\mu| \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Var} X}{\varepsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2}.$$

- (b) Note that $|X \mu| < \varepsilon$ if and only if $-\varepsilon < X \mu < \varepsilon$, if and only if $\mu \varepsilon < X < \mu + \varepsilon$. However, the first inequality says that $\mu < X + \varepsilon$ and the second inequality says that $\mu > X \varepsilon$, that is, $X \varepsilon < \mu < X + \varepsilon$, which is the same thing as saying $\mu \in (X \varepsilon, X + \varepsilon)$. So, the events $\{|X \mu| < \varepsilon\}$ and $\{\mu \in (X \varepsilon, X + \varepsilon)\}$ are identical.
- (c) We want $\mathbb{P}[\mu \in (X \varepsilon, X + \varepsilon)] \ge 0.95$, which is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{P}[|X - \mu| \ge \varepsilon] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[|X - \mu| < \varepsilon] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[\mu \in (X - \varepsilon, X + \varepsilon)] \le 0.05.$$

However, we have the bound $\mathbb{P}[|X - \mu| \ge \varepsilon] \le \sigma^2/\varepsilon^2$, so we just need to choose ε big enough so that $\sigma^2/\varepsilon^2 \le 0.05$. To do this, we want $\varepsilon^2 \ge 20\sigma^2$, or $\varepsilon \ge \sqrt{20}\sigma \approx 4.47\sigma$. Our confidence interval is therefore $(X - 4.47\sigma, X + 4.47\sigma)$.

(d) For the mean, use linearity of expectation. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right] = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}[X_{i}] = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mu = \frac{1}{n}\cdot n\mu = \mu.$$

For the variance, recall two facts. One is that for a constant c, the scaling of the variance is $Var(cX) = c^2 Var X$. The second fact is that X_1, \ldots, X_n are independent, so they are pairwise uncorrelated, that is, for any distinct $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $cov(X_i, X_j) = 0$; this implies that $Var(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n Var X_i$. Using these facts,

$$\operatorname{Var} \bar{X} = \operatorname{Var} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \operatorname{Var} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var} X_i = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot n \sigma^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}.$$

(e) By Chebyshev's Inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\bar{X}-\mu|\geq \varepsilon\}\leq \frac{\operatorname{Var}\bar{X}}{\varepsilon^2}=\frac{\sigma^2}{n\varepsilon^2}.$$

We want $\sigma^2/(n\varepsilon^2) \le 0.05$, and to do this, we choose $\varepsilon^2 \ge 20\sigma^2/n$, or $\varepsilon \ge \sqrt{20}\sigma/\sqrt{n}$.

2 Poisson Confidence Interval

For *n* a positive integer, you collect X_1, \ldots, X_n i.i.d. samples drawn from a Poisson distribution (with unknown mean λ). However, you have a bound on the mean: from a confidential source, you know that $\lambda \leq 2$. For $0 < \delta < 1$, find a $1 - \delta$ confidence interval for λ using Chebyshev's Inequality.

Solution: Our estimator for λ is the sample mean $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}$. We apply Chebyshev's Inequality for $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}-\lambda\right|>\varepsilon\right)\leq \frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right)}{\varepsilon^{2}}=\frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right)}{n^{2}\varepsilon^{2}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\operatorname{Var}X_{i}}{n^{2}\varepsilon^{2}}=\frac{\operatorname{Var}X_{1}}{n\varepsilon^{2}}=\frac{\lambda}{n\varepsilon^{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{n\varepsilon^{2}}.$$

We want the probability of error to be at most δ , so we set

$$\frac{2}{n\varepsilon^2} \le \delta \implies \varepsilon \ge \sqrt{\frac{2}{n\delta}}.$$

Our $1 - \delta$ confidence interval for λ is

$$\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}-\sqrt{2/(n\delta)},\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}+\sqrt{2/(n\delta)}\right].$$

3 Vegas

On the planet Vegas, everyone carries a coin. Many people are honest and carry a fair coin (heads on one side and tails on the other), but a fraction p of them cheat and carry a trick coin with heads on both sides. You want to estimate p with the following experiment: you pick a random sample of n people and ask each one to flip their coin. Assume that each person is independently likely to carry a fair or a trick coin.

- (a) Let *X* be the proportion of people whose coin flip results in heads. Find $\mathbb{E}[X]$.
- (b) Given the results of your experiment, how should you estimate p? (*Hint:* Construct an unbiased estimator for p using part (a))
- (c) How many people do you need to ask to be 95% sure that your answer is off by at most 0.05?
- (d) Suppose n is large. Construct an approximate 98% confidence interval for p.

