Mit 6.043 - Artificial Intelligence

Marco Filippone

November 29, 2020

1 Reasoning: goal trees and rule-based expert systems

A **semantic net** is a representation in which:

- Lexically, there are nodes, links, and application-specific link labels

- Structurally, each link conects a tail node to a head node

- Semantically, the nodes and links denote application-specific entities

With constructors that:

- Construct a node

- Construct a link, given a link label and two nodes to be connected

With readers that:

- Produce a list of all links departing from a given node

- Produce a list of all links arriving at a given node

- Produce a tail node, given a link

- Produce a head node, given a link

- Produce a link label, given a link

A **Semantic tree** is a representation, that is a semantic net in which:

- certain links are called **branches**. Each banch connects two nodes; the head node is called the **parent node** and the tail node is called the *child node*

- One node has no parent; it is called the root node. Other nodes have exactly one parent

- Some nodes have no children, they are called *leaf nodes*. When two nodes are connected to each other by a chain of two or more branches, one is said to be the *ancestor*; the other if said to be the descendant

With constructors that:

- Connect a parent node to a child node with a branch links

With readers that:

- Produce a list of a given node's children

- Produce a given node's parent

A **goal tree** is a semantic tree in which: nodes represent goals and branches indicate how you can achive goals by solving one or more subgoals. Each node's children corresponds to **immediate subgoals**; each node's parent corresponds to the **immediate supergoal**. The top node, the one with no parent, is the **root** goal.

Some goals are satisfied directly, without reference to any other subgoals. These goals are called **leaf goals**, and the corresponding nodes are called **leaf nodes**.

Because goal trees always involve *And* nodes, or *Or* nodes, or both. they are often called **And-Or trees**.

To determine whether a goal has been achieved, you need a testing procedure. The key procedure, *REDUCE*, channels action into the *REDUCE-AND* and the *REDUCE-OR*.

Goal trees enable introspective question answering:

- how: the immediate subgoal (downstream)

- why the immediate supergoal (downstream)

1.1   Eliciting expert systems features

- Heuristic of specific situations: it is dangerous to limit inquiry to office interviews

- Heuristic of situation comparison: ask a domain expert for clarification whenever the domain expert's behavior varies in situations that look identical to the knowledge enginner.

- You should build a system and see when it cracks. Helps identifiying missing rules.

2   Nets and Basic Search

A **search tree** is a representation, that is a semantic tree, in which:

- Nodes denote paths

- Branches connect paths to one-set path extensions

With writers that:

- Connect a path to a path description

WIth reades that:

- Produce a path's description

Each child denotes a path that is a one-city extension of the path denoted by its parent.

If a node has b children, it is said to have a **branching** factor of b. If the number of children is always b for every nonleaf node, the the tree is said to have a branching factor of b.

Each path that does not reach the goal is called a **partial path**. Each path that does reach the goal is called a **complete path**, and the corresponding node is called a **goal node**.

Nodes are said to be **open** until they can be expanded, whereupon they become **closed**.

2.1 Blind methods

2.1.1 Depth-first Search

- Form a one-element queue consisting of a zero-length path that contains only the root node

- Until the first path in the queue terminates at the goal node or the queue is empty,

  - Remove the first path from the queue; create new paths by extending the first path to all the neighbors of the terminal node
  - Reject all new paths with loops
  - Add the new paths, if any to the **front** of the queue

- If the goal node is found, annouce success; otherwise, annouce failure

Good when you are confident that all partial paths either reach dead ends or become complete paths after a reasonable number of steps.

Bad with long or infinite paths, that neither reach dead ends nor become complete paths.

2.1.2 Breadth-first Search

- Form a one-element queue consisting of a zero-length path that contains only the root node

- Until the first path in the queue terminates at the goal node or the queue is empty,

  - Remove the first path from the queue; create new paths by extending the first path to all the neighbors of the terminal node

- Reject all new paths with loops
- Add the new paths, if any to the **back** of the queue

- If the goal node is found, annouce success; otherwise, annouce failure

Works with trees with infinitely deep paths.
Wasteful when all paths lead to the goal node at more or less the same depth.

