

# Methods for Detecting Intraspecific Natural Selection

## Goal

Our goal is to explore approaches and methods, which seek to identify regions of the genome with signatures of natural selection. We will use real genomic data and two classes of tests: one based on population differentiation and another based on extended haplotype homozygosity.

## Dataset

Whole genome sequencing data by NGS (WG-NGS) from the 1000 Genomes Project phase III can be accessed through the link:

<ftp://ftp.1000genomes.ebi.ac.uk/vol1/ftp/release/20130502/>

## Data pre-processing

To optimize our time, we will analyze a pre-processed dataset for chromosome 2 corresponding to individuals sampled from the African (504 individuals), European (503 individuals), and East Asian (504 individuals) populations of the 1000 Genomes).

For now, repeating these filters is unnecessary, but here are the commands used.

- In vcftools software, remove the INDELs and singletons (~1h)

```
vcftools --gzvcf ALL.chr2.phase3_shapeit2_mvncall_integrated_v5a.20130502.genotypes.vcf.gz -  
-remove-indels --min-alleles 2 --max-alleles 2 --maf 0.001 --max-maf 0.999 --recode --out SNPs_  
Chr2_filter
```

- In vcftools, select samples of individuals from the AFR, EAS and EUR populations (~ 30min) and filter to maf 0.05

```
vcftools --vcf SNPs_Ch2_filter.recode.vcf --keep pop_AFR_EAS_EUR_1000g.txt --min-alleles 2 --  
max-alleles 2 --maf 0.05 --max-maf 0.95 --recode --out SNPs_Ch2_AFR_EUR_EAS_maf
```

- In vcftools, select individual samples for each population

```
vcftools --vcf SNPs_Ch2_AFR_EUR_EAS_maf.recode.vcf --keep pop_AFR_1000g.txt --recode --o  
ut SNPs_Ch2_AFR_maf &
```

```
vcftools --vcf SNPs_Ch2_AFR_EUR_EAS_maf.recode.vcf --keep pop_EAS_1000g.txt --recode --ou  
t SNPs_Ch2_EAS_maf &
```

```
vcftools --vcf SNPs_Ch2_AFR_EUR_EAS_maf.recode.vcf --keep pop_EUR_1000g.txt --recode --o  
utSNPs_Ch2_EUR_maf &
```

- Using vcfTools, we estimate the Fst index between pairs of populations (~20 min each)

```
/vcftools --vcf ./dados/SNPs_Chr2_AFR_EUR_EAS_maf.recode.vcf --out AFR_EAS_maf --chr 2 --weir-fst-pop ./dados/pop_AFR_1000g.txt --weir-fst-pop ./dados/pop_EAS_1000g.txt &
```

```
vcftools --vcf ./dados/SNPs_Chr2_AFR_EUR_EAS_maf.recode.vcf --out AFR_EUR_maf --chr 2 --weir-fst-pop ./dados/pop_AFR_1000g.txt --weir-fst-pop ./dados/pop_EUR_1000g.txt &
```

```
vcftools --vcf ./dados/SNPs_Chr2_AFR_EUR_EAS_maf.recode.vcf --out EAS_EUR_maf --chr 2 --weir-fst-pop ./dados/pop_EAS_1000g.txt --weir-fst-pop ./dados/pop_EUR_1000g.txt &
```

## Let's practice

### Investigating a “Candidate Gene”

We refer to a “candidate gene” when we investigate whether there is evidence of natural selection in it based on previous results suggesting that it is a possible target for selection.

Detecting signatures of natural selection in the genome has the twofold meaning of (i) understanding which adaptive processes shaped genetic variation and (ii) identifying putative functional variants. In the case of humans, biological pathways enriched with selection signatures include pigmentation ([Wilde et al. 2014](#)), pathogen responses ([Klunk et al. 2022](#); [Couto-Silva, Nunes et al. 2023](#)), and metabolic processes ([Acuña-Alonzo et al. 2010](#)).

