CWE REST API Working Group Meeting – February 22, 2024

1) Call to order – recording
2) Agenda approval
3) Minutes approval
4) Regular order
   - Note – email list reflector: cwe-capec-rest-api-working-group-list@mitre.org
   - Note – GitHub: https://github.com/CWE-CAPEC/REST-API-wg
   - Note – CWE data response content: https://cwe.mitre.org/data/xsd/cwe_schema_latest.xsd
   - Note – Specs are here: https://github.com/CWE-CAPEC/REST-API-wg/tree/main/specifications
   - Note – Latest OpenAPI spec: https://github.com/CWE-CAPEC/REST-API-wg/blob/main/specifications/openapi.yaml
   - Status
     - No email responses to improvements the past 2 weeks
     - Little REST API activity (hitting the server)
     - CVE uses groups.io which requires an affirmative response to continue to participate
     - Perhaps use a similar tactic: shift to groups.io to cross that trip-wire
     - Original WG effort grew "organically" and some "personal" contacts
     - AI: Adam to send request for interest in WG continuation – March 4 deadline, send out message a few times, add IEEE Std P3164, send to EDA-insiders ((MITRE to send) CDNS, SNPS, etc.)
     - "Rip off the bandaid" soon to launch the service to see what happens
   - Feedback
     - 200 (OK) and an empty list seems right for issue #1, below
     - Other issues need further discussion
5) Action item review
   - WG: please access the database via REST API and send feedback
   - Status update
6) Adjourn

Improvements doc from MITRE: (please review and forward thoughts on changes required as these items need to be addressed by MITRE):

1. The API returns an error (404 – Not Found) when requesting a relationship (e.g., **descendants**) that does not exist.

   Suggestions:

   REST APIs are often designed to perform CRUD operations on a repository of some sort. Using this interpretation, it would seem like that lack of the existence of a requested URI should return a 404 – which is an error. In an API, this can also mean that the endpoint is valid but the resource itself does not exist.

   However, REST API endpoints have long left that interpretation behind, so we can think of these requests as asking the "meta" question: *return a list of the descendants*, and the empty list is a reasonable response.

If we decide to stay with the 404 error response, we need to return a correct error message. Right now, the message returned can be confusing. There is also a way to return a JSON object as the error message (e.g., https://github.com/omniti-labs/jsend, https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc7807.html).

Here are some pros-and-cons discussions from the internet:

- https://stackoverflow.com/questions/13366730/proper-rest-response-for-empty-table
- https://apihandyman.io/empty-lists-http-status-code-200-vs-204-vs-404/
- https://medium.com/nerd-for-tech/navigating-http-status-codes-for-rest-apis-39f25fcd8cc6

2. Views and Categories do not have "children", but instead have "members". Currently, the **descendants** and **parents** endpoints return a 404 for non-weaknesses, because the API does not recognize the "has_members" hierarchy.

   Suggestions:

   - Conflate the "has_member" relationship with the "child_of" relationship and use the same endpoints for both.
   - Introduce separate endpoints to traverse the "has_members" hierarchy.

3. The **descendants** endpoint returns all levels below (the full "graph") but the **parents** endpoint returns only one level up. Why the difference?

   Suggestions:

   - First, change the name of the **descendants** endpoint to **children** and return just one level down
   - How to handle returning the full list of CWEs above/below
     - Introduce endpoints **descendants** and **ancestors**, or
     - Introduce a query parameter **all=true** to **children** and **parents**
   - Traverse the hierarchy using multiple requests.

4. Instead of the endpoints returning a simple list of CWEs when multiple levels are involved, return a graph structure.