- Toolchain for C++17
 - compilers
 - clang 6.0 or newer
 - gcc 7.0 or newer
 - MSVC 2017 15.8.1 or newer
 - XCode 10.0 (requires OS X 10.13 or newer)
 - CMake
 - 3.8 or newer
 - Before you upgrade, verify with the vendor of any third party library, even boost, that it works with C++17

- Interview Questions / Unique Pointers
 - O What is std::unique_ptr?
 - What std containers can store a std::unique_ptr?

- Interview Questions / Unique Pointers
 - O What is std::unique_ptr?
 - std::unique_ptr is a replacement for std::auto_ptr which was removed in C++17
 - used to manage a resource or a heap allocated object
 - must own the object it points to
 - it uses move semantics, it can not be copied or assigned
 - std::make_unique added in C++14
 - templated function, creates an object and returns a unique_ptr
 - std::make_unique<std::string>("Hello C++17");

- Interview Question / Unique Pointers
 - What std containers can store a std::unique_ptr?
 - container must support elements which are "move only"
 - if the container requires copying elements (like during a resize) it can not store a unique_ptr
 - when a unique_ptr is moved into a container the original ptr transfers ownership to the container
 - most every std container supports move only types, however not every operation can be called
 - operator=(), assign(), copy constructor, etc

- Interview Question / Unique Pointers
 - Output How do you capture std::unique_ptr in a lambda expression?
 - use a generalized lambda capture (from C++14) to "move capture"
 - capture by value requires a copy

- Generic Lambdas
 - added in C++14
 - data type for at least one parameter must be auto
 - not every parameter needs to be generic
 - o generated "code" results in an operator() which is a template
 - C++20 adds the ability to use the template syntax or auto (or mixed) when defining lambda expressions **

```
auto lamb = [ ] (auto var1, int var2) { return var1 + var2; }
```

• Bonus Round: Is "function template argument deduction" equivalent to "auto type deduction"? Which one is yellow, which one is purple?

- Interview Questions
 - Which ones are valid code, duplicates, or meaningless?
 - 1. const
 - 2. constexpr
 - 3. constexpr const
 - 4. static constexpr const
 - 5. constexpr static const
 - 6. if constexpr
 - 7. constexpr if

- Interview Questions
 - Which ones are valid code, duplicates, or meaningless?
 - const
 - part of the data type
 - some code promises not to change something
 - for a pointer or method
 - location of the keyword const yields a different result

What is the worst answer you can give to the following question,
 "What does const mean?"

- Interview Questions
 - Which ones are valid code, duplicates, or meaningless?
 - constexpr
 - part of the declaration but not part of a data type
 - asks the compiler to evaluate and run code at compile time
 - compiler is not always required to do the work at compile time
 - usually it will, however there is no guarantee or way to check
 - constexpr const
 - For a constexpr method, what changed in C++14?

- Interview Questions
 - For a constexpr method, what changed in C++14?
 - a constexpr method was *always* const qualified in C++11
 - with C++14 this restriction was removed
 - Is "constexpr" the same as a "constant expression"?
 - no they are not

- Interview Questions
 - Which ones are valid code, duplicates, or meaningless?
 - static constexpr const
 - both of these are identical after compiling
 - constexpr static const
 - our preferred ordering
 - returns a const reference to an int
 - static means the method can be called without an object

constexpr static const int & someMethod(int var);

- Interview Questions
 - Which ones are valid code, duplicates, or meaningless?
 - if constexpr (condition)
 - the condition must be something which can be determined at compile time
 - only one branch is taken, others are discarded at compile time
 - Does the discarded code need to compile?
 - constexpr if
 - original proposal contained this wording, rejected
 - not valid code

Constexpr Lambda Expression

- o C++14
 - not allowed to have constexpr lambda expression
- C++17

0

- implicitly qualified with constexpr if the body and capture clause meet the requirements for constexpr
 - capture list must only contain "literal data types"
- you can add constexpr however it is meaningless since this will be deduced by the compiler

- Interview Questions / Constexpr Lambda Expression
 - What is a literal data type?
 - Are there good use cases for a constexpr lambda expressions?

- Interview Questions / Constexpr Lambda Expression
 - What is a literal data type?
 - a class or struct which has a trivial destructor
 - has a constructor which can be called at compile time
 - data type which does not require allocation (C++17)
 - important definition to understand since constexpr variables must be literal data types
 - constexpr functions can only work with literal data types

- Interview Questions / Constexpr Lambda Expression
 - Are there good use cases for a constexpr lambda expression?
 - it was inconsistent that lambda expressions were restricted whereas a function object could be constexpr
 - algorithms which take a predicate
 - C++20 is adding constexpr algorithms and some containers
 - constexpr variable initialized from a lambda expression

```
auto sum = [ ](int data)
  { return 5 + data; };

constexpr int result = sum(10);
```

- Interview Questions
 - What is type safety?
 - o Is a void * type safe?
 - Is a union type safe?

Interview Questions

- What is type safety?
 - computer science states that type safety is the degree to which a computer language discourages or prevents data type errors
 - in C++ it is your responsibility to ensure type safety
 - the appropriate data type must be used for each operation
 - if an operation is type safe then data declared with one type can never hold a value of a different data type

Interview Questions

- o Is a void * type safe?
 - yes
 - comparison and assignment are really the only operations allowed on a variable declared with the type void *
 - surprisingly these operations are type safe so a program which uses a void * is not automatically unsafe
- o Is a union type safe?
 - no
 - all members of the union share the same memory location
 - only one member of a union can be accessed at a time
 - reading any other member is undefined behavior

- Variant, Visit, Any, Optional
 - std::variant
 - type safe replacement for a union
 - container which holds a single valid alternative
 - o std::visit
 - templated function that retrieves the current alternative from an std::variant and then passes it to a "visitor" (function)
 - o std::any
 - a container which can store a single value of any data type
 - std::optional
 - a container that stores a single value of the specified T or is empty

- C++17
 - structured bindings
 - fold expressions
 - class template argument deduction
 - o std::byte
 - std::string_view
 - [[fallthrough]] attribute
 - inline variables
 - similar to inline functions
 - generalizing range-based for() loops
 - begin and end iterators with different types

☐ Type Traits	April 2018
☐ Lambdas in C++	May 2018
☐ Constexpr Static Const	September 2018
☐ When Your Codebase is Old Enough to Vote	October 2018
☐ C++ ISO Standard	June 2019
☐ Lambdas in Action	August 2019
☐ Any, Optional	September 2019
□ std::variant	October 2019
□ std::visit	January 2020

https://www.youtube.com/copperspice

Videos

- CppCon 2017: Bryce Adelstein Lelbach "C++17 Features (part 1)"
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fl2xiUqqH3Q
- CppCon 2017: Bryce Adelstein Lelbach "C++17 Features (part 2)"
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qjxBKINAWk0
- CppCon 2018: Stephen T Lavavej "Class Template Argument Deduction"
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-H-ut6j1BYU

Where to find CopperSpice

- www.copperspice.com
- ansel@copperspice.com
- barbara@copperspice.com
- source, binaries, documentation files
 - download.copperspice.com
- source code repository
 - github.com/copperspice
- discussion
 - forum.copperspice.com