## **Case Study Presentation**

Software Architecture

Semester 1, 2024

Richard Thomas & Brae Webb

## **Summary**

In this assignment, you will be asked to demonstrate your ability to *understand*, *communicate*, and *critique* an architecture of an existing software project. You will

- 1. choose a suitable open source software project that has non-trivial functionality and architecture;
- 2. present the key information about the architecture of your selected project; and
- 3. critique the architecture.

### 1 Introduction

The digital world relies heavily on open source software, as seen by the log4j vulnerability<sup>1</sup>. Fortunately, open source developers often maintain high quality documentation for the users of their projects. Unfortunately however, many open source projects do not maintain the same high quality documentation for the architecture of their software projects. This can cause difficulty for developers who want to contribute to the project, but first need to understand it.

In your presentation, you have the chance to right this wrong. You are to find an open source software project with a sufficiently complex architecture and describe it. You may choose to share your results with the project developers. You are encouraged to do this, as the perspective of a newcomer to a project is often invaluable to the seasoned developers.

Before looking for projects, read some of the architecture documentation<sup>2</sup> written by students at TU Delft. You may also find it useful to read through one or more of the architecture descriptions in either volume of *The Architecture of Open Source Applications*<sup>3</sup>.

You will give a presentation describing the architecture of the project you select. The intent is to give everyone in the course a broader view of how software architectures are used to solve problems. Your presentation should take advantage of what you learn throughout this course. You are to critique the architecture, discussing how well it meets the projects goals.

## 2 Selecting a Project

A list of potential open source software projects is available in the discussion board. You may propose another project to use for your presentation. It must still be an open source project and must be of sufficient size and complexity to have an interesting software architecture. It may not be a project for which a fairly complete set of architectural design documentation exists (e.g. one described by the TU Delft students or in one of the *The Architecture of Open Source Applications* books. A monolith is a possible choice, as long as the internal architecture is well structured and non-trivial.

<sup>1</sup>https://www.cisa.gov/news-events/news/apache-log4j-vulnerability-guidance

https://delftswa.gitbooks.io/desosa2016/content/

<sup>3</sup>https://aosabook.org/en/index.html

### 3 Presentation Content

You are free to structure your presentation however you wish, though you should use some form of slides to support the delivery of information. Your presentation needs to deliver the following content.

**Title Slide** Name of the software project, and your name and student number.

**Introduction** Describe the software project, explaining the its key functionality and target users.

ASRs Describe the Architecturally Significant Requirements (ASR) of most importance to the project.

**Context** Provide an overview of the software system's context and its external dependencies.

**Architecture** Describe the software's architecture.

Critique Analyse the software's architecture, describing how well it delivers its ASRs.

**Conclusion** Highlight the key points or lessons learnt about the software's architecture.

Your presentation should introduce the software project. Give an elevator pitch style summary of what problem the project solves and its key features. Describe which ASRs and, in particular, the quality attributes you think are most important for the project, and why. Describe the project's software architecture using appropriate views [1]. Critique the software architecture, highlighting how well it supports delivering the project's architecturally significant requirements.

You must use the C4 modelling notation [1] [2] to describe the software architecture. You may supplement the C4 diagrams with other diagrams to help describe the architecture. For example, you may use UML use case, class, or sequence diagrams [1] [3] to describe system requirements or details of how the architectural design works. Other diagrams may also be used, if they clarify aspects of your C4 model. Any diagrams obtained from other sources (e.g. the project website) must be cited.

You should describe any security risks inherent in the software architecture. Your critique should evaluate and discuss what security design principles appear to have been followed in the design of the software and how well they guard against the security risks.

Your description of the software architecture should cover all of its important aspects. You are not expected to get down to the level of describing the detailed design of the software. You should not need to provide class or dynamic diagrams for the entire system. You may need to provide a small number of class or dynamic diagrams to highlight important features supported by the architecture. For example, a class diagram showing a plug-in API and a dynamic diagram of how the application uses a plug-in, may be informative.

Your audience is other students in this course. You may assume the audience has knowledge of the course content, though you should not assume they are familiar with the project you are describing.

#### 3.1 Citations & References

You may use references in your presentation to support points you are making. These must be cited and referenced using the IEEE referencing style<sup>4</sup>. The final slide(s) of your presentation should include the references to any cited material. You should display the reference slide(s) for about 3 seconds at the end of your presentation. You are not required to speak to the reference slides, aside from possibly thanking your audience for listening and stating these are your references.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>https://libraryguides.vu.edu.au/ieeereferencing/gettingstarted

### 4 Presentation

Presentations will take place in your practical, and possibly case study, class sessions during weeks 10 to 13. You will have a **maximum** of eight minutes for your presentation, plus three minutes for questions. There is no minimum time required for your presentation, it is up to you to determine when you have described all relevant information about the software architecture within your eight minute limit.

