## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

A. Proof of Proposition III.1 on temporal integration At a discrete rate  $\tau^{-1}$ , the Fourier transform of  $\phi_T$  is

$$\widehat{\phi_T}(\omega) = \mathscr{Z}\{\phi_T\}(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{se^{j\omega}}{e^{j\omega} - (1-s)}.$$
 (1)

The squared magnitude of the denominator simplifies as

$$|e^{j\omega} - (1-s)|^2 = (\cos\omega - (1-s))^2 + (\sin\omega)^2$$

$$= 1 + (1-s)^2 - 2(1-s)\cos\omega$$

$$= (1 - (1-s))^2 + 2(1-s)(1-\cos\omega)$$

$$= s^2 + 2(1-s)(1-\cos\omega). \tag{2}$$

Let  $\omega_0 = \frac{s}{\sqrt{1-s}}$ . The equivalent  $(1-\cos\omega) \sim \frac{\omega^2}{2}$  at the limit  $\omega \to 0$  indicates a quadratic decay in the lowest frequencies, i.e. a sidelobe falloff of 10dB per decade. On one hand, the double inequality  $-1 \le \cos\omega \le 1$  leads to

$$\frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_0^2 + 2} \le |\widehat{\phi_T}|^2(\omega) \le 1. \tag{3}$$

The upper (resp. lower) bound is attained if and only if  $\omega = 0$  (resp.  $\omega = \pi$ ). Consequently,  $\phi_T$  has a DC gain of 0dB at 0Hz, and a gain of  $10\log_{10}\frac{\omega_0^2}{2+\omega_0^2}$  (in dB) at the Nyquist frequency. On the other hand, the cutoff frequency at 3dB  $\omega_c$  is defined by  $|\widehat{\phi_T}|^2(\omega_c) = \frac{1}{2}$ , which can be reformulated as

$$1 - \cos \omega_{\rm c} = \frac{s^2}{2(1-s)} = \frac{\omega_0^2}{2}.$$
 (4)

Solving Equation 4 with  $\omega_c > 0$  completes the proof.

*Remark.* For large T, we can approximate  $\omega_c$  by  $\omega_0$ , which is polynomial in s. Indeed, applying Taylor's theorem to the cosine function yields the inequality  $|1 - \cos \omega - \frac{\omega^2}{2}| \le \frac{\omega^4}{24}$ , of which we deduce

$$\omega_0 \le \omega_c \le \frac{\omega_0}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\omega_c^2}{12}}} \le \omega_0 + \frac{\omega_0^2}{12} + \frac{\omega_0^3}{12}.$$
(5)

## B. Proof of Proposition III.2 on adaptive gain control

First, applying Taylor's theorem to  $x\mapsto (1+x)^{-\alpha}$  gives  $|(\mathbf{M}+\varepsilon)^{-\alpha}-\varepsilon^{-\alpha}|\leq \alpha\frac{\mathbf{M}}{\varepsilon^{1+\alpha}}$ , from which we deduce (i) with a relative error of at most  $\alpha\frac{\mathbf{M}}{\varepsilon}$ . Symmetrically, we have  $|(\mathbf{M}+\varepsilon)^{-\alpha}-\mathbf{M}^{-\alpha}|\leq \alpha\frac{\varepsilon}{\mathbf{M}^{1+\alpha}}$  from which we deduce (ii) with a relative error of at most  $\alpha\frac{\varepsilon}{\mathbf{M}}$ .

## C. Proof of Proposition III.4 on dynamic range compression

First, applying Taylor's theorem to  $x \mapsto (1+x)^r$  gives  $|\mathbf{PCEN} - r\delta^{(r-1)}\mathbf{G}| \leq \frac{1-r}{2}r\delta^{(r-1)}\mathbf{G}^2$ , of which we deduce (i) with a relative error of at most  $\frac{1-r}{2}\mathbf{G}$ . Secondly, we have  $\left|\left(1+\frac{1}{\mathbf{G}^r}\right)^r-\left(r+\frac{r}{\mathbf{G}^r}\right)\right|\leq \frac{r(1-r)}{2\mathbf{G}^{2r}}$ , which, after adding the constant (1-r), leads to (ii) with a relative error of at most  $\frac{1-r}{\mathbf{G}^r}(1+\frac{r}{2\mathbf{G}^r})$  by application of the triangular inequality.  $\blacksquare$  *Remark.* In both cases, the relative error is null if and only if r=1, i.e. if there is no root compression.

