

# Serverless Architecture

*Software Architecture*

Richard Thomas

April 20, 2026

### *Oxymoron 1.* Serverless

Logic running on someone else's server.


Developers can focus on logic, not infrastructure to deliver it.

*Definition 0.* Backend as a Service (BaaS)


Cloud-hosted applications or services that deliver functionality used by an application front-end.

- Front-end may be a SPA or mobile app.
- Back-end provides sophisticated functionality (e.g. database, machine learning, location services, authentication, ...).
- Front-end ties back-end services together to deliver the application's functionality.

# BaaS Iceberg *[Brunko, 2019]*



## BaaS Example




- Example of simple system with back-end functionality delivered *entirely* via BaaS.
- Feature-rich front-ends coordinate behaviour delivered by BaaS.
- Consequence for Front-ends
  - Tightly coupled to BAAS
  - Contains both UI and functional behaviour logic – Poor Cohesion
- Front-end could have a layered design – many SPAs don't.

*Definition 0.* Functions as a Service (FaaS)


Application logic that is triggered by an event and runs in a *transient*, *stateless* compute node.

- Node may only exist for duration of function call.
- Server infrastructure (e.g. type of node, lifespan, scaling, ...) are managed by hosting provider.
- e.g. AWS Lambda, Google App Engine, Azure Automation, ....

# FaaS Iceberg *[Brunko, 2019]*



# FaaS Example



- Example of simple system with back-end functionality delivered by FaaS.
  - Some services delivered via BaaS.  
(e.g. Authentication – not shown on diagram for simplicity.)
- Feature-rich front-ends coordinate behaviour delivered by FaaS.
- Front-ends invoke functions via an API.
- API Gateway provides some separation between front-end and functions.
- Allows a bit more separation between UI and logic.




*Definition 0. Serverless Architecture*

Software system delivering functionality through BaaS or FaaS.


- Many people focus on FaaS when considering Serverless.
- Mobile App or Single Page Web App (SPA) coordinate services.
- Front-end ties back-end services together to deliver application's functionality.

# Sahara Browse & Order — Serverless




- Only browse, search and purchase are shown.
- Uses both BaaS & FaaS.
- Shopping cart is implemented within the web and mobile app for this architecture.
- Order Scenario 1: Customer checks out their shopping cart in the web or mobile app.
- Order Scenario 2: App calls Purchase Products function via API Gateway.
- Order Scenario 3: Purchase Products stores order in DB and sends a payment request to Payment Provider.
- Order Scenario 4: We provide Payment Provider with API end point to call to report payment result.
- Order Scenario 5-9: Notes continue on *next slide*.

# Sahara Browse & Order — Serverless




- Order Scenario 5: Payment success causes Payment Confirmed function to be invoked.
- Order Scenario 6: Payment Confirmed updates order in DB with payment status.
- Order Scenario 7: Payment Confirmed adds Payment Confirmation message to the Queue.
- Order Scenario 8: Payment Confirmation message is picked up by a fulfilment function to pack & send order.
- Order Scenario 9: Once order is shipped, another message would trigger an 'order sent' function.

# Sahara Fulfilment — Serverless



- Only fulfilment functions are shown.
- Shows Lambda Service polling Queue, demonstrating how Lambda Functions are invoked via events in a message queue.
- Fulfilment Scenario 1: Lambda Service monitors Queue for ‘ship order’ messages.
- Fulfilment Scenario 2: Lambda Service batches groups of ‘ship order’ messages and sends them to Fulfill Order function.
- Fulfilment Scenario 3: Fulfill Order gets order details from DB and sends pick list to Fulfilment App.
- Fulfilment Scenario 4: When order is shipped, Fulfilment App calls Order Shipped function via API Gateway.
- Fulfilment Scenario 5-8: Notes continue on *next slide*.

# Sahara Fulfilment — Serverless



- Fulfilment Scenario 5: Order Shipped sends email to customer and updates order status in DB.
- Fulfilment Scenario 5a: Simplification of order picking, packing and shipping steps.
- Fulfilment Scenario 5b: Each step sends messages to Queue to trigger other functions.
- Fulfilment Scenario 6: Customer queries order status in the web or mobile app.
- Fulfilment Scenario 7: App calls Order Status function via API Gateway.
- Fulfilment Scenario 8: Order Status queries Order DB and sends status back to customer via App.

