# Explainable AI is Dead, Long Live Explainable AI!

Hypothesis-driven Decision Support using Evaluative AI

Tim Miller (2023) - Conference FAccT

**Charles Vin** 

Sorbonne Université - 21216136

# Quick summary

Arg for a Paradigm Shift in (X)AI for Decision Support

→ Evaluative AI Concept
Goals:

- Human-centered Approach
- Going Beyond Recommendations
- Mitigating Over-Reliance
- Support for Hypothesis Evaluation
- Machine-in-the-Loop Paradigm

## Over/Under-reliance

### **Definitions**

- **Over-reliance**: Decision makers accept a machine recommendations, even when it is wrong, but would be rejected if coming from a human.
  - o The machine "must be right" because it's a machine
- **Under-reliance**: Machine outputs are consistently rejected, even when it is correct, but would be accepted if coming from a human.

See "Automation bias"  $\Rightarrow$  Problems after deployement: AI systems ignored OR over-reliance related problems.

## Over/Under-reliance

Causes

- Over-reliance: lack of cognitive engagement;
- Under-reliance: Algorithmic aversion.

When adding current XAI tools for more explaination  $\Rightarrow$  Confirmation bias (called *fixation* in the paper).

## Over/Under-reliance

Solutions

- 1. Cognitive forcing
  - Eg. forcing people to give a decision before seeing a recommendation;
  - Slightly mitigated overreliance, but not enought to lead to a statistically significant differences;
  - Least prefered method by participant: people not wanting to exert mental energy.
- 2. Changing the XAI framework 😏 😲 🔎 💡

## How we make decisions?

#### In a simple way:

- Identify options
- Compare options
- Choose an option

In a less simple way: the 10 "cardinal decision issue" outlined by Yates and Potworowski (2012)

• Needs, mode, Investment, Options, Possibilities, Judgements, Value, Trade-offs, Acceptability, Implementation

# What makes a good decisions support system? Summed up

- Options: Help to identify options, well as help to narrow down the list of feasible or realistic options
- Judgement & Possibilities: Help to judge which outcomes are most likely and what will be the positive and negative impacts
- Trade-offs: Help to make trade-offs on the above criteria for each options
- Understandable: Help to understand how and why the tools works as it does, and when it fails

# Does current decision support align with those criteria?

# Giving recommendations with no explanatory information



Figure: A model of giving recommendations for decision support.

Decision makers can carefully consider recommendations:

- $\rightarrow$  Leading to better decisions;
  - × empirical evidence suggests this is not the case.

- × Options
- <sup>1</sup>/<sub>n</sub> Possibilities & Judgement
  - × Trade-offs
- × Understandable

# Giving recommendations with explanatory information



Figure: A model of XAI for decision support.

Giving reasons or explanations for decisions

- $\rightarrow$  Mitigates the problem of distrust;
- $\rightarrow$  Leading to better decisions ;
  - Empirical evidence suggests people do not pay careful attention to the reasons/explanations.

- × Options
- <sup>1</sup>/<sub>n</sub> Possibilities & Judgement
- √/× Trade-offs
  - ✓ Understandable

# Giving recommendations with cognitive forcing



Figure: A model of cognitive forcing.

Withholding recommendations & giving an explanation;

- $\rightarrow$  forces people to engage;
- $\rightarrow$  limit over-reliance;
- $\rightarrow$  Better decisions;
  - × still a "recommend and defend" approach.
  - × Least prefered method by participants

√/x Options

¹/n Possibilities & Judgement

√/× Trade-offs

✓ Understandable



Figure: A model of Evaluative AI.

- Align with decision making processes
- Keep the decision maker in control
- Ask users to rely on evidence instead of recommendations.



Figure: A simple prototype of a diagnostic interface using evaluative AI.

### **Properties**

- ✓ Options
- ✓ Possibilities & Judgement
- ✓ Trade-offs
- ✓ Understandable

## Zoom on properties

- ✓ Options
  - Show the most likely options (with or without probabilities);
  - $\rightarrow$  Not a single recommendation.
- ✓ Possibilities & Judgement
  - o The machine provide feedback on humain jugement only.
- ✓ Trade-offs
  - o Offer real trade-offs between any set of two options;
  - Evaluative AI provides evidence both for and against each option, irrelevant of the judged likelihood of that option;
  - $\rightarrow$  *Option awareness* in the literature.

Differences with cognitive forcing

- **Control** Permit to explore hypothesis, not a single recommendation;
- ightarrow Built on the way we makes decision (identify, compare, choose)

# Long live XAI

- Evaluative AI is designed only toward decision making, Evaluative AI ⊂ XAI;
- XAI is still needed and more adapted to many situation (eg. making decision at scale);
- Recommendation based models: base of any XAI techniques;
- Many existing XAI tools are already adapted to Evaluative AI
  - Constrastive explanation;
  - o Feature importance (eg. SHAP).

### Limitation

- Why would people pay attention to evidence this time?
  - → Evaluative AI
    - · Better control;
    - · Process built on the way we makes naturally decision
      - $\rightarrow$  people would naturally follow
      - $\neq$  Contrary to recommendation-driven approches;
    - x Proof?
- Cognitive load remain a problem
  - → Evaluative AI still reduce the quantity of information the decision maker needs (only revelant information are presented)
    - × Still the less prefered solution by decision makers

## Limitation

• More introduction around automation bias needed;



# Bibliography

- Tim Miller. Explainable ai is dead, long live explainable ai! hypothesis-driven decision support using evaluative ai. In *Proceedings of the 2023 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, FAccT '23, pages 333–342, New York, NY, USA, 2023. Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9798400701924. doi: 10.1145/3593013.3594001. URL https://doi.org/10.1145/3593013.3594001.
- J. Frank Yates and Georges A. Potworowski. 198 Evidence-Based Decision Management. In *The Oxford Handbook of Evidence-Based Management*. Oxford University Press, 06 2012. ISBN 9780199763986. doi: 10.1093/0xfordhb/9780199763986.013.0012. URL https://doi.org/10.1093/0xfordhb/9780199763986.013.0012.

Beamer template from here 🖓