Solution:

(a) Let X_i be the indicator that the *i*th person's coin flips heads. Then $X = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$. Apply linearity.

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mathbb{E}[X_i]$$

By total probability,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_i] = p \cdot 1 + (1 - p) \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(p + 1).$$

(b) We want to construct an estimate \hat{p} such that $\mathbb{E}[\hat{p}] = p$. Then, if we have a large enough sample, we'd expect to get a good estimate of p. In other words, we measure X, the fraction of people whose coin flips heads. How can we use this observation to construct \hat{p} ? From (a), $\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{1}{2}(p+1)$. By applying (reverse) linearity to isolate p, we find that

$$p = 2\mathbb{E}[X] - 1 = \mathbb{E}[2X - 1].$$

Thus, our estimator \hat{p} should be 2X - 1.

(c) We want to find *n* such that $P[|\hat{p}-p| \le 0.05] > 0.95$. Another way to state this is that we want

$$P[|\hat{p} - p| > 0.05] \le 0.05.$$

Notice that $\mathbb{E}[\hat{p}] = p$ by construction, so we can immediately apply Chebyshev's inequality on \hat{p} . What we get is:

$$\mathbb{P}[|\hat{p} - p| > 0.05] \le \mathbb{P}[\hat{p} - p| \ge 0.05] \le \frac{\text{Var}[\hat{p}]}{0.05^2}$$

If $\frac{\text{Var}[\hat{p}]}{0.05^2} \le 0.05$, then we have $\mathbb{P}[|\hat{p} - p| > 0.05] \le 0.05$ as desired. So, we want *n* such that $\text{Var}[\hat{p}] \le 0.05^3$.

$$Var[\hat{p}] = Var[2X - 1] = 4 Var[X] = \frac{4}{n^2} Var \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \right] = \frac{4}{n} Var[X_1].$$

But X_i is an indicator (Bernoulli variable), so its variance is bounded by $\frac{1}{4}$ (note that p(1-p) is maximized at $p=\frac{1}{2}$ to yield a value of $\frac{1}{4}$). Therefore we have

$$\operatorname{Var}[\hat{p}] \le \frac{4}{n} \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{n}.$$

So, we choose *n* such that $\frac{1}{n} \le 0.05^3$, so $n \ge \frac{1}{0.05^3} = 8000$.

(d) If *n* is large, the distribution of $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is approximately normal. We must select ε such that

$$\mathbb{P}[p \in (\hat{p} - \varepsilon, \hat{p} + \varepsilon)] = \mathbb{P}[|\hat{p} - p| < \varepsilon] = 0.98.$$

Since $\hat{p} - p = \frac{1}{n}S_n - p$, $\hat{p} - p$ is also approximately normal. Let $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}[X_1] = p(1-p)$. Then $\hat{p} - p \xrightarrow{d} \text{Normal}\left(0, \frac{4\sigma^2}{n}\right)$. For n large, $\hat{p} - p \approx \frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}Z$ where $Z \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1)$.

$$\mathbb{P}[|\hat{p} - p| < \varepsilon] = \mathbb{P}[-\varepsilon < \hat{p} - p < \varepsilon]$$

$$\approx \mathbb{P}\left[-\varepsilon < \frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}Z < \varepsilon\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{P}\left[-\frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{n}}{2\sigma} < Z < \frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{n}}{2\sigma}\right]$$

$$= \Phi\left(\frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{n}}{2\sigma}\right) - \Phi\left(-\frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{n}}{2\sigma}\right)$$

$$= 2\Phi\left(\frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{n}}{2\sigma}\right) - 1$$

by symmetry of Z

Since we want $\mathbb{P}[|\hat{p}-p|<\varepsilon]=0.98$,

$$2\Phi\left(\frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{n}}{2\sigma}\right) - 1 = 0.98$$

$$\frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{n}}{2\sigma} = \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{0.98 + 1}{2}\right)$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\Phi^{-1}(0.99)$$

$$\varepsilon \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\Phi^{-1}(0.99),$$

where in the last line we use the fact that $\sigma^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Our confidence interval is

$$\left[\hat{p} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\Phi^{-1}(0.99), \hat{p} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\Phi^{-1}(0.99)\right].$$