2.1.3 Nondeterministic search

- Form a one-element queue consisting of a zero-length path that contains only the root node

- Until the first path in the queue terminates at the goal node or the queue is empty,

    - Remove the first path from the queue; create new paths by extending the first path to all the neighbors of the terminal node
    - Reject all new paths with loops
    - Add the new paths **at random places in the queue**

- If the goal node is found, annouce success; otherwise, annouce failure

2.2 Heuristically informed methods

2.2.1 Hill climbing

- Form a one-element queue consisting of a zero-length path that contains only the root node

- Until the first path in the queue terminates at the goal node or the queue is empty,

    - Remove the first path from the queue; create new paths by extending the first path to all the neighbors of the terminal node
    - Reject all new paths with loops
    - **Sort the new paths, if any, by the estimated distances between their terminal nodes and the goal**
    - Add the new paths, if any to the **front** of the queue

- If the goal node is found, annouce success; otherwise, annouce failure

Possible issues:

- Foothills: an optimal point is found, but it is a local maximum

- Plateaus: for all but a small number of positions, all standard-step probes leave the quality measurement unchanged

- Ridges

2.2.2 Beam search

Like BFS in that ir progresses level by level. Unlike BFS, beam search moves downward only through the best w nodes at each level; the other nodes are ignored.

2.2.3 Beast-first search

While hill climbing demands forward motion from the most recently created open node. In the best-first seach, **forward motion is from the best open node so far** no matter where that node is i the partially developed tree.

2.3 Which search type is good for me?

- Depth-first search is good when unproductive partial paths are never too long

- Breadth-first search is good when the branching factor is never too large

- Nondeterministic search is good when you are not sure whether depth-first search or breadth-first search would be better

- Hill climbing is good when there is a natural measurement of goal distance form each place to the goal and a good path is likely to be among the partial paths that appear to be good at all levels

- Beam search is good when there is a natural measure of goal distance and a good path is likely to be among the partial paths that appear to be good at all levels

- Best-first search is good when there is a natural measure of the goal distance and a good partial path may look like a bad option before more promising partial paths are played out

3 Nets and Optimal Search

3.1 British Museum procedure

Find all possible paths and select the best one from them.

3.2 Branch and Bound

- Form a one-element queue consisting of a zero-length path that contains only the root node

- Until the first path in the queue terminates at the goal node or the queue is empth:

- Remove the first path from the queue; create new paths by extending the first path to all the neighbors of the terminal node
  - Reject all new paths with loops
  - add the remaining new paths, if any, to the queue
  - Sort the entire queue by path length with least-cost paths in front

- If the goal node is gound, annouce success; otherwise, annouce failure

3.3 Branch and Bound with lower-bound estimate

- Form a one-element queue consisting of a zero-length path that contains only the root node

- Until the first path in the queue terminates at the goal node or the queue is empth:

  - Remove the first path from the queue; create new paths by extending the first path to all the neighbors of the terminal node
  - Reject all new paths with loops
  - Add the remaining new paths, if any, to the queue
  - Sort the entire queue by **the sum of the path length and a lower-bound estimate of the cost remaining, with least-cost paths in front**

- If the goal node is gound, annouce success; otherwise, annouce failure

3.4 Branch and Bound with dynamic programming

- Form a one-element queue consisting of a zero-length path that contains only the root node

- Until the first path in the queue terminates at the goal node or the queue is empth:

  - Remove the first path from the queue; create new paths by extending the first path to all the neighbors of the terminal node
  - Reject all new paths with loops
  - Add the remaining new paths, if any, to the queue
  - **if two or more paths reach a common node, delete all those paths except the one that reaches the common node with the minimum cost**
  - Sort the entire queue by **the sum of the path length with least-cost paths in front**

- If the goal node is gound, annouce success; otherwise, annouce failure

3.5 A* procedure - Branch and bound with Underestimates and Dynamic Programming

- Form a one-element queue consisting of a zero-length path that contains only the root node

- Until the first path in the queue terminates at the goal node or the queue is empth:

  - Remove the first path from the queue; create new paths by extending the first path to all the neighbors of the terminal node
  - Reject all new paths with loops
  - Add the remaining new paths, if any, to the queue
  - **if two or more paths reach a common node, delete all those paths except the one that reaches the common node with the minimum cost**
  - Sort the entire queue by **the sum of the path length and a lower-bound estimate of the cost remaining, with least-cost paths in front**

- If the goal node is gound, annouce success; otherwise, annouce failure

3.6 Which optimal search method is good for me?

- The British Museum procedure is good only when the search tree is small

- Branch-and-bound search is good when the tree is big and bad paths turn distinctly bad quickly

- Branch-and-bound search with a guess is good when there is a good lower-bound estimate of the distance remaining to the goal

- Dynamic programming is good when many paths convers on the same place

- The A* procedure is good when both branch-and-bound search with a guess and dynamic programming are good

4 Trees and Adversarial Search

A **game tree** is a representation:

- Nodes denote board configuration

- Branches denote moves

With writers that:

- Establish that a node is for the maximizer or for the minimizer

- Connect a board configuration with a board-configuration description

With readers that:

- Determine whether the node if for the minimizer or for the maximizer

- Produce a board configuration's description

4.1 Min-Max procedure

- If the limit of the search has been reached, compute the static value of the current position relative to the appropriate player. Report the result.

