


3/17/2022 Week 1 Module 2

International Workshop on Modeling Biological Macromolecules

- This module will consist of a
 - mini-lecture on molecular simulation including Markov Chain Monte Carlo, Molecular Dynamics and Hybrid Monte Carlo
 - walk-through of a python script to run HMC with Robosample
- At the end of this module, you should be able to address these questions:
 - What is Markov Chain Monte Carlo and Molecular Dynamics?
 - What is Hybrid Monte Carlo and why do people use it?
 - Generally speaking, how does a Hybrid Monte Carlo simulation work?
- You should also be able to run a simulation of a simple system using Robosample

Monte Carlo

- Monte Carlo simulation:
 - named after famous gambling city
 - uses random numbers
 - usually applied to hard deterministic or probabilistic problems
- Examples:
 - pi approximation
 - virtually tossing a coin or rolling a dice many times
 - estimating financial risk (uncertainty in unit price, sales...)
 - solving integrals / differential equations
- Cannot use it for complex highly dimensional probability distribution



Markov Chains

- Stochastic process: Sequence of random variables mapped to another variable (t)


X = stochastic process; \mathcal{X} = state space; π = probability vector; $\pi = [\pi(A), \pi(B)]$, $\pi(A) = P(X = A)$

$X = \{X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots\}$, $X_i = x \in \mathcal{X}$, $\pi(\mathcal{X})$

- Markovian property: memoryless: future only depends on the present

$$P(X_{i+1} | X_i = x_i, X_{i-1} = x_{i-1}, \dots, X_0 = x_0) = P(X_{i+1} | X_i = x_i)$$

- Chain diagrams and transition matrix





transition matrix

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0.9 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 0.9 \end{pmatrix}$$


probability vector evolution

$$\pi_{k+1} = \pi_k Q$$








Markov Chains



- Stationary probability vector (equilibrium): $\boldsymbol{\pi} = [\pi(A), \pi(B)]$, $\pi(A) = P(X = A)$

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}Q = \boldsymbol{\pi}$$

- Homogenous: transition probabilities are constant
- Irreducibility (weakly connected with no absorbing states)
- Periodicity
- Recurrent / transient state (always / never come back). If all states are recurrent the chain is ergodic.
- Detailed balance guarantees convergence to a stationarity distribution



$$\pi(A)P(A \rightarrow B) = \pi(B)P(B \rightarrow A)$$

Metropolis – Hastings Algorithm

Derivation

- Markov Chain Monte Carlo usefulness: construct our own Markov Chain that converges to a specific probability distribution.


detailed balance $\pi(A)P(A \rightarrow B) = \pi(B)P(B \rightarrow A)$

proposal distribution $\pi(A)Q(A \rightarrow B) \neq \pi(B)Q(B \rightarrow A)$

correcting term $\pi(A)Q(A \rightarrow B)\alpha(A, B) = \pi(B)Q(B \rightarrow A)\alpha(B, A) \Leftrightarrow \frac{\alpha(A, B)}{\alpha(B, A)} = \frac{\pi(B)Q(B \rightarrow A)}{\pi(A)Q(A \rightarrow B)}$

Metropolis-Hastings $\alpha(A, B) = \min\left(1, \frac{\pi(B)Q(B \rightarrow A)}{\pi(A)Q(A \rightarrow B)}\right)$

Metropolis – Hastings Algorithm



$\pi(u \leq R) = R$ for any $R \in [0,1]$
and $u \sim U(0,1)$




MCMC In Practice:

When do we start recording?

- The initial distribution is not known. Burn-in
 - achieve stationarity within a certain threshold
 - get to a high probability region

$$\bar{X}_n \rightarrow \mu \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$



MCMC In Practice:

When do we stop recording?

- Convergence of π^N towards the limiting distribution π_{true} $dist(\pi^N, \pi_{true}) \leq \varepsilon$
- Total variation distance (TVD)

$$dist(\pi^N, \pi_{true}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathcal{X}} |\pi^N(x) - \pi_{true}(x)| \quad dist(\pi^N, \pi_{true}) = \sup_{E \text{ is any event}} (\pi^N(E) - \pi_{true}(E)),$$

- Hellinger distance

$$dist(\pi^N, \pi_{true}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{\mathcal{X}} \left(\sqrt{\pi^N(x)} - \sqrt{\pi_{true}(x)} \right)^2}$$

- Relative entropy (information gain, Kullback–Leibler divergence)

$$dist(\pi^N, \pi_{true}) = \sum_x \pi^N(x) [-\log \pi_{true}(x)] - \left(\sum_x \pi^N(x) [-\log \pi^N(x)] \right)$$


- Mixing time

$$t_{mix}(\varepsilon) = \min\{t: dist(t) \leq \varepsilon\}$$

MCMC Simulation In Practice.

When do we stop recording?


- Convergence of observables tests when the reference π_{true} is not known



MCMC Simulation In Practice.

When do we stop recording?

- Self-consistency tests: “monitoring the overlap between full and partial trajectories”. E.g. constant number of clusters.




Sawle and Ghosh, “Convergence of Molecular Dynamics Simulation of Protein Native States: Feasibility vs Self-Consistency Dilemma.”
Grossfield A, Zuckerman DM. Quantifying uncertainty and sampling quality in biomolecular simulations.

MCMC In Practice:

How efficient is it?

- Correlation time analysis: time required to lose memory of previous values
- Hitting time
- Cover time
- Mean first passage matrix

	1	2	3	4	5
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					



What is Molecular Dynamics?

- Add energy to a system modeled by molecular mechanics and simulate its progress with time using Newton's second law of motion $\vec{F} = ma$
- See 0:45 to 2:20 of “An Introduction to Molecular Dynamics” (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ILFEqKI3sm4>)
- See a separation of alkane and water: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xcMSHy3CqXA>

Why do biological molecular dynamics?

- “everything that living things do can be understood in terms of the jiggings and wiggings of atoms” - Richard Feynman
- Check out David’s molecular dynamics YouTube playlist:
https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLYEyeVFrqfAu0ft6sF4vVe5FOEbJYyi_L

General MD Algorithm




Figure 2 of Durrant & McCammon, 2011

How do we calculate trajectories?

- Evolution in time given is by classical mechanics: Hamilton's equations

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Force:} \\ \text{Velocity:} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{T}: \\ \frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial x} \\ \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p} \end{array}$$

- Integrate trajectory using Taylor expansion

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{0!} x(t_0)(t - t_0)^0 + \frac{1}{1!} \frac{dx}{dt}(t_0)(t - t_0)^1 + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{d^2x}{dt^2}(t_0)(t - t_0)^2 + \frac{1}{3!} \frac{d^3x}{dt^3}(t_0)(t - t_0)^3 + \dots$$


Ergodic Hypothesis

- Evolution in time given by classical mechanics: Hamilton's equations

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{T}: \\ \text{Force: } &\frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial r} \\ \text{Velocity: } &\frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p} \end{aligned}$$

- Time averages equals space averages

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{T}^s(r_0, p_0)) ds = \int_{\Gamma} \pi(r, p) \mathcal{O}(r, p) dr dp$$




Discussion

- MD:
 - - correct model of molecular behavior
 - - approximates the desired probability distribution in the end
- MCMC:
 - - doesn't provide behavior of molecules
 - - guarantees samples from the desired distribution


Markov Chain Monte Carlo for biological molecules

- Randomly chosen configurations lead to low acceptance rates



- Can we do better?

Hybrid Monte Carlo



Why does it work?

- Sample from the joint distribution $\pi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p})$ and use the marginal $\pi(\mathbf{r})$ because \mathbf{r} does not depend on \mathbf{p}

$$\pi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) \propto e^{-\beta U(\mathbf{r})} e^{-\beta \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{p}} = e^{-\beta U(\mathbf{r})} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{M})$$


- What potential energy function should we use?
 - solve for potential energy above and get $U(\mathbf{r}) = -\log[\pi(\mathbf{r})]$

Why use constraints?

- Target distribution is highly dimensional and too complex to get conclusive results in reasonable amount of time
- Heavier bodies allows the increase of the timestep
- E.g. :
 - rigid water molecules (TIP3P model)
 - constant bond lengths and bond angles: torsional dynamics
 - constrain specific regions of molecules or even entire domains

How to impose constraints?

- Cartesian coordinates and internal coordinates



- Dynamics with maximal coordinates (Lagrange multipliers)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Force: } \frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H} + \lambda c(r)}{\partial r} & c(r) = \|r_1 - r_2\|^2 - d^2 \\
 & \text{Velocity: } \frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p} \\
 & r(t) = \frac{1}{0!} r(t_0)(t - t_0)^0 + \frac{1}{1!} \frac{dr}{dt}(t_0)(t - t_0)^1 + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{d^2 r}{dt^2}(t_0)(t - t_0)^2 + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

- Example SHAKE algorithm usually used for hydrogen atoms bonds


How to impose constraints?

- Dynamics reduced coordinates (Featherstone)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Force: } \frac{dp}{dt} &= -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \phi} \\ \text{Velocity: } \frac{d\phi}{dt} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p} \end{aligned}$$

$$\phi(t) = \frac{1}{0!} \phi(t_0)(t - t_0)^0 + \frac{1}{1!} \frac{d\phi}{dt}(t_0)(t - t_0)^1 + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2}(t_0)(t - t_0)^2 + \dots$$

- Rigid body dynamics includes rotational quantities which are incorporated using Euler's laws of motion



- Dynamics is altered

Gibbs sampling

- Why?
 - Only simulating with constraints is not enough. The simulation does not cover the entire conformational space
 - Sampling from complex multivariate joint probability.
- How?
 - Take turns in sampling from conditionals. Allow oversampling easier to sample variables.

$$1. \pi(X|Y)$$

$$2. \pi(Y|X)$$

- Robosample scheme: constrained dynamics combined with all-atom dynamics

$$1. \pi(\phi|d, \theta)$$

$$2. \pi(d, \theta, \phi)$$

Why Robosample?

- Many choices of software for molecular dynamics
 - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Comparison_of_software_for_molecular_mechanics_modeling
 - https://www.rcsb.org/pages/thirdparty/modeling_and_simulation
- Robosample is
 - rigid body dynamics
 - free
 - GPU-accelerated
 - can be used in python scripts/C++ programs

Review Questions

- Generally speaking, how does a HMC simulation work?

References

- Durrant, J. D.; McCammon, J. A. Molecular Dynamics Simulations and Drug Discovery. BMC Biol 2011, 9 (1), 71. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-9-71>, adapted under the [CC BY 2.0 license](#).
- Kalos, Malvin H.; Whitlock, Paula A. (2008). Monte Carlo Methods.
- Levin DA, Peres Y and Wilmer EL, “Markov Chains and Mixing Times”, American Mathematical Soc., Oct 31, 2017, ISBN: 1470429624, 9781470429621
- Sawle L, Ghosh K. Convergence of Molecular Dynamics Simulation of Protein Native States: Feasibility vs Self-Consistency Dilemma. J Chem Theory Comput. 2016 Feb 9;12(2):861-9. doi: 10.1021/acs.jctc.5b00999. Epub 2016 Jan 26. PMID: 26765584.
- Grossfield A, Zuckerman DM. Quantifying uncertainty and sampling quality in biomolecular simulations. Annu Rep Comput Chem. 2009 Jan 1;5:23-48. doi: 10.1016/S1574-1400(09)00502-7. PMID: 20454547; PMCID: PMC2865156.