## Serverless Benefits

- Automatic scaling
  - Multiple instances of function

## Serverless Benefits

- Automatic scaling
  - Multiple instances of function
- Reduced cost for dynamic loads
  - No server idle time

## Serverless Benefits

- Automatic scaling
  - Multiple instances of function
- Reduced cost for dynamic loads
  - No server idle time
- Reduced server management



## Serverless Benefits

- Automatic scaling
  - Multiple instances of function
- Reduced cost for dynamic loads
  - No server idle time
- Reduced server management
- Easier to run closer to client
  - Launch in same zone as client

## BaaS Tradeoffs

- Front-end accesses database directly
  - Front-end needs to sanitise inputs
  - Easy to spoof messages from front-end
    - Hope DB provider is secure

Spoofing messages is an issue for all BaaS services.

## BaaS Tradeoffs

- Front-end accesses database directly
  - Front-end needs to sanitise inputs
  - Easy to spoof messages from front-end
    - Hope DB provider is secure
- Application logic is in front-end
  - Less modularisation
  - Duplication of logic with multiple front-ends
    - Web, mobile, ...
- Modern expectations are that almost all systems will have multiple front-ends.
- Duplication of front-end logic is a smaller, but still partial, concern for FaaS.

## BaaS Tradeoffs

- Front-end accesses database directly
  - Front-end needs to sanitise inputs
  - Easy to spoof messages from front-end
    - Hope DB provider is secure
- Application logic is in front-end
  - Less modularisation
  - Duplication of logic with multiple front-ends
    - Web, mobile, ...
- No control over server optimisation

## FaaS Tradeoffs

- No server state
  - All state needs to be saved (e.g. Redis, S3, ...)
    - Not just persistent state
- Server running function can be killed when function is not running.
- Can occasionally send messages to functions to keep them alive — Not ideal.

## FaaS Tradeoffs

- No server state
  - All state needs to be saved (e.g. Redis, S3, ...)
    - Not just persistent state
- Execution duration
  - Can't be long running process
    - AWS Lambda – up to 15 minutes

## FaaS Tradeoffs

- No server state
  - All state needs to be saved (e.g. Redis, S3, ...)
    - Not just persistent state
- Execution duration
  - Can't be long running process
    - AWS Lambda – up to 15 minutes
- Startup latency
  - Functions take time to start
    - Some languages worse than others (e.g. Java)

Java has concurrency benefits over other languages.

## FaaS Tradeoffs

- No server state
  - All state needs to be saved (e.g. Redis, S3, ...)
    - Not just persistent state
- Execution duration
  - Can't be long running process
    - AWS Lambda – up to 15 minutes
- Startup latency
  - Functions take time to start
    - Some languages worse than others (e.g. Java)
- Proliferation of functions
  - Loss of encapsulation



*Question*

When is serverless appropriate?

*Question*

When is serverless appropriate?

*Answer*

- Rich client apps with common backend
  - BaaS

### *Question*

When is serverless appropriate?

### *Answer*

- Rich client apps with common backend
  - BaaS
- High latency processing
  - Within function duration constraints

### *Question*

When is serverless appropriate?

### *Answer*

- Rich client apps with common backend
  - BaaS
- High latency processing
  - Within function duration constraints
- Apps with variable load
  - Take advantage of auto-scaling

*Question*

When is serverless *not* appropriate?

*Question*

When is serverless *not* appropriate?

*Answer*

- Quick response required
  - Can't wait for FaaS to start

*Question*

When is serverless *not* appropriate?

*Answer*

- Quick response required
  - Can't wait for FaaS to start
- Compute intensive processing

### *Question*

When is serverless *not* appropriate?

### *Answer*

- Quick response required
  - Can't wait for FaaS to start
- Compute intensive processing
- Apps with steady load
  - Server-based approaches are cheaper



*Self-Study Exercise*

- Redesign your scalability assignment to be serverless.
  - What parts of your design would benefit from being serverless?
- Implement your revised design.

## Pros & Cons

Extensibility



Reliability



Interoperability



Scalability



Deployability



Modularity



Testability



Maintainability



Security



Simplicity



- Modularity: Deployed functions are naturally modular.
- Modularity: Higher-level abstractions to group deployed functions is difficult.
- Testability: Unit testing FaaS functions is easy.
- Testability: Integration testing is harder.
- Maintainability: Backend modularity and independence should facilitate its maintenance.
- Maintainability: Frontend contains UI and application logic.
- Security BaaS: Front-end access back-end directly. No server-side protection of db or other resources.
- Security FaaS: Every function needs its own security policy (e.g. IAM), which is easy to get wrong.

## References

- [Brunko, 2019] Brunko, P. (2019).  
Serverless architecture: When to use this approach and what benefits it gives.  
<https://apiko.com/blog/serverless-architecture-benefits/>.