

Odorant Mapping Datasets, overview and file organization.

Credits: S. Burton (data collection, analysis), A. Brown (analysis), M. Wachowiak (analysis), T. Rust (software).

Parent manuscript:

Mapping odorant sensitivities reveals a sparse but structured representation of olfactory chemical space by sensory input to the mouse olfactory bulb.

Shawn D. Burton¹, Audrey Brown¹, Thomas P. Eiting¹, Isaac A. Youngstrom¹, Thomas C. Rust¹, Michael Schmuker², Matt Wachowiak¹

<https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.05.11.491539v2>

Methodology

Imaging data were obtained from OMP-IRES-tTA mice (gift from R. Yu, Stowers Institute) crossed with the tetO-GCaMP6s reporter line (Jax# 024742), leading to GCaMP6s expression in all olfactory sensory neurons. In isoflurane-anesthetized mice, we imaged responses to each odorant (185 compounds plus 2 blank and solvent controls) simultaneously across both dorsal OBs under epifluorescence illumination, and using artificial inhalation at 3 Hz to ensure uniform odorant sampling across trials and animals and to eliminate OSN activation by the anesthetic. Imaging data was collected with a cooled CCD camera (RedShirtImaging LLC) at 25 Hz and 256 x 256 pixel resolution using Neuroplex software.

Odorant delivery was controlled using a novel odorant-delivery device described in Burton et al., 2019 (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30657873/>), with the educator configuration to ensure thorough mixing of odorant with the carrier stream. Odorants were presented in banks of twelve, cycling through each bank for 3 - 5 trials per odorant (8 - 10 sec intertrial interval), in random order, under computer control. Odorant was presented for 2 seconds per trial.

Data processing pipeline

To generate odorant response matrices, repeated trials were first averaged, then ΔF 'maps' generated by subtracting baseline fluorescence averaged across 1 second before odorant presentation from the mean of seconds 2 – 3 after odorant onset. Regions of interest (ROIs) were generated from maximal projections of all odorant response maps using an initial automated segmentation routine followed by manual adjustments and selection. All data processing was done using custom Matlab code.

Initial response matrices were generated by averaging the ΔF pixels within each ROI and for each odorant. However, the epifluorescence configuration and the high density of activated glomeruli required an additional segmentation step to eliminate spread of fluorescence signals to adjacent ROIs due to scattered or out-of-focus light. Segmentation was performed manually by visual inspection, and signals arising from

adjacent ROIs, as well as a lack of focal signal in a given ROI, were set to zero for the respective ROI-odorant pair. Segmentation was performed blind to odorant identity.

Data organization – files.

'odormappingdata_simple_05_22.mat' file.

Variables:

allrespmatrices_dF. Structure array consists of odorant response matrices and ROI positions for each OB. Variables are indexed in the following order: (1) omp111L, (2) omp111R, (3) omp112L, (4) omp112R, (5) omp113L, (6) omp113R, (7) omp114L, (8) omp114R. All odorants are in the same order for all response matrices (see

'odornameslist' variable).

ROI positions indicate centroid of each ROI, after visual registration by aligning the midline and caudal sinus. Units are microns, reference (zero) is midline (for 'Xpos') and caudal sinus (for 'Ypos'). Note that the Xposition for ROIs from the left OB is negative relative to midline.

allconcs_prep. Calculated final delivered concentration of each odorant, indexed in the same order as response matrices and odornames list, for each mouse, in mols/L. Note that concentrations estimated from vapor pressure, calibrated air dilution, and liquid dilution, assuming ideal behavior.

odornameslist. List of all 185 odorants (plus two vehicle controls), indexed in same order as odors in response spectrum matrices.