RANKING: 1 (important); 2 (somewhat important); 3 (not so									-			De.									
Metadata level	Description	Average Ranking	R1	1 R2		R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9		Sum	A	Median	stdev	01/	QCOD		
Project-level	Project ID	+ .	2.375	3	3	3	2	2	1	1	3	2	2		Average 22			CV 0.9	36.08%	0.2	
i ioject-level	Experiment ID		2.5	3	3			2	1	2	3	2	3).7	28.43%	0.2	
	Experiment purpose		1.75	1	2		9	2	1	2	1	2	1					0.7	42.43%	0.3	
	Date		1.875	1	2		3	1	1	2	2	3	3					0.9	43.30%	0.5	
	User		2.125	2	3		-	2	2	2	1	2	2					0.6	28.46%	0.0	
	User Identifier		1.25	1	1	,	1	1	1	2	1	2	2			1.3).5	37.50%	0.3	
	name		2	2	2		3	2	2	2	1	2	2).5	25.00%	0.0	
	email		1.5	1	- 1		1	2	1	2	1	3	2			1.6		7	46.70%	0.3	
	role	2	2.875	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	2			3 (0.3	11.54%	0.0	
	instrument		1.375	1	- 1	3	3	1	1	1	1	2	1			1.3		0.7	53.03%	0.0	
	objective type		1.5	1	- 1	3	3	1	2	1	1	2	2			1.6		0.7	46.70%	0.3	
	pixel size	1	1.125	1	- 1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	3	1	12	1.3	1 (0.7	53.03%	0.0	
	QR code?		2.75	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	3					0.7	24.00%	0.0	
	# time frames		1.375	2	- 1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2			1.4).5	36.49%	0.3	
	Rows on plate	1	1.625	1	- 1	- 2	2	1	1	2	3	2	3					0.8	46.88%	0.3	
	Columns on plate	1	1.625	1	- 1	2	2	1	1	2	3	2	3	1	16	1.8	2 (0.8	46.88%	0.3	
	Plate type (manufacturer		2	2	2	3	3	2	3	1	1	2	2	1	18	2.0	2 (0.7	35.36%	0.0	
	instrument temperature control																				
and deposit and the dude in the second second	humidity atmosphere (O2,CO2 pp, N2),	1	1.5												13	1.4		0.9	61.06%	0.0	
environment condition during imaging process (implicit)	atmosphere (O2,CO2 pp, N2),	-		1	1	3	3	1	3	1	1	1	1								
time point after plating / data acquisition starting point	Time of second	- 2	2.125	3	2	3	3	2	3	4	1	1	2					0.8	37.03%	0.2	
Biological condition	Type of assay		1.125	1	1		1	1	1	1	1		1		-	1.0		0.0	0.00%	0.0	
	cell type (formerly 'cell line') (for primary cell, isolation protocol			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1			1.1		0.3	30.00%	0.0	
			0.625	-	- 4		2	2	2	1	1	4	1					0.6	38.49%	0.3	
	seeding density	+	1.5	2	3	2			2	1	4		1			1.4		0.5	36.49%	0.3	
	serum type serum concentration	+ .	1.625	2	1	3	-		2	1	1	2	1					0.8	41.39% 46.70%	0.3	
	seeding time		2.125	2	2		9		2	2	2	2	1					0.7	46.70% 25.00%	0.3	
	growth medium	1 '	2.120	- 4	2			4	4	4	2	4		,	10	2.0	- (1.0	20.00%	0.0	
	grown. medium	1																			
	buffer	1																			
	antibiotica	1 .	1.625	2												4.0		0.7	46.70%	0.2	
	antibiotics			2	1		3		2	1	1	2	1			1.6				0.3	
	ECM top matrix values		1.625	3	3		-		3	1	3	2	1			1.6		0.9	56.69%	0.3	
	top matrix volume bottom matrix volume		2.75 2.625	3	3	3	2		3	1	3	2	2					0.5	18.75% 28.43%	0.2	
			1.875	3	3	3	3		3	1	3	2	2					0.7	28.43% 49.13%	0.2	
	coating type coating temperature		2.375	2	2	3	3	-	3	1	1	2	4					0.8	49.13% 37.50%	0.5	
