

## Week 5-1: QR decomposition

Lecturer: Bo Y.-C. Ning

April 26, 2022

**Disclaimer:** My notes may contain errors, distribution outside this class is allowed only with the permission of the Instructor.

## Announcement

- hw2 posted

## Last time

- Examples using Cholesky decomposition

## Today

- QR decomposition

## 1 QR factorization

For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ , an  $n$ -by- $p$  rectangle matrix,

$$A = QR,$$

where  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $Q'Q = I_n$ , and  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ .

Usually, we use the thin QR,  $A = Q_1 R_1$ ,  $Q_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ ,  $Q_1' Q_1 = I_p$  and  $R_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ , where  $Q_1$  has orthogonal columns and  $R_1$  is an invertible upper triangular matrix with positive diagonal entries.

**Theorem 5.1** If  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ , then there exists a matrix  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  has orthogonal columns and  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$  is upper triangular matrix. In particular,  $R = G'$ , where  $G$  is the lower triangular Cholesky factor of  $A'A$ .

### 1.1 Linear regression with thin QR

Our goal is to solve  $\beta, \hat{y}, SSE$  from  $X'X\beta = X'y$ . Let's consider the augmented matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q & q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R & r \\ 0_p' & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} QR & Qr + dq \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus,  $X'X\beta = X'y$  implies  $R\beta = R^{-1'}X'y = R^{-1'}R'Q'y = Q'y = r$ ,  $\hat{y} = X\hat{\beta} = QRR^{-1}r = Qr$ ,  $\hat{e} = y - X\hat{\beta} = y - Qr = dq$ , and  $SSE = d^2$ .

## 2 Numerical methods to solve QR:

Two things one may want to consider:

- Is QR faster than LU or Cholesky?
- Why QR?

Today and the next lecture, we introduce three popular numerical methods to solve QR:

- Gram-Schmidt
- Householder transformation
- Givens transformation
- Modified Gram-Schmidt

### 2.1 Gram-Schmidt method

Assume  $A = (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  has full column rank

Consider a matrix  $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , the steps of the Gram-Schmidt algorithm is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 1) & \quad u_1 = a_1, \quad e_1 = u_1 / \|u_1\| \\ 2) & \quad u_2 = a_2 - \langle a_2, e_1 \rangle e_1, \quad e_2 = u_2 / \|u_2\| \\ & \quad \dots \\ k) & \quad u_k = a_k - \langle a_k, e_1 \rangle e_1 - \dots - \langle a_k, e_{k-1} \rangle e_{k-1}, \quad e_k = u_k / \|u_k\| \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$A = (a_1, \dots, a_n) = (e_1, \dots, e_n) \begin{pmatrix} \langle a_1, e_1 \rangle & \langle a_2, e_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle a_n, e_1 \rangle \\ 0 & \langle a_2, e_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle a_n, e_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \langle a_n, e_n \rangle \end{pmatrix} = QR$$

Example:

Consider the matrix  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

- What  $e_1, e_2, e_3$ ?

Step 1  $u_1 = a_1 = (1, 1, 0)'$ ,  $e_1 = u_1 / \|u_1\| = (1, 1, 0)' / \sqrt{2} = (1/\sqrt{2}, 1/\sqrt{2}, 0)'$

Step 2  $u_2 = a_2 - \langle a_2, e_1 \rangle e_1 = (1, 0, 1)' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1/\sqrt{2}, 1/\sqrt{2}, 0)' = (1/2, -1/2, 1)'$

$e_2 = u_2 / \|u_2\| = (1/\sqrt{6}, -1/\sqrt{6}, 2/\sqrt{6})'$ .

Step 3  $u_3 = (-1/\sqrt{3}, 1/\sqrt{3}, 1/\sqrt{3})'$  and  $e_3 = (-1/\sqrt{3}, 1/\sqrt{3}, 1/\sqrt{3})'$

Thus,

$$Q = (e_1, e_2, e_3), \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 3/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{6} \\ 0 & 0 & 2/\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

### 3 Householder transformation

Let's first take a look at an example for getting QR for  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{5 \times 4}$  using the Householder transformation. Given a matrix  $A$ , where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \end{pmatrix}$$

In Step 1, we choose  $H_1$  such that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow H_1 \begin{pmatrix} \times \\ \times \\ \times \\ \times \\ \times \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \times \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus,

$$H_1 A = \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times \end{pmatrix}$$

In Step 2, we choose  $\tilde{H}_2$  such that

$$H_1 A = \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \times \\ \times \\ \times \\ \times \\ \times \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \times \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let

$$H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0'_4 \\ 0_4 & \tilde{H}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

We then obtain

$$H_2 H_1 A = \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times \end{pmatrix}$$

In Step 3, we choose  $\tilde{H}_3$  such that

$$H_2 H_1 A = \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_3 \begin{pmatrix} \times \\ \times \\ \times \\ \times \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \times \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We let

$$H_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0'_3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0'_3 \\ 0_3 & 0_3 & \tilde{H}_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

We continue this for the last column, finally we obtain  $R = H_4 H_3 H_2 H_1 A$ , which is an upper triangular matrix and  $Q = H_1 H_2 H_3 H_4$ , which is an orthogonal matrix. Can you verify  $A = QR$ ?

In general, we have the following definition for the householder matrix.

