CS 1510: Homework 6

Kyra F. Lee kfl5@pitt.edu

Zach Sadler zps6@pitt.edu

John Hofrichter jmh162@pitt.edu

September 11, 2013

Greedy Algorithm

Problem 11

Assume, to yield a contradiction, that there exists an input I for which the greedy algorithm does not yield optimal output. Let G(I) be the greedy algorithm's output, and O(I) be the optimal schedule that agrees with G(I) for the most initial rows.

Let r_k be the first row where G(I) and O(I) differ. That is, there exist at least one pair of columns c_i and c_j such that G(I) has a 1 in (r_k, c_i) but not in (r_k, c_j) , and O(I) has a 1 in (r_k, c_j) but not in (r_k, c_i) .

Since r_k is the first row where they disagree, we know that both c_i and c_j still need to be assigned at least one more 1 in row r_{k-1} . By the definition of the greedy algorithm, we know that c_j does not need more 1s than c_i after r_{k-1} , and, because c_j and not c_i is assigned a 1 in r_k in O(I), c_i must need strictly more 1s than c_j after r_k in O(I).

Construct a new output, O'(I), by copying O(I), but swapping the 1s in all pairs of (r_k, c_j) s and (r_k, c_i) s so that r_k in O'(I) agrees with r_k in G(I). Because we know that for every c_i, c_j pair, c_i needs more 1s than c_j , there must be at least one row $r_m, m > k$ where (r_k, c_i) but not (r_k, c_j) has a 1 in O(I). For every c_i, c_j pair, swap the 0 and 1 in r_m too.

O'(I) agrees with G(I) for one more row than O(I) does, because there is at least one (r_k, c_j) , (r_k, c_i) swap in r_k .

For every set of affected locations, $(r_k, c_i), (r_k, c_j), (r_m, c_i), (r_m, c_j)$, we have swapped 1s from (r_k, c_j) and (r_m, c_i) to (r_k, c_i) and (r_m, c_j) . This diago-

nal switch swap maintains the number of 1s in all affected rows and columns. Thus, we show that if O(I) is optimal, then O'(I) is as well.

Contradiction, for we assumed that O(I) was the optimal solution that agreed with G(I) for the maximum number of initial rows.

Therefore, the greedy algorithm yields an optimal solution for all inputs. QED.

Dynamic Programming

Problem 1a

A naive recursive implementation which does not save values as it solves smaller problems would have to recalculate each value T(n) at each point. So to calculate T(n+1) would require:

$$T(n+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1-1} T(i)T(i-1)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} T(i)T(i-1)$$

$$= T(n)T(n-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} T(i)T(i-1)$$

$$= T(n)T(n-1) + T(n)$$

$$\geq T(n) + T(n)$$

$$= 2T(n)$$

That is, T(n+1) requires twice as many calculations as T(n), and so the program is exponential in n.

Problem 1b

If we count the number of operations we have for T(n), we can see that we have

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} T(i)T(i-1)$$

= $T(n-1)T(n-2) + T(n-2)T(n-3) + \dots + T(1)T(0)$

so we have n-1 summands, each of which is two terms multiplied together, which is O(n) operations total. However, it requires O(n) operations to generate each of the T(i) terms which we use in the sum (and we generate these terms exactly once each), so we have $O(n^2)$ operations total.

Problem 1c

A simpler algorithm which uses only O(n) arithmetic operations is a dynamic programming solution:

```
T(n):

T[0] = T[1] = 2

for i = 2 \cdots n - 1 do

T[i] = T[i-1] * T[i-2] + T[i-1]

end for

return T[n-1]T[n-2] + T[n-1]
```