


Decomposing Monoliths

CSSE6400

Richard Thomas

May 18, 2026



Question

What are the benefits of a monolith architecture?

Question

What are the benefits of a monolith architecture?

Answer

- Simple deployment

Question

What are the benefits of a monolith architecture?

Answer

- Simple deployment
- Simple communication between modules

Question

What are the benefits of a monolith architecture?

Answer

- Simple deployment
- Simple communication between modules
- Simple system testing & debugging

Question

Why do monoliths have a bad name?

Question

Why do monoliths have a bad name?

Answer

- Many legacy system nightmares were monoliths

Question

Why do monoliths have a bad name?

Answer

- Many legacy system nightmares were monoliths
- Easy to defeat modularity

Question

Why do monoliths have a bad name?

Answer

- Many legacy system nightmares were monoliths
- Easy to defeat modularity
- Cannot scale components of system

Question

Why do monoliths have a bad name?

Answer

- Many legacy system nightmares were monoliths
- Easy to defeat modularity
- Cannot scale components of system
- Monolith databases scale poorly

Question

What can be done if a monolith architecture is no longer suitable?

Question

What can be done if a monolith architecture is no longer suitable?

Answer

- Greenfield replacement

Replacement

- Pro: Can choose any suitable architecture.
- Risk: Developing a new system and maintaining existing.

Question

What can be done if a monolith architecture is no longer suitable?

Answer

- Greenfield replacement
- Migrate to another architecture

Migration

- Adaptive maintenance, changing architecture slowly.
- Some limitation on choice of architecture, but most sophisticated architectures can be used.

Question

How do I migrate a monolith to a new architecture?

Question

How do I migrate a monolith to a new architecture?

Answer

Decompose the monolith into services.

Implies a service-based or micro-services architecture.

Strangler Fig Pattern *[Fowler, 2024]*



Strangler Fig Pattern *[Fowler, 2024]*

- Develop API for application's UI



May already have an API if the UI is a web or mobile app.

Strangler Fig Pattern *[Fowler, 2024]*

- Develop API for application's UI
- Proxy intercepts API calls
 - Proxy directs calls to application or new services



Initially deploy proxy and new interface into production, with only existing monolith. Test it works as expected.

Strangler Fig Pattern *[Fowler, 2024]*

- Develop API for application's UI
- Proxy intercepts API calls
 - Proxy directs calls to application or new services
- Implement a service
 - Redirect calls to service



Strangler Fig Pattern *[Fowler, 2024]*

- Develop API for application's UI
- Proxy intercepts API calls
 - Proxy directs calls to application or new services
- Implement a service
 - Redirect calls to service
- Progressively replace monolith




Strangler Fig Pattern *[Fowler, 2024]*

- Develop API for application's UI
- Proxy intercepts API calls
 - Proxy directs calls to application or new services
- Implement a service
 - Redirect calls to service
- Progressively replace monolith
- Shadow & Blue-Green Deployment




- Shadow deployment to test service with application.
- Blue-Green deployment to switch over to using service.


Monolith Deployment



Monolith Decompose: Step 1



Monolith Decompose: Step 2



May leave some stable functionality in monolith, if there is little benefit of creating services for that functionality.

Decomposition Process

- Identify bounded-contexts

Decomposition Process

- Identify bounded-contexts
- Simple first service
 - e.g. Authentication

Use first service (or first few) to validate approach and deployment infrastructure.

Decomposition Process

- Identify bounded-contexts
- Simple first service
 - e.g. Authentication
- Minimise dependency from services to monolith
 - Monolith may use services
- Monolith decomposition will continue.
 - Don't want to have to refactor service once functionality is removed from monolith.
 - Don't want services to end up depending on each other.
- Minimise changes required in monolith.
 - But expect a fair bit of work removing features from monolith.

Decomposition Process

- Reduce coupling between bounded-contexts
 - e.g. Customer account management
 - Profile, Wish List, Payment Preferences
 - Separate Services

Account management may be tightly coupled in monolith.
Separate each aspect (context), one at a time.

Decomposition Process

- Reduce coupling between bounded-contexts
 - e.g. Customer account management
 - Profile, Wish List, Payment Preferences
 - Separate Services
- Decouple vertically
 - Service delivers entire bounded-context
 - Data is decoupled from monolith



- Do not focus only on UI or internal components.
 - Service needs to implement *all* parts of the business process.
 - Slice of cake analogy, you want a vertical slice containing all layers, not just the icing on top.
- Data management needs to be decentralised.

Decomposition Process

- Focus on pain points
 - Bottlenecks
 - Frequently changing behaviour
- Extract services that deliver highest value.
 - What contexts may need to scale more than others?
 - What contexts change more frequently and benefit from separate deployment?

Decomposition Process

- Focus on pain points
 - Bottlenecks
 - Frequently changing behaviour
- Rewrite, don't reuse
 - Redesign for new infrastructure
 - Reuse complex logic
 - e.g. Discounts based on customer loyalty and behaviour, bundle offers, ...
- Services deliver capabilities provided by monolith.
 - Usually it is better to rewrite the capability to take advantage of new infrastructure.
- Only reuse code that has complex logic that will be difficult to duplicate and test fully.

Atomic Decomposition

- Refactor monolith
 - Use service to deliver application functionality
 - Monolith may need to invoke service
 - Remove service logic from monolith
- Atomic replacement of monolith behaviour by service's behaviour.
- Don't deploy production code with service behaviour left in monolith.
 - Leads to a maintenance nightmare determining where behaviour is used.
 - May be used in both the monolith and service.

Stepwise Decomposition

Replace application functionality one service at a time.

Definition 0. Macroservice

Separate service, but may span more than one domain or share a database with the monolith or other services.

- Similar scalability and deployment issues to a monolith, but grouped by clusters of macroservices if they share a database.
- Interim step to build microservices.

Definition 0. Nanoservice

Service that depends on other services and cannot be deployed independently – its context is too small.

- Anti-pattern where services are too fine grained and need to be coupled to deliver business processes.
- Some use the term “nanoservice” to refer to independently deployable functions, similar to serverless architecture.

References

[Fowler, 2024] Fowler, M. (2024).

Strangler fig.

<https://martinfowler.com/bliki/StranglerFigApplication.html>.