**Definition 5.2 (Householder matrix)** Let  $v$  be a  $k \times 1$  vector. The Householder matrix associated to  $v$  is the  $k \times k$  matrix  $H_k$  defined as follows:

$$H_k = I_k - \frac{2vv'}{\|v\|^2},$$

where  $I$  is the  $k \times k$  identity matrix,  $\|v\|$  is the norm of  $v$ .

Assume  $A = (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  has full column rank, we construct matrices  $H_1, \dots, H_p$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that

$$H_p \dots H_2 H_1 A = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then the matrices  $H_j$ s are called *Householder matrices*.

How many flops for QR via Householder transformation? The total is  $2p^2(n-p/3)$  flops for an  $n \times p$  matrix.

QR via Householder transformation is built in LAPACK. In python: `scipy.linalg.qr` is a wrapper of the LAPACK routines `dgeqrf`, `zgeqrf`, `dorgqr`, and `zungqr`, note that `dgeqrf` uses Householder transformation for real matrices. In R, the default option for `qr` is `QRDC` in `LINPACK`, which also uses the Householder transformation.

## 4 Givens rotation

Again, assuming  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  has full column rank, Givens rotation provides another approach for getting  $A = QR$ .

The next example illustrates how givens rotation works (from Page 252 of Golub & van Loan, 4th edition): Consider a  $4 \times 3$  matrix,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow{(1; 3,4)} & \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow{(1; 2,3)} & \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow{(1; 1,2)} \\
\begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow{(2; 3,4)} & \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow{(2; 2,3)} & \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow{(3; 3,4)} R
\end{array}$$

**Definition 5.3** Given a vector with length two, the Givens rotation matrix is given by  $G$  such that

$$G' \begin{pmatrix} a & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & -\sigma \\ \sigma & \gamma \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $r = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ ,  $\sigma = \sin \theta$ , and  $\gamma = \cos \theta$ . To compute  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma$ , a straightforward approach is

$$\gamma = \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}, \quad \sigma = \frac{b}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}.$$

In practice, we should avoid calculating  $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$  especially when  $a, b$  are small. Instead, if  $a^2 > b^2$ , we shall take  $\tau = b/a < 1$ ,  $\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\tau^2}}$ , and  $\sigma = \gamma\tau$ ; and if  $a^2 < b^2$ , we shall take  $\tau = a/b < 1$ ,  $\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\tau^2}}$ , and  $\gamma = \sigma\tau$ .

Notice that  $Q = G_1 \dots, G_t$  with  $t$  number of total rotations. QR by givens rotation takes  $3p^2(n - p/3)$  flops.

In R, `givens()` function in the `pracma` package (see [here](#)) uses givens rotation.

In python, the build-in function `scipy.linalg.qr` uses Householder as default. The givens implementation is available [here](#)

## 5 Modified G-S algorithm

The regular G-S is unstable (as one may lose orthogonality due to roundoff errors) when columns of  $A$  are collinear. The modified G-S comes to the rescue. The figure below highlight the difference between G-S and modified G-S.

Flop counts for both GS and MGS are  $\sum_{k=1}^p 2n(k-1) \approx np^2$ .

[Source: <https://arnold.hosted.uark.edu/NLA/Pages/CGSMGS.pdf>]

More things to read:

- Difference between GS and MGS: [click here](#)
- MGS method with sample code: [click here](#)
- See why MGS is more stable than GS: [click here](#)

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <p><b>Classical</b></p> <pre> for k=1:n,     w = a_k     for j = 1:k-1,         r_jk = q_j^T w     end     for j = 1:k-1,         w = w - r_jk q_j     end     r_kk =   w  _2     q_k = w / r_kk end </pre> | <p><b>Modified</b></p> <pre> for k=1:n,     w = a_k     for j=1:k-1,         r_jk = q_j^T w         w = w - r_jk q_j     end     r_kk =   w  _2     q_k = w / r_kk end </pre> |
|---|---|

Figure 5.1: Comparing G-S and modified G-S algorithms. To compare with the notations in Section 2.1,  $w$  is  $u$ ,  $q_j$  is  $e_j$  and  $r_{jk} = \langle a_k, e_j \rangle$ .

## 6 Review of numerical linear algebra

Consider solving the problem  $Ax = b$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric and p.s.d.

So far, we have learned GE/LU, Cholesky, QR, and soon we will learn SVD.

Let's summarize the numerical methods we learned for solving linear regression problem

| Method       | Flops       | Software   | Stability                                |
|--------------|-------------|------------|--|
| GE/LU        | $O(2n^3/3)$ | R & Python | less stable                              |
| Cholesky     | $O(n^3/3)$  | R & Python | stable than GE/LU, lesser stable than QR |
| QR by HH     | $O(2n^3)$   | R & Python | stable                                   |
| QR by Givens | $O(3n^3)$   | R & Python | stable                                   |
| QR by MGS    | $O(n^3)$    |            | more stable                              |

A few notes:

- Cholesky are twice faster than QR and need less space
- QR are more stable and produce numerically more accurate solution
- MGS is slower than HH but yields  $Q_1$  (thin QR)
- There is simply no such thing as a universal 'gold standard' when it comes to algorithms.
- Flop counts is not everything. GE/LU has a higher memory traffic and vectorization overheads.
- QR is comparable in efficiency and more stable