Called to order 11:02 AM ET

Garrett Nickel on holiday; Josh Brickman hosting and taking notes.

Reviewed minutes from last week.

The only action we had that was documented in these minutes was for Theibo to go find out about the owner of the Cisco spreadsheet

Matt noted that he wanted to add that when we say you know you can't remove requirements from the original we should be clear you could remove selections if the original requirement says that you can choose to do any of these three things and then in the PP module you say well you can only do two of those right that is removing an option.

Update on where we are with the face to face:

- 18 confirmed
- 1 virtually participating --Tim
- If anybody has any updates to that they can go to discussion number 94 in GitHub or they can e-mail Josh.
- Re parking and metro: there's a parking garage but we do not have spots reserved --$17 a day
- Closest Metro station is the Metro center station three blocks east

We are still discussing internally about catering

Brandon continued a walk-through of the guidance:

- Josh did provide some editorial on the CPU equivalency section and Brandon made those updates
- We walked through those changes; no one had any additional inputs so we closed that issue #103.

The rest of the meeting was a discussion about the Shared Security Model. There was a discussion about how to get audit functionality from the TOE platform. This spun into a discussion around what are we focused on in this guidance. Josh suggested we could get this first draft done faster by focusing on the application layer (e.g. SaaS) but there was not a consensus to do that.

We also talked about isolation and what the CSP could provide (or not). Brad from AWS noted that instance level separation creates a clean separate lane.

ACTION: Can everyone read the rest of this section? Mark comments in Issue #98.

Josh is on vacation in two weeks on Aug 23rd.