The human Ectodysplasin A receptor gene, or [EDAR](#), is part of the EDA signaling pathway which specifies prenatally the location, size, and shape of ectodermal appendages (such as hair follicles, teeth, and glands). *EDAR* is a textbook example of positive selection in East Asians ([Sabeti et al. 2007](#)) with genomic and functional experiments corroborating it. Also, genome-wide association studies found the same functional variant in *EDAR* associated hair morphology ([Fujimoto et al. 2008](#)) and incisor shape ([Kimura et al. 2009](#)) in East Asia populations and with several human facial traits (ear shape and chin protrusion) in Native American populations ([Adhikari et al. 2016](#)). Another plausible hypothesis stated that *EDAR* acted with *FADS* and *VDRs* genes in the Beringia Standstill ([Hlusko et al. 2018](#)), allowing these populations to survive in this extreme environment.

# Natural Selection Tests

## PART I

### GENETIC DIFFERENTIATION AS EVIDENCE OF SELECTION (FST-BASED METHODS)

Through the exercises, discuss and answer the following questions:

1. The estimate of  $F_{st}$  by the Weir and Cockerham metric can sometimes generate negative values and “NA.” What does that mean? How can this interfere with the results?
2. The  $F_{st}$  values observed between pairs of populations for the SNP rs3827760 (position 109513601) fall within which distribution quantiles of  $F_{st}$  values for the studied chromosome? Can they be considered outliers?
3. From the observed  $F_{st}$  values between population pairs and the significance estimates, what can we say about the rs3827760 SNP differentiation between populations?
4. Discuss how these results justify performing another type of analysis based on PBS (population branch statistics).
5. What does the PBS analysis reveal? What is the difference between PBS and  $F_{ST}$  analysis?

### Use R to run the following commands

- Read the files with the  $F_{st}$  estimates (AFR\_EUR.weir.fst, AFR\_EAS.weir.fst and EAS\_EUR.weir.fst)
- **The files to download are at:**  
[https://github.com/HunemeierLab/EMBO\\_Practical\\_Course\\_2023](https://github.com/HunemeierLab/EMBO_Practical_Course_2023)

```
names_header <- c("CHROM", "POS", "WEIR_AND_COCKERHAM_FST", "NUM", "DEN")
FST_AFR_EAS <- read.table("AFR_EAS.weir.fst", header=F, skip=1, col.names=names_header)
FST_AFR_EUR <- read.table("AFR_EUR.weir.fst", header=F, skip=1, col.names=names_header)
FST_EAS_EUR <- read.table("EAS_EUR.weir.fst", header=F, skip=1, col.names=names_header)
```

- Eliminate duplicate positions

```
FST_AFR_EAS_filter <- FST_AFR_EAS[!duplicated(FST_AFR_EAS$POS),]
FST_AFR_EUR_filter <- FST_AFR_EUR[!duplicated(FST_AFR_EUR$POS),]
FST_EAS_EUR_filter <- FST_EAS_EUR[!duplicated(FST_EAS_EUR$POS),]
```

- Take a look at the file format

```
head(FST_AFR_EAS_filter)
tail(FST_AFR_EAS_filter)
```

## The estimation of FST by Weir and Cockerham can sometimes generate negative values, and Na. What does this mean?

- Exclude positions whose FST result was equal to Na

```
FST_AfrEas_data <- FST_AFR_EAS_filter[-which(is.na(FST_AFR_EAS_filter[,3])),]
FST_AfrEur_data <- FST_AFR_EUR_filter[-which(is.na(FST_AFR_EUR_filter[,3])),]
FST_EasEur_data <- FST_EAS_EUR_filter[-which(is.na(FST_EAS_EUR_filter[,3])),]
```

