

Multitasking in D

Ali Çehreli

May 13, 2015 ACCU, Silicon Valley

Introduction

- The D programming language
- Features of D that help with multitasking
- Parallelism
- Concurrency by message passing
- Concurrency by data sharing
- Cooperative multitasking with fibers

The D programming language

- Strongly statically typed with type and attribute inference
- Familiar to users of C, C++, Java, C#, Python, and more
- Imperative, object oriented, generic, generative, functional
- Contract programming, @safe, unittest, immutable, etc. help with program correctness
- Compilers: dmd (reference), ldc (LLVM backend), gdc (GCC backend)
- High level system language

```
int i = *ptr;
++ptr;
```

```
stdin
.byLine(KeepTerminator.yes)
.map!(a => a.dup)
.array
.sort()
.copy(stdout.lockingTextWriter());
```

Some D users

- Facebook
- weka.io Storage startup (stealth mode)
- Sociomantic real-time bidding for eCommerce
- Remedy Games game development
- Funkwerk IT Karlsfeld passenger information systems
- EMSI economic modeling
- RandomStorm network security products and services
- 2night.it italian website about nightlife
- SR Labs Eye Tracking Systems Integrator
- Funatics Software GmbH MMO Game Developer
- Infognition mostly video processing technologies and products
- Social Magnetics Distributed Storage, Processing & Messaging PaaS (