

The Hidden Power of Humble Interfaces

Aditya Mukerjee
@ChimeraCoder

GopherCon India 2016



Why use interfaces?

- Write less code
- Write robust code
- Write flexible code

Interfaces: The Basics

```
type error interface {  
    Error() string  
}
```

```
type Stringer interface {  
    String() string  
}
```

```
// GitObject represents a commit, tree, or blob.  
// Under the hood, these may be objects stored directly  
// or through packfiles  
type GitObject interface {  
    Type() string  
}
```

io.* interfaces

```
type Reader interface{
    Read(p []byte) (n int, err error)
}

type Writer interface {
    Write(p []byte) (n int, err error)
}

type Closer interface {
    Close() error
}
```

Composite interfaces

```
type ReadCloser interface {  
    Reader  
    Closer  
}
```

```
// ReadSeeker is the interface that groups the basic Read and Seek methods.  
type ReadSeeker interface {  
    Reader  
    Seeker  
}
```

Interface helper functions

```
func ReadAll(r io.Reader) ([]byte, error)
```

```
// NopCloser returns a ReadCloser with a no-op Close method wrapping  
// the provided Reader r.  
func NopCloser(r io.Reader) io.ReadCloser {  
    return nopCloser{r}  
}  
  
func (nopCloser) Close() error { return nil }
```

What makes the `io` interfaces powerful?

- Abstracting a lot of **common functionality**
- Lots of **granularity**
- Plethora of **helper functions**

What makes `error` powerful?

- Abstracts **no** functionality
- Provides **no** granularity
- Provides (almost) **no** helper functions

What makes `io.Reader` **not** powerful?

- Lifecycle management

```
func foo(filename string) (io.Reader, error) {  
    f, err := os.Open(filename)  
    //defer f.Close()  
    return f, err  
}
```

- Impedance mismatch of exact methods required

What makes `error` not powerful?

- Inconsistent convention around sentinel error values

```
var EOF = errors.New("EOF")
```

- `error == nil`

```
func returnsError() error {  
    var p *MyError = nil  
    if bad() {  
        p = ErrBad  
    }  
    return p // Will always return a non-nil error.  
}
```

What makes a powerful interface?

Lessons we can learn

- Keep interfaces **humble**
 - Writing interfaces forces you to **define** the minimum required contract for using your types

```
func parseFile(input io.Reader) (Config, error) {  
    // ...  
}
```

- Keep interfaces **disciplined**
 - Writing interfaces allows the compiler to **enforce** this contract

```
type Foo struct interface {  
  
}
```

Why Gophers avoid interfaces

- Afraid of writing an interface that is too complicated
- Afraid of specifying the wrong methods
- Optimizing for memory usage and garbage collection
- Easy initialization from a literal value
- Preventing others from implementing the interface

How I Learned To Stop Worrying and Love the interface

- Interfaces reveal the assumptions you're **already making**
- “If it's there, you will use it”

What kind of interface should I write?

Questions to ask yourself

- Is my interface **declarative** or **functional**?
- Do any interface methods require **complex transformation** of data?
- Will this interface have any closely-related 'sibling' interfaces?

```
type Handler interface {  
    ServeHTTP(ResponseWriter, *Request)  
}
```

```
// A FileInfo describes a file and is returned by Stat and Lstat.  
type FileInfo interface {  
    Name() string      // base name of the file  
    Size() int64       // length in bytes for regular files; system-dependent for others  
    Mode() FileMode    // file mode bits  
    ModTime() time.Time // modification time  
    IsDir() bool        // abbreviation for Mode().IsDir()  
    Sys() interface{}  // underlying data source (can return nil)  
}
```

And *how* should I design these interfaces?

Writing interfaces like `io.*`

- Don't write helper methods until you actually find you need them
- The contracts provided by each should be **minimal**
- Create composite interface types

Writing interfaces like `error`

- Provide canonical **sentinel values**, if relevant
- Provide a **default implementation**, if relevant

Techniques for fine-tuning interfaces

- Use an **unexported method** in an interface to restrict implementation
- Pair exported structs with an interface type that is used in all **function signatures**
- Create **unexported structs** to implement your interfaces

What if structs could never be exported?

Aditya Mukerjee
@chimeracoder
<https://github.com/ChimeraCoder>