- Overlap dataset SNPs

```
overlap_AfrEas_AfrEur <- FST_AfrEas_data[FST_AfrEas_data$POS %in% FST_AfrEur_data$POS,]
overlap_AfrEasEur_EasEur <- overlap_AfrEas_AfrEur[overlap_AfrEas_AfrEur$POS %in% FST_EasEur_data$POS,]
FST_AfrEas_data_clean <- FST_AfrEas_data[FST_AfrEas_data$POS %in% overlap_AfrEasEur_EasEur$POS,]
FST_AfrEur_data_clean <- FST_AfrEur_data[FST_AfrEur_data$POS %in% overlap_AfrEasEur_EasEur$POS,]
FST_EasEur_data_clean <- FST_EasEur_data[FST_EasEur_data$POS %in% overlap_AfrEasEur_EasEur$POS,]
```

- Convert positions with estimates from  $FST < 0$  to  $= 0$

```
FST_AfrEas_data_clean[which(FST_AfrEas_data_clean[,3]<0),3] <- 0
FST_AfrEur_data_clean[which(FST_AfrEur_data_clean[,3]<0),3] <- 0
FST_EasEur_data_clean[which(FST_EasEur_data_clean[,3]<0),3] <- 0
```

Now with the data filtered and matched, we can start the analysis.

- Check whether the SNP at position 109513601 is an outlier in relation to the other SNPs on chromosome 2. To do so, follow these steps:

```

POS <- 109513601

FST_AfrEas_data_clean[FST_AfrEas_data_clean$POS==POS,]

FST_AfrEur_data_clean[FST_AfrEur_data_clean$POS==POS,]

FST_EasEur_data_clean[FST_EasEur_data_clean$POS==POS,]

```

#### b. FST values by quantile

```

FST_AfrEas_distr <- sort(FST_AfrEas_data_clean[,3])
FST_AfrEur_distr <- sort(FST_AfrEur_data_clean[,3])
FST_EasEur_distr <- sort(FST_EasEur_data_clean[,3])

FST_AfrEas_distrQT <- quantile(FST_AfrEas_distr, c(0.01, 0.05, 0.1, .25, .50, .75, .90, 0.95, .99))
FST_AfrEas_distrQT

FST_AfrEur_distrQT <- quantile(FST_AfrEur_distr, c(0.01, 0.05, 0.1, .25, .50, .75, .90, 0.95, .99))
FST_AfrEur_distrQT

FST_EasEur_distrQT <- quantile(FST_EasEur_distr, c(0.01, 0.05, 0.1, .25, .50, .75, .90, 0.95, .99))
FST_EasEur_distrQT

```

### Plot 10000 base pairs adjacent to the SNP at position 109513601.

- Delimit the region of interest to 10000bp adjacent

```

SNPfrom_BP <- POS - 10000
SNPto_BP <- POS + 10000

```

- How many SNPs are in this bounded region?

```

SNPfrom_id_AfrEas <- max(which(FST_AfrEas_data_clean[,2]<=SNPfrom_BP))
SNPto_id_Afr_Eas <- min(which(FST_AfrEas_data_clean[,2]>=SNPto_BP))
length(FST_AfrEas_data_clean[SNPfrom_BP:SNPto_BP, 2])

SNPfrom_id_AfrEur <- max(which(FST_AfrEur_data_clean[,2]<=SNPfrom_BP))
SNPto_id_Afr_Eur <- min(which(FST_AfrEur_data_clean[,2]>=SNPto_BP))
length(FST_AfrEur_data_clean[SNPfrom_BP:SNPto_BP, 2])

SNPfrom_id_EasEur <- max(which(FST_EasEur_data_clean[,2]<=SNPfrom_BP))
SNPto_id_EasEur <- min(which(FST_EasEur_data_clean[,2]>=SNPto_BP))
length(FST_EasEur_data_clean[SNPfrom_BP:SNPto_BP, 2])

```

- Select from the FST\_AfrEur\_data the region of interest

```
FSTdata_SNP_AfrEas <- FST_AfrEas_data_clean[SNPfrom_id_AfrEas:SNPto_id_Afr_Eas, ]
head(FSTdata_SNP_AfrEas)
```

```
FSTdata_SNP_AfrEur <- FST_AfrEur_data_clean[SNPfrom_id_AfrEur:SNPto_id_Afr_Eur, ]
```

```
FSTdata_SNP_EasEur <- FST_EasEur_data_clean[SNPfrom_id_EasEur:SNPto_id_EasEur, ]
```