	coating temperature	-	2.375	2	4		3	3	3	4	3	1	4			1.9).9	49.13%	0.5	
	polymerization temperature should we		2.375	2	3		3	2	3	2	2	1	2					0.7	30.30%	0.2	
	polymerization temperature should we		2.25	2	2		2	-	3	2	2	1	2					0.7	30.00%	0.2	
	polymerization type	-	2.5	2	3		3	3	3	2	2	2	2).5	21.56%	0.2	
	ECM type	-	1.5	1	1		3	1	3	1	1	1	1			1.4		0.9	61.06%	0.0	
	migration modulator		1.0	- 1	- 1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			1.0		0.0	0.00%	0.0	
	modulator distribution		- 1	1	- 1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1			1.0		0.0	0.00%	0.0	
	modulator concentration		1.25	2	- 1		1	1	2	1	1	1	1			1.2		0.4	36.08%	0.0	
	ECM density		1 375	1	1		2	1	2	1	2	1	1			1.3		0.5	37 50%	0.3	
	ECM dimension	1	1.375	1	- 1	-	1	1	2	2	2	1	2			1.4		0.5	36.49%	0.3	
	Treatment/Perturbation		1	- 1	- 1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			1.0		0.0	0.00%	0.0	
	Treatment Type		1	1	- 1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1			1.0		0.0	0.00%	0.0	
	number of treatment group																1				
	number of experimental repeats																				
Cell migration data	Imaging type (acquisition modalities)		- 1	1	- 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	10	1.1	1 (0.3	30.00%	0.0	
	assay time resolution		1																		
	Labeling process (candidates																				
	molecules: antibodies,dyes)																				
	scale of observation		1																		
	measurement /quantitation		1																		
	Image processing algorithm		1.625	2	2		2	2	1	2	1	1	2					0.5	30.00%	0.3	
	[Migration data itself]		1.125	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1			1.1		0.3	30.00%	0.0	
	number of imaged cells in well	2	2.125	2	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	1).5	25.00%	0.0	
	Interval of images		- 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2					0.3	30.00%	0.0	
	substrate	 	1.5	1	1 1			-	3	1	2	1	1).9	56.38%	0.4	
	substrate vendor		2.125	2	3 1				3	2	2	2	3).5	21.38%	0.2	
	Percentage migrating cells		1.625	1	2	3			2	1	1	1	1			1.6		0.7	46.70%	0.3	
	Type of speed measurement (with/wit	1	1.875	1	3	3			3	1	1	1	1	1	16	1.8	1 1	1.0	54.67%	0.5	
				1.6	1.7	2.3	3 1.	.8 2	1.0	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.8								
			R1			Do.	R4	Dr	De	R7	D0	DO									
		R1	R1			R3 0.49		R5	R6		R8	R9 0.30	0.38								
		R1 R2		1.00 0.72	0.72	0.49				0.42			0.38								
					1.00																
		R3		0.49	0.60	1.00							0.08								
		R4		0.59	0.69	0.58							0.22								
		Dr							. 0		U.Z.	U.ZU -	·U.U2								
		R5										0.05	0.54								
		R6		0.42	0.50	0.27	7 0.4	14 0.	12 1	1.00	0.46		0.51								
		R6 R7		0.42 0.55	0.50 0.46	0.27	7 0.4 9 0.4	9 0.	12 1 21 0	1.00 0.46	0.46 1.00	0.27	0.53								
		R6		0.42	0.50	0.27	7 0.4 9 0.4 8 0.0	14 0. 19 0.: 18 -0.:	12 1 21 0 20 0	1.00 0.46 0.25	0.46 1.00 0.27	0.27 1.00									