D. Proof of Proposition IV.1 on the link between T and s

Let  $\omega_0^2=2\left(1-\cos\frac{2\pi\tau}{T}\right)$ . Proposition III.1 yields  $\omega_0^2=\frac{s^2}{(1-s)}$ , and thus the quadratic equation  $s^2+\omega_0^2s-\omega_0^2=0$ . Its discriminant is  $\Delta=\omega_0^4+4\times\omega_0^2=\omega_0^2(\omega_0^2+4)>0$ , and its two real-valued roots are

$$s = \frac{-\omega_0^2 \pm \omega_0 \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 4}}{2} = \frac{\omega_0}{2} \left( \pm \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 4} - \omega_0 \right)$$
 (6)

We retain the positive root s > 0. Replacing  $\omega_0$  by its definition in the above equation completes the proof.

E. Proof of Proposition III.3 on invariance to impedance curve **Proposition.** Let  $\mathbf{s}(t)$  a realization of AWGN with null mean and unit variance. Let  $\mathbf{a}(t) > 0$  a deterministic amplitude envelope  $\mathbf{h}(t)$  a filter. Let  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}}(t,f)$  the mel-frequency spectrogram associated to the source-filter model  $\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{a}(t) \times (\mathbf{s} * \mathbf{h})(t)$ . If

1) 
$$\forall t_0, \frac{\mathrm{d} \log \mathbf{a}}{\mathrm{d} t}(t_0) \ll \frac{1}{T},$$
  
2)  $\forall t_0, \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\tau} \mathbf{h}(t) \, \mathrm{d} t \ll \frac{1}{\tau}, \text{ and}$   
3)  $\forall f_0, \frac{\mathrm{d} \log |\widehat{\mathbf{h}}|}{\mathrm{d} f}(f_0) \ll \frac{1}{\Delta f_0},$ 

where  $\Delta f_0$  is the frequency interval between  $f_0$  and its adjacent subbands on the mel scale, then  $\mathbf{PCEN_x}(t, f) \approx \mathbf{PCEN_s}(t, f)$ .

*Proof.* We adapt a result from [25]. The mel-frequency spectrogram  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}}(t,f)$  can be defined as the complex modulus of the convolution between the signal  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  and a filterbank  $\psi_f(t)$  of N wavelets whose center frequencies f are tuned to the mel scale, ranging between  $f_{\min}$  and  $f_{\max}$ . For a given f, the first hypothesis allows to factorize the amplitude envelope  $\mathbf{a}(t)$  out of the convolution  $(\mathbf{s} * \mathbf{h} * \psi_f)(t)$  Furthermore, one has:

$$\mathbf{E}_{(\mathbf{s}*\mathbf{h})}(t,f) = |\mathbf{s}*\mathbf{h}*\psi_f|(t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\mathbf{s}}(\omega) \widehat{\mathbf{h}}(\omega) \widehat{\psi_f}(\omega) \exp(2\pi i \omega t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right|. \quad (7)$$

The second and third hypotheses allow to approximate  $\widehat{\mathbf{h}}(\omega)$  by  $\widehat{\mathbf{h}}(f)$  in the equation above. This approximation leads to  $\mathbf{E}_{(\mathbf{s}*\mathbf{h})}(t,f)\approx |\widehat{\mathbf{h}}|(f)\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{s}}(t,f)$ . Combining the factorization of the amplitude term  $\mathbf{a}(t)$  with the factorization of the filter  $|\mathbf{h}|(\omega)$  leads to an approximation of the form:

$$\left|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}}(t,f) - \mathbf{a}(t)|\widehat{\mathbf{h}}|(f)\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{s}}(t,f)\right| = \eta(t,f). \tag{8}$$

An upper bound on the expectation of the stochastic residual  $\eta$  is given in [25, Appendix]. Likewise, the first hypothesis allows to approximate  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}(t,f)$  by  $\mathbf{a}(t)|\hat{\mathbf{h}}(f)|\mathbf{M}_{s}(t,f)$  with a stochastic residual term v(t,f) of bounded expectation. In the active regime  $(\mathbf{M}\gg\varepsilon)$ , AGC cancels  $\mathbf{a}(t)$  and  $|\hat{h}(f)|$  in Equation 3. Thus, Proposition III.2 leads to the inequality

$$|\mathbf{G}_{x} - \mathbf{G}_{s}|(t, f) \le \frac{\eta(t, f)}{(\varepsilon + \mathbf{M}_{s}(t, f))^{\alpha}} + \frac{\alpha \nu(t, f)}{(\varepsilon + \mathbf{M}_{s}(t, f))^{1+\alpha}}. \quad (9)$$

Because  $\delta > 1$  and  $r \le 1$ , DRC is nonexpansive (see Proposition III.4). Therefore, it maps each member of the approximate equality  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{x}}(t,f) \approx \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{s}}(t,f)$  to  $\mathbf{PCEN}_{\mathbf{x}}(t,f) \approx \mathbf{PCEN}_{\mathbf{s}}(t,f)$  while reducing the expectation of the residual (right-hand side of Equation 9), which completes the proof.