## Make a plot

- AfrEas

```
plot(ylim=c(0,1), x=FSTdata_SNP_AfrEas[,2], y=FSTdata_SNP_AfrEas[,3], xlab='pos', ylab='FST AF
R EAS', pch=20, cex=1.5)
```

```
points(x=FSTdata_SNP_AfrEas[which(FSTdata_SNP_AfrEas[,2]==POS),2], y=FSTdata_SNP_AfrEas
[which(FSTdata_SNP_AfrEas[,2]==POS),3], col='blue', cex=2)
```

```
abline(h=FST_AfrEas_distrQT[[8]], lty=2)
```

- AfrEur

```
plot(ylim=c(0,1), x=FSTdata_SNP_AfrEur[,2], y=FSTdata_SNP_AfrEur[,3], xlab='pos', ylab='FST AF
R EUR', pch=20, cex=1.5)
```

```
points(x=FSTdata_SNP_AfrEur[which(FSTdata_SNP_AfrEur[,2]==POS),2], y=FSTdata_SNP_AfrEur
[which(FSTdata_SNP_AfrEur[,2]==POS),3], col='blue', cex=2)
```

```
abline(h=FST_AfrEur_distrQT[[8]], lty=2)
```

- Eas Eur

```
plot(ylim=c(0,1), x=FSTdata_SNP_EasEur[,2], y=FSTdata_SNP_EasEur[,3], xlab='pos', ylab='FST EA
S EUR', pch=20, cex=1.5)
```

```
points(x=FSTdata_SNP_EasEur[which(FSTdata_SNP_EasEur[,2]==POS),2], y=FSTdata_SNP_EasEu
r[which(FSTdata_SNP_EasEur[,2]==POS),3], col='blue', cex=2)
```

```
abline(h=FST_EasEur_distrQT[[8]], lty=2)
```

## Can the candidate SNP be considered an outlier in all populations? What is the interpretation of this result?

- Estimate the p-value for the candidate SNP from the distribution of FST values

AfrEas

```
p_value_out_FST_AfrEas <- sum(FST_AfrEas_data_clean$WEIR_AND_COCKERHAM_FST >= FST_AfrEas_data_clean[FST_AfrEas_data_clean$POS == 109513601, 3]) / nrow(FST_AfrEas_data_clean)
p_value_out_FST_AfrEas
```

AfrEur

```
p_value_out_FST_AfrEur <- sum(FST_AfrEur_data_clean$WEIR_AND_COCKERHAM_FST >= FST_AfrEur_data_clean[FST_AfrEur_data_clean$POS == 109513601, 3]) / nrow(FST_AfrEur_data_clean)
p_value_out_FST_AfrEur
```

EurEas

```
p_value_out_FST_EasEur <- sum(FST_EasEur_data_clean$WEIR_AND_COCKERHAM_FST >= FST_EasEur_data_clean[FST_EasEur_data_clean$POS == 109513601, 3]) / nrow(FST_EasEur_data_clean)
p_value_out_FST_EasEur
```

## POPULATION BRANCH STATISTICS (PBS)

### Perform PBS test, using EAS as candidate population for selection

```
PBS_EAS <- ((-log(1-FST_AfrEas_data_clean$WEIR_AND_COCKERHAM_FST))+(-log(1-FST_EasEur_data_clean$WEIR_AND_COCKERHAM_FST)))-(-log(1-FST_AfrEur_data_clean$WEIR_AND_COCKERHAM_FST)))/2
```

### Convert negative values to 0

```
PBS_EAS[which(PBS_EAS<0)] <- 0
```

### Table the position information, FST values between population pairs, and PBS values.

```
fst_pbs<-as.data.frame(cbind(FST_EasEur_data_clean$POS, FST_AfrEas_data_clean$WEIR_AND_COCKERHAM_FST, FST_AfrEur_data_clean$WEIR_AND_COCKERHAM_FST, FST_EasEur_data_clean$WEIR_AND_COCKERHAM_FST, PBS_EAS), stringsAsFactors=FALSE)
```

```
head(fst_pbs)
```

