


Thomas Klemm

From: Diana Lin
Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2016 3:08 PM
To: 'Leron Ben'; Shane Creamer
Cc: Maya Nayak; Michael Cooke; Jordan Segall
Subject: RE: Creamer v. Ben, Matter No. 1510ET17
Attachments: Letter to Parties re Rulings on Additional Objection and Request - 02 23 2016.pdf

Please find attached correspondence related to Administrative Adjudication Matter #1510ET17.

Sincerely,
Diana

Diana J. Lin, Esq.
Associate General Counsel
City of Philadelphia Board of Ethics
One Parkway Building, 18th Floor
1515 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19102
diana.lin@phila.gov
215.686.9450 (t)
215.686.9453 (f)
www.phila.gov/ethicsboard
www.twitter.com/PhillyEthicsBd



CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

BOARD OF ETHICS
ONE PARKWAY BUILDING
1515 Arch Street
18TH Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102-1504
(215) 686 – 9450
FAX 686 – 9453

Confidential

February 23, 2016

Via email and certified mail

Leron Ben Shoshan
22 Evergreen Way
Philadelphia, PA 19115
rent2158332547@gmail.com

Via email

J. Shane Creamer, Jr.
Executive Director
Philadelphia Board of Ethics
1515 Arch Street, 18th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102
shane.creamer@phila.gov

Re: Administrative Adjudication Matter #1510ET17

Dear Parties:

Board of Ethics' Hearing Officer Richard Glazer has considered the Executive Director's February 19, 2016 request to supplement his pre-hearing memorandum exhibit list to add Respondent's February 18, 2016 email. Hearing Officer Glazer has also considered Respondent's objection to the Executive Director subpoenaing certain City witnesses. This letter sets forth Hearing Officer Glazer's rulings.

Hearing Officer Glazer grants the Executive Director's request to supplement the exhibits listed in the Executive Director's pre-hearing memorandum by adding the email Respondent sent to Diana Lin the morning of February 18, 2016.

Hearing Officer Glazer overrules Respondent's objection to the Executive Director subpoenaing certain City witnesses. Each party shall be responsible for the service of that party's own subpoenas.

Further, Respondent has stated that he no longer requires the testimony of Lisa Brown at the hearing. If Respondent no longer requires Lisa Brown to appear to testify at the hearing, he should not serve the subpoena Hearing Officer Glazer issued to Ms. Brown on his behalf.


Re: Administrative Adjudication Matter #1510ET17

February 23, 2016

Page 2 of 2

Please contact me with any questions about applicable procedures.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Diana Lin", written in a cursive style.

Diana Lin
Associate General Counsel

cc: Maya Nayak, General Counsel