

7:43 AM PDT 2023-09-13

Call to Order: 0802
Meeting Lead: Joshua BRICKMAN
Attendees: 23
Member Count: 72
Next Meeting: Wednesday September 27th, 2023

Highlights:

- Next week's meeting cancelled due to ICMC. Meetings to resume on September 27th.

Old Business:

- Reviewed previous meeting minutes.

New Business:

- Jade S provided update on NIAP MDM Working Group
 - o MDM Cloud Control Mapping Spreadsheet has been released and is now available on GitHub.
 - Includes README page explaining the approach and methodology used for the analysis.
 - Guidance doc still under review by NIAP and will hopefully be released by next week, or early October.
- Justin F presented the MDM Cloud Control Mapping spreadsheet and conducted a walkthrough of the document.
 - o Color coding scheme for SFRs. Green is never affected by a cloud deployment, yellow is conditionally affected by cloud deployment, and blue is always affected by cloud deployments.
 - o Spreadsheet is divided up in to 3 tabs: SFRs, SARs, and Assumptions. Each SFR in MDM PP was reviewed and compared with the 800-53 control mapping document provided with the PP and then compared to FedRAMP controls.
 - o One topic requiring further investigation is whether certain SFRs might explicitly apply when the TOE is deployed in the cloud vs on-premises.
 - o Generally, FedRAMP validations are at a higher level then CC and do not meet the same granular requirements, therefore evidence reuse from FedRAMP validations may not be as extensive as originally thought. However evidence reuse from CC evaluations may benefit a FedRAMP validation.
 - Jade provided additional perspective on this. Granular review of evaluation activities were not analyzed in depth so further analysis will be needed on this, since some EA's may be affected by a cloud deployment vs on-prem.

- Vendors will need to closely look at evaluation activities to determine if their particular product and cloud implementation may have potential issues and not meet the evaluation activities/be testable as written.
- Some assumptions that can be mapped to 800-53 controls don't have a direct mapping to FedRAMP levels. This could be a gap and may require additional assumptions or may not be an applicable assumption for cloud deployments.
 - Additional analysis into this scenario would need to be conducted.
- Rev 5 of the 800-53 control mappings to ISO and other frameworks has recently been released which would need to be reviewed for updates that may apply to this mapping
- GitHub issue #108 created to collect comments on the MDM Cloud Control Mapping Spreadsheet.
 - Questions, clarifications and additional comments should be submitted here.

Questions/Follow-ups:

- VMWare will be attending the September 27th meeting to answer questions from CCitC members. Please review the spreadsheet and have questions ready or submitted in GitHub Issue #108.

End of Meeting – Adjourned 0900 PDT