### Check the PBS value for the candidate SNP

```
pbs_EDAR<-fst_pbs[fst_pbs$V1==POS,]
```

```
pbs_EDAR
```

### Plot a region 10000 base pairs adjacent to the candidate SNP at position 109513601.

### 10000 base pairs adjacent to candidate SNP

```
SNP_FROM <- POS - 10000
```

```
SNP_TO <- POS + 10000
```

```
SNPfrom_PBS <- max(which(fst_pbs[,1]<=SNP_FROM))
```

```
SNPto_PBS <- min(which(fst_pbs[,1]>=SNP_TO))
```

```
length(fst_pbs[SNPfrom_PBS:SNPto_PBS, 1])
```



## Subset the candidate SNP region

```
subset_fst_PBS <- fst_pbs[SNPfrom_PBS:SNPto_PBS, ]  
head(subset_fst_PBS)  
  
subset_fst_PBS[subset_fst_PBS$V1==109513601,]
```

## Plot

```
plot(ylim=c(0,2.5), x=subset_fst_PBS[,1], y=subset_fst_PBS[,5], xlab='pos', ylab='PBS', pch=20, ce  
x=1.5)  
points(x=subset_fst_PBS[which(subset_fst_PBS$V1==POS),1], y=subset_fst_PBS[which(subset_f  
st_PBS$V1==POS),5], col='blue', cex=2)  
  
PBS_distrQT <- quantile(PBS_EAS, c(0.01, 0.05, 0.1, .25, .50, .75, .90, 0.95, .99))  
PBS_distrQT # estimar os quantils  
  
abline(h=PBS_distrQT[[9]], lty=2) # plotar a linha
```

**# Based on the tests applied so far, what can we conclude?**

## PART II

### EXTENDED HAPLOTYPE HOMOZYGOSITY (EHH)

Different approaches are able to detect genomic signatures of selection at different timescales. More recent selection signals can be detected from the extended haplotype homozygosity approach.

**With the following exercises, we seek to answer the following questions:**

- 1) How is the haplotype profile of genetic variants under recent positive selection?
- 2) What is the profile of ancestral and derived haplotypes of the rs3827760 SNP in AFR and EAS?
- 3) The iHS score observed for the SNP rs3827760 fall within which distribution quantiles of iHS values for the studied chromosome? Can they be considered an outlier? How can we make this analysis more robust?
- 4) What information does the xp-EHH analysis add about natural selection in the candidate SNP?

### Use R to run the following commands

- Load rehh R package

```
library("rehh")
```

### What is the profile of ancestral and derived haplotypes of the rs3827760 SNP in AFR and EAS?

- Convert the data to haplohh format

```
data1<-data2haplohh(hap_file = "Chr2_EDAR_LWK_500K.recode.vcf", polarize_vcf = F, vcf_reader = "data.table")
```

```
data2<-data2haplohh(hap_file = "Chr2_EDAR_CHS_500K.recode.vcf", polarize_vcf = F, vcf_reader = "data.table")
```

- Calculate the EHH for the candidate SNP AFR

```
ehh_calc_AFR<-calc_ehh(data1, mrk = "rs3827760")  
ehh_calc_AFR
```

- Calculate the EHH for the candidate SNP EAS

```
ehh_calc_EAS<-calc_ehh(data2, mrk = "rs3827760")  
ehh_calc_EAS
```

- PLOT EHH around "rs3827760"

AFR

```
plot(ehh_calc_AFR)
```

EUR

```
plot(ehh_calc_EAS)
```

- Calculate furcation trees around a candidate SNP

AFR

```
furcation<-calc_furcation(data1, mrk="rs3827760")  
plot(furcation)
```

EAS

```
furcation<-calc_furcation(data2, mrk="rs3827760")  
plot(furcation)
```

## The iHS score observed for the SNP rs3827760 fall within which distribution quantiles of iHS values for the studied chromosome? Can they be considered an outlier? How can we make this analysis more robust?