- Otherwise, if the level is a minimizing level, use MINMAX on the children of the current position. Report the minimum of the results

- Otherwise, the level is a minimizing level. Use MINMAX on the children of the current position. Report the maximum of the results

4.2 Alpha-Beta procedure

- If the level is the top level, let alpha be $-\infty$ and let beta be $+\infty$

- If the limit of search has been reached, compute the static value of the current position relative to the appropriate player. Report the result

- If the level is a minimizing level:
  - Until all children are examined with ALPHA-BETA or until alpha is equal to or greater than beta:
    * Use ALPHA-BETA procedure, with the current alpha and beta values, on a child; note the value reported
    * Compare the value reported with the beta value; if the reported value is smaller, reset beta to the new value
    * Report beta

- Otherwise, the level is a maximizing level:
  - Until all children are examined with ALPHA-BETA or until alpha is equal to or greater than beta:
    * Use ALPHA-BETA procedure, with the current alpha and beta values, on a child; note the value reported
    * Compare the value reported with the beta value; if the reported value is larger, reset alpha to the new value
    * Report alpha

4.3 Heuristic Methods

4.3.1 Progressive deepening

For each depth level, compute the best move. By doing so, to compute up to level $d-1$ it is necessary to evaluate:

$$b^0 + b^1 + \cdots + b^{d-1} = \frac{b^d - 1}{b - 1} \approx b - 1$$

4.3.2 Forced move heuristic

The child move involving a *forced move* generally has a value that stands out from the rest.

4.3.3 Singular-extension heuristic

The search should continue as long as one move's static value stands out.

4.3.4 Tapered search

Arrange for the branching factor to vary with depth of penetration, possibly using tapered search to direct more effort into the more promising moves.

5 Symbolic constraints and propagation

Principle of convergent intelligence The world manifest constraints and regularities. If a computer is to exhibit intelligence, it must exploit those constraints and regularities, no matter of what the computer happens to be made.

Describe-to-explain principle The act of detailed description may turn probabilistic regularities into entirely deterministic constrains.

Marr's methodological principles

1. Identify the problem

2. Select or develop an appropriate representation

3. Expose constraints or regularities

4. Create particular procedures

5. Verify via experiments

Contraction net is a representation that is a *frame system*, in which:

- Lexically and structurally, certain frame classes identify a finite list of application-specific interpretations

- Procedurally, demon procerures enforce compatibility constraints among connected frames

5.1 Applications

5.1.1 3D object recognizion in 2D images

Labeled drawing is a representation that is a *frame system*, in which:

- Lexically, there are line frames and junctions frames. Lines may be convex, cocave, or boundary lines. Junctions may be *L*, *Fork*, *T*, or *Arrow* junctions

- Structurally, junctions frames are connected by line frames. Also, each junction frame contains a list of interpretation combinations for its connecting lines

- Semantically, line frames denotee physical edges. Junction frames denote physical vertexes

- Procedurally, demon procedures enforce the constraint that each junction lavel must be compatible with at least one of the junction labels at each of the neighboring junctions

5.1.2 Time-interval relations and scheduling

Interval net is a representation that is a *contraction net*, in which:

- Lexically and semantically there are interval frames denoting time intervals and lik frames denoting time relations: specifically: $\overrightarrow{before}$, $\overrightarrow{during}$, $\overrightarrow{overlaps}$, $\overrightarrow{meets}$, $\overrightarrow{starts}$, $\overrightarrow{finishes}$, $\overrightarrow{isequalto}$, and their mirrors

- Structurally, interval frames are connected by link frames

- Procedurally, demon procedures enforce the constraint that the interpretations allowed for a link frame between two intervals must be consistent with the interpretations allowed for the two link frames joining the two intervals to a third interval

5.2 Map coloring - Lecture notes

Definition 1 *A **variable** v is something that can have an assigment*

Definition 2 *A **value** x is something that can be an assigment*

Definition 3 *A **domain** D is a bag of values*

Definition 4 *A **constraint** c is a limit on variable values*

Procedure:

- For each *DFS* assignment

- For each variable v_i considered. A *considered variable* is some variable that may affect the assignment decision

- For each x_i in D_i

- For each constraint $c(x_i, x_j)$ where $x_j \in D_j$

- If $\nexists x_j$ such that $c(x_i, x_j)$ is satisfied, then remove x_i from D_i.

- If D_i is empty, backtrack

Examples of *considered variables*:

- Nothing: leads to wrong outputs

- Assignment: constraints are too slack

- Neighbors' only assignment: can succeed but depends on assigment ordering. If most constrained assignments are considered first, then it is fast; vice versa, might not end

- Propagate checking through variables with reduced domain reduced to a single value

- Propagate checking through variables with reduced domains

- Everything: too computationally intensive