Serverless Architecture

CSSE6400

Richard Thomas

May 23, 2022

Oxymoron 1. Serverless

Logic running on someone else's server.


Developers can focus on logic, not infrastructure to deliver it.

Definition 1. Backend as a Service (BaaS)


Cloud-hosted applications or services that deliver functionality used by an application front-end.

- Front-end may be a SPA or mobile app.
- Back-end provides sophisticated functionality (e.g. database, machine learning, location services, authentication, ...).
- Front-end ties back-end services together to deliver the application's functionality.

BaaS Iceberg [1]



BaaS Example




- Example of simple system with back-end functionality delivered entirely via BaaS.
- Feature-rich front-ends coordinate behaviour delivered by BaaS.
- Consequence: Front-ends are tightly coupled to BaaS.
- Consequence: Front-ends are have both UI and functional behaviour logic.
- Front-end could have a layered design, though many SPAs don't.

Definition 2. Functions as a Service (FaaS)


Application logic that is triggered by an event and runs in a transient, stateless compute node.

- Node may only exist for duration of function call.
- Server infrastructure (e.g. type of node, lifespan, scaling, ...) are managed by hosting provider.
- e.g. AWS Lambda, Google App Engine, Azure Automation,

FaaS Iceberg [1]



FaaS Example




- Example of simple system with back-end functionality delivered entirely by FaaS.
- Feature-rich front-ends coordinate behaviour delivered by FaaS.
- Front-ends invoke functions via an API.
- API Gateway provides some separation between front-end and functions.
- May allow a bit more separation between UI and logic.

Definition 3. Serverless Architecture

Software system delivering functionality through BaaS or FaaS.


- Many people focus on FaaS when considering Serverless.
- Some simple Single Page Web Apps (SPA) coordinate.
- Front-end ties back-end services together to deliver the application's functionality.

Sahara Browse & Order



- Sahara eCommerce example as a serverless app.
- Only browse, search and purchase are shown.
- Point out that it uses both BaaS & FaaS.
- Shopping cart is implemented within the web and mobile app for this architecture
- Order Scenario 1: Customer checks out their shopping cart in the web or mobile app.
- Order Scenario 2: App calls Purchase Products function via API Gateway.
- Order Scenario 3: Purchase Products stores order in DB and sends a payment request to Payment Provider.
- Order Scenario 4: We provide Payment Provider with API end point to call to report payment result.
- Order Scenario 5: Payment success causes Payment

Sahara Fulfilment



- Sahara eCommerce example as a serverless app.
- Only fulfilment functions are shown.
- Shows Lambda Service polling Queue, demonstrating how Lambda Functions are invoked via events in a message queue.
- Fulfilment Scenario 1: Lambda Service monitors Queue for 'ship order' messages.
- Fulfilment Scenario 2: Lambda Service batches groups of 'ship order' messages and sends them to Fulfill Order function.
- Fulfilment Scenario 3: Fulfill Order gets order details from DB and sends pick list to Fulfilment App.
- Fulfilment Scenario 4: When order is shipped, Fulfilment App calls Order Shipped function via API Gateway.
- Fulfilment Scenario 5: Order Shipped sends email to customer and updates order status in DB.

- Automatic scaling
 - Multiple instances of function

- Automatic scaling
 - Multiple instances of function
- Reduced cost for dynamic loads
 - No server idle time

- Automatic scaling
 - Multiple instances of function
- Reduced cost for dynamic loads
 - No server idle time
- Reduced server management

- Automatic scaling
 - Multiple instances of function
- Reduced cost for dynamic loads
 - No server idle time
- Reduced server management
- Easier to run closer to client
 - Launch in same zone as client

BaaS Tradeoffs

- Front-end accesses database directly
 - Front-end needs to sanitise inputs
 - Easy to spoof messages from front-end
 - Hope DB provider is secure

BaaS Tradeoffs

- Front-end accesses database directly
 - Front-end needs to sanitise inputs
 - Easy to spoof messages from front-end
 - Hope DB provider is secure
- Application logic is in front-end
 - Less modularisation
 - Duplication of logic with multiple front-ends
 - Web, mobile, ...

BaaS Tradeoffs

- Front-end accesses database directly
 - Front-end needs to sanitise inputs
 - Easy to spoof messages from front-end
 - Hope DB provider is secure
- Application logic is in front-end
 - Less modularisation
 - Duplication of logic with multiple front-ends
 - Web, mobile, ...
- No control over server optimisation

- Spoofing messages is an issue for all BaaS services.
- Modern expectations are that almost all systems will have multiple front-ends.
- Duplication of front-end logic is a smaller, but still partial, concern for FaaS.

FaaS Tradeoffs

- No server state
 - All state needs to be saved (e.g. Redis, S3, ...)
 - Not just persistent state

FaaS Tradeoffs

- No server state
 - All state needs to be saved (e.g. Redis, S3, ...)
 - Not just persistent state
- Execution duration
- Can't be long running process
 - AWS Lambda is up to 15 minutes

FaaS Tradeoffs

- No server state
 - All state needs to be saved (e.g. Redis, S3, ...) Not just persistent state
- Execution duration
- - Can't be long running process
- AWS Lambda is up to 15 minutes Startup latency
- Functions take time to start
 - - Some languages worse than others (e.g. Java)

No server state • All state needs to be saved (e.g. Redis, S3, ...) Not just persistent state

- Execution duration
 - Can't be long running process
 - AWS Lambda is up to 15 minutes
- Startup latency

FaaS Tradeoffs

- Functions take time to start
- Some languages worse than others (e.g. Java)
- Proliferation of functions
- Loss of encapsulation

- alive.
- Java has concurrency benefits over other languages.
- running. • Can occassionally send messages to functions to keep them

• Server running function can be killed when function is not

When is serverless appropriate?

When is serverless appropriate?

- Rich client apps with common backend
 - BaaS

When is serverless appropriate?

- Rich client apps with common backend
 - BaaS
- High latency processing
 - Within function duration constraints

When is serverless appropriate?

- Rich client apps with common backend
 - BaaS
- High latency processing
 - Within function duration constraints
- Apps with variable load
 - Take advantage of auto-scaling

When is serverless *not* appropriate?

When is serverless *not* appropriate?

- Quick response required
 - Can't wait for FaaS to start

When is serverless *not* appropriate?

- Quick response required
 - Can't wait for FaaS to start
- Compute intensive processing

When is serverless *not* appropriate?

- Quick response required
 - Can't wait for FaaS to start
- Compute intensive processing
- Apps with steady load
 - Server-based approaches are cheaper

Self-Study Exercise

- Redesign your scalability assignment to be serverless.
 - What parts of your design would benefit from being serverless?
- Implement your revised design.

Pros & Cons 000 Extensibility • Modularity: Deployed functions are naturally modular. Reliability • Modularity: Higher-level abstractions to group deployed Interoperability functions is difficult. • Testability: Unit testing FaaS functions is easy. Scalability • Testability: Integration testing is hard. 00 Deployability • Security BaaS: Front-end access database directly. No Modularity server-side protection of db. • Security FaaS: Every function needs its own security policy **Testability** (e.g. IAM), which is easy to get wrong. Security

Simplicity

References

[1] Pavlo Brunko.

Serverless architecture: When to use this approach and what benefits it gives.

https://apiko.com/blog/serverless-architecture-benefits//, March 2019.