The integrated haplotype Score measures the amount of extended haplotype homozygosity at a given SNP along the ancestral allele relative to the derived allele. This measure is typically standardized empirically to the distribution of observed iHS scores over a range of SNPs with similarly derived allele frequencies.

- Calculate the EHH for all SNPs in the file (~5min)

```
AFR<-scan_hh(data1)
```

```
EAS<-scan_hh(data2)
```

- Check eHH statistics for candidate SNP

```
AFR[AFR$POSITION==109513601,]
```

```
EAS[EAS$POSITION==109513601,]
```

- Estimate the iHS

```
iHS.AFR<-ihh2ihs(AFR, min_maf = 0.02, freqbin = 0.01)
```

```
iHS.EAS<-ihh2ihs(EAS, min_maf = 0.02, freqbin = 0.01)
```

- Check the iHS score for the candidate SNP

```
iHS.AFR$ihs[iHS.AFR$ihs$POSITION==109513601,]
```

```
iHS.EAS$ihs[iHS.EAS$ihs$POSITION==109513601,]
```

- Plot the iHS score

```
plot(iHS.EAS$ihs$POSITION, iHS.EAS$ihs$IHS, col=ifelse(iHS.EAS$ihs$POSITION==109513601, "red", "black"), pch=19)
abline(h=c(2,-2), lty=2)
abline(v=c(109500000,109605000), col=c("red", "red"), lty=c(2,2), lwd=c(1, 1))
```

As we are looking at haplotypes, several individual SNPs have outlier values. One way to make the analysis more robust is to average a window of SNPs.

Let's test this approach on sliding windows.

- Create a function to estimate the mean in sliding windows.

```
slideFunc <- function(data, window, step){
  total <- length(data)
  spots <- seq(from = 1, to = (total - window + 1), by = step)
  result <- vector(length = length(spots))
  for(i in 1:length(spots)){
    result[i] <- mean(abs(data[spots[i]:(spots[i] + window - 1)]), na.rm=TRUE)
  }
  return(result)
}
```

- Estimate the mean over a window of 50 SNPs with steps of 40 SNPs.

```
mean_iHS <- slideFunc(iHS.EAS$ihs$IHS, 50,40)
```

- Identify the starting position of each window

```
slidePos <- function(data, window, step){
  total <- length(data)
  spots <- seq(from = 1, to = (total - window + 1), by = step)
  result <- vector(length = length(spots))
  for(i in 1:length(spots)){
    result[i] <- data[spots[i]]
  }
  return(result)
}

pos_wind_Eas <- slidePos(iHS.EAS$ihs$POSITION, 50,40)
```

- Put the position information and average iHS in a table

```
wind_iHS <- as.data.frame(cbind(pos_wind_Eas, mean_iHS), stringsAsFactors=FALSE)
```

- Identify the window which contains the candidate SNP

```
Row_WIND_iHS <- wind_iHS[wind_iHS$pos_wind_Eas<=109513601,]
POS_WIND_iHS<-max(wind_iHS[nrow(Row_WIND_iHS),])
wind_iHS[wind_iHS$pos_wind_Eas==POS_WIND_iHS,]
```

- Plot the mean iHS per window

```
plot(ylim=c(0,1.5), x=wind_iHS[,1], y=wind_iHS[,2], xlab='pos', ylab='iHS windows', pch=20, cex=1.5)
points(x=wind_iHS[which(wind_iHS[,1]==POS_WIND_iHS),1], y=wind_iHS[which(wind_iHS[,1]==POS_WIND_iHS),2], col='red', cex=2)
```

- Distribute iHS window values in quantiles

```
windiHS_distrQT <- quantile(wind_iHS$mean_iHS, c(0.01, 0.05, 0.1, .25, .50, .75, .90, 0.95, .99), na.rm=T)
windiHS_distrQT
```