If your presentation exceeds eight minutes, the marker will ask you to stop your presentation. No content of your presentation past eight minutes will be marked.

As a presenter, you should not read a script. You may wish to write a script to prepare for the presentation but should not read it during the presentation. You may make use of notes during the presentation but you should only quickly glance at your notes to keep yourself on track. You should not be constantly referring to notes. You should try to maintain eye contact with your audience, rather than focussing on your notes or slides.

A schedule of presentation time slots will be organised after the mid-semester break. You will be allocated a time and class session in which you will present. Please inform the course coordinator of any constraints you may have regarding presentation times **before** Easter.

# 5 Identity Verification

The presentation is an identity verified assignment. You must make your presentation in-person. At the start of your presentation you must show your UQ student card to one of the markers at your session. Like in an exam situation, if you have lost your student card you must obtain a temporary identity verification document from the UQ student centre *before* your presentation.

The marked result of your presentation will be used to determine any caps applied to your grade. (That means failing the presentation because you did not submit the required number of peer evaluations will **not** affect the mark used to determine a final grade cap.) The first slide of your presentation **must** contain your full name, as recorded in UQ's student enrolment system, and full 8-digit student number.

## 6 Submission

There are three components that make up your assessable content for the presentation. These are the slides you use for your presentation, the presentation itself, and your evaluation of other students' presentations.

#### 6.1 Slides

The slides for your presentation are to be submitted as a PDF file to a link provided on BlackBoard. Your slides are due at 16:00 on Tuesday of the week in which you are scheduled to make your presentation. Late submission of your slides will result in a penalty of 1 grade per 24 hour period that they are late. Regardless of any penalty applied to the presentation, *even* if the penalty is a failing grade, you *must* still make your presentation in your allocated timeslot.

#### 6.2 Presentation

The presentations will take place in the practical, and possibly case study, sessions during weeks 10 to 13. You will be allocated a week in which you are to make your presentation. Your presentation is to use the slides you submit to BlackBoard.

If you do not deliver your presentation, your final grade will be capped at a failing grade. If you are unable to attend your session to give your presentation due to exceptional circumstances, you may apply to defer your presentation to another date. You are not able to defer a deferred presentation.

#### 6.3 Peer Evaluation

You are expected to attend all presentations. You are required to submit an evaluation of each presentation you observe. Submission of *meaningful* feedback for at least **75%** of the presentations in your class sessions is required to obtain a passing grade or higher for the presentation assessment.

An online form will be provided for you to submit your evaluation for each presentation. You must submit your evaluation of each presentation separately in order for the system to record all of your evaluations.

If you are unable to attend a practical session due to exceptional circumstances, and miss viewing several presentations, you may apply for a modified limit on the number of presentations you must evaluate.

# 7 Academic Integrity

As this is a higher-level course, you are expected to be familiar with the importance of academic integrity in general, and the details of UQ's rules. If you need a reminder, review the Academic Integrity Modules<sup>5</sup>. Submissions will be checked to ensure that the work submitted is not plagiarised. If you have quoted or paraphrased any material from another source, it must be correctly cited and referenced<sup>6</sup>. Use the IEEE referencing style<sup>7</sup> for citations and your bibliography.

Note that text generated by an AI tool, such as Chat GPT, is based on text from the Internet. Consequently all text, whether written on slides or spoken during a presentation, that was generated by an AI tool must be cited.

Uncited or unreferenced material will be treated as not being your own work. Extensive quotation or minor rephrasing of material from cited sources should be avoided. Significant amounts of cited material from other sources, even if paraphrased, will be considered to be of no academic merit. In all cases, any material that you cite must support the arguments and points that you are making in your presentation.

## References

- [1] R. Thomas and B. Webb, "Architectural views," February 2023. https://csse6400.uqcloud.net/handouts/views.pdf.
- [2] S. Brown, *The C4 Model for Visualising Software Architecture*. Leanpub, Feb 2023. https://leanpub.com/visualising-software-architecture.
- [3] Unified Modeling Language. OMG, 2.5.1 ed., December 2017. https://www.uml.org/.

 $<sup>^{5}</sup> https://web.library.uq.edu.au/library-services/it/learnuq-blackboard-help/academic-integrity-modules$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>https://guides.library.uq.edu.au/referencing

https://libraryguides.vu.edu.au/ieeereferencing/gettingstarted

# Page 5

# **Presentation Criteria**

Cuitouio	Standard						
Criteria -	Exceptional (7)	Advanced (6)	Proficient (5)	Functional (4)	Developing (3)	Little Evidence (2)	No Evidence (1)
Context	Project is introduced	Project is introduced	Project is introduced	Project is introduced	Project scope & gen-	Project scope & con-	Project scope & con-
10%	clearly and well situ-	clearly with good con-	well with a good over-	fairly well with some	eral context are fairly	text are not clear, pro-	text are confusing,
	ated within its context,	textual information,	view of its context,	contextual informa-	clear, providing a gen-	viding a poor overview	providing an inaccu-
	providing an excellent	providing a good	providing a clear but	tion, providing a	eral overview of the	of the system.	rate overview of the
	starting point to un-	starting point to un-	basic overview of the	comprehensible over-	system.		system.
	derstand the system.	derstand the system.	system.	view of the system.			
ASRs	ASRs are clearly de-	ASRs are clearly de-	Most ASRs are well	Some ASRs are well	Some ASRs are fairly	Most ASRs are poorly	Most ASRs are poorly
10%	scribed, well justified,	scribed, fairly well	described but a few	described but a few	well described but	described or poorly	described and poorly
	clearly of high im-	justified, seemingly	justifications are a little	justifications are weak.	some justifications are	justified. Few are	justified. Very few are
	portance, and all will	of high importance,	weak. Most are impor-	Most are important	weak. Some are im-	important or likely to	important or likely to
	influence architecture	and all are likely to	tant and likely to influ-	and likely to influence	portant and likely to	influence architecture	influence architecture
	decisions.	influence architecture	ence architecture de-	architecture decisions.	influence architecture	decisions.	decisions.
		decisions.	cisions.		decisions.		
Architecture	All diagrams are easy	Most diagrams are	Most diagrams are	Most diagrams are	Most diagrams are	Some diagrams are	Most diagrams are
Diagrams	to comprehend, con-	easy to comprehend,	comprehensible,	comprehensible,	comprehensible,	incomprehensible,	incomprehensible,
20%	vey important infor-	convey important in-	convey useful in-	convey useful in-	convey some useful	do not convey useful	do not convey useful
	mation, and enhance	formation, and are	formation, and are	formation, and are	information, and are	information, or are	information, or are
	the presentation.	used well in the	used well in the	connected to the	mostly connected to	disconnected from	disconnected from
		presentation.	presentation.	presentation.	the presentation.	the presentation.	the presentation.
Architecture	Description is clear,	Description is clear,	Description is mostly	Description is mostly	At times the archi-	Architecture descrip-	Architecture descrip-
25%	complete, concise,	seemingly complete,	clear, informative and	clear, informative and	tecture description is	tion is not clear,	tion is not clear,
	informative and at an	informative and at	at an appropriate level	at an appropriate level	not clear, informative	informative or at an	informative or at an
	appropriate level of	an appropriate level	of detail, resulting in	of detail, resulting in a	or at an appropriate	appropriate level of	appropriate level of
	detail, resulting in an	of detail, resulting	a good understanding	good overview of the	level of detail, re-	detail, resulting in	detail, resulting in an
	excellent, coherent	in a good coherent	of the architecture	architecture structure.	sulting in a slightly	an incomplete un-	incorrect understand-
	understanding of the	understanding of the	structure.		vague overview of the	derstanding of the	ing of the architecture
	entire architecture.	entire architecture.			architecture structure.	architecture structure.	structure.
Critique	Clear, accurate, in-	Clear, accurate & fairly	Mostly clear, accurate,	Mostly clear and accu-	At times critique is	Unclear or inaccurate	Confusing or very
25%	sightful & concise cri-	insightful critique, de-	and at times insightful	rate critique, demon-	not clear or is inaccu-	critique, demonstrat-	inaccurate critique,
	tique, demonstrating	monstrating fairly in-	critique, demonstrat-	strating fairly good	rate, demonstrating	ing incomplete under-	demonstrating poor
	in-depth knowl-	depth knowledge of	ing good knowledge of	knowledge of the	some deficiencies in	standing of the archi-	understanding of the
	edge of the entire	the entire architecture.	the architecture struc-	architecture structure.	understanding the	tecture structure.	architecture structure.
	architecture.		ture.		architecture structure.		
Presentation	Presentation is well	Presentation is well	Presentation is mostly	Presentation pace is a	Presentation pace is	Presentation pace	Presentation pace
10%	paced and delivered	paced and delivered	well paced and de-	little inconsistent or	inconsistent or de-	is inconsistent or	is inconsistent and
	fluently. Information	clearly. Information is	livered clearly. In-	delivery is occasionally	livery is sometimes	delivery is unclear.	delivery is unclear.
	is logically sequenced,	logically sequenced,	formation is logically	unclear. Information	unclear. Information	Information is not	Information is poorly
	with clear objectives	with some clear ob-	sequenced, with sign-	is logically sequenced	is not always logically	logically sequenced,	sequenced, confusing
	making it very easy to	jectives making it easy	posting guiding audi-	allowing audience to	sequenced, distract-	and planned progres-	audience.
	follow.	to follow.	ence through presen-	follow presentation	ing audience from	sion was not clear to	
			tation.	fairly well.	presentation flow.	audience.	