- Add the cut line for the quartile to the graph

```
plot(ylim=c(0,1.5), x=wind_iHS[,1], y=wind_iHS[,2], xlab='pos', ylab='iHS windows', pch=20, cex=1.5)
points(x=wind_iHS[which(wind_iHS[,1]==POS_WIND_iHS),1], y=wind_iHS[which(wind_iHS[,1]==POS_WIND_iHS),2], col='red', cex=2)
abline(h=windiHS_distrQT[[7]], lty=2)
```

## What information does the xp-EHH analysis add about natural selection in the candidate SNP?

Cross-population extended haplotype homozygosity (xp-EHH) method was developed to detect selective sweeps in which the selected allele has approached or achieved fixation in one population but remains polymorphic in the other.

Our candidate SNP is not polymorphic in Africans, but for the purposes of the exercise, let's perform windowed xp-EHH analysis on SNPs adjacent to rs3827760.

- Calculate the xp-EHH between EAS e AFR

```
xpEHH.EAS.AFR<-ies2xpehh(EAS,AFR)
```

- Calculate the average xp-EHH per 50 SNP window with 40 SNP steps

```
mean_xpEHH <- slideFunc(xpEHH.EAS.AFR$XPEHH, 50,40)
```

- Identify the starting position of each window

```
pos_wind_Eas <- slidePos(xpEHH.EAS.AFR$POSITION, 50,40)
```

- Put the position information and average xpEHH in a table

```
wind_xpEHH <- as.data.frame(cbind(pos_wind_Eas, mean_xpEHH), stringsAsFactors=FALSE)
```

- Identify the window which contains the candidate SNP

```
Row_WIND_xpEHH <- wind_xpEHH[wind_xpEHH$pos_wind_Eas<=109513601,]
POS_WIND_xpEHH<-max(wind_xpEHH[nrow(Row_WIND_xpEHH),])
wind_xpEHH[wind_xpEHH$pos_wind_Eas==POS_WIND_xpEHH,]
```

- Plot the mean xpEHH per window

```
plot(ylim=c(0,2.05), x=wind_xpEHH[,1], y=wind_xpEHH[,2], xlab='pos', ylab='xpEHH windows', pc
h=20, cex=1.5)
points(x=wind_xpEHH[which(wind_xpEHH[,1]==POS_WIND_xpEHH),1], y=wind_xpEHH[which(w
ind_xpEHH[,1]==POS_WIND_xpEHH),2], col='red', cex=2)
```

- Distribute xpEHH window values in quantiles

```
windxpEHH_distrQT <- quantile(wind_xpEHH$mean_xpEHH, c(0.01, 0.05, 0.1, .25, .50, .75, .90,
0.95, .99), na.rm=T)
windxpEHH_distrQT
```

- Add the cut line for the quartile to the graph and outline the candidate gene region

```
plot(ylim=c(0,2.05), x=wind_xpEHH[,1], y=wind_xpEHH[,2], xlab='pos', ylab='xpEHH windows', pc
h=20, cex=1.5)
points(x=wind_xpEHH[which(wind_xpEHH[,1]==POS_WIND_xpEHH),1], y=wind_xpEHH[which(w
ind_xpEHH[,1]==POS_WIND_xpEHH),2], col='red', cex=2)

abline(h= windxpEHH_distrQT [[8]], lty=2)
abline(v=c(109500000,109605000), col="red")
```

## PART III

Hlusko et al. (2018) using morphological data, found a strong selection signal in the *EDAR* gene in Native Americans. Although the proposed hypothesis is well supported, there was not enough data to perform genomic selection tests at that time. With that in mind, and using the additional database (1KGP Peruvian samples with over 95% Native American Ancestry), answer the following questions:

1. Is the functional allele in East Asian at high frequency in other human populations (e.g. Native Americans)?
2. Can we identify signatures of natural selection on *EDAR* in Native Americans using PBS?
3. Is selection targeting the same functional variant?
4. What is your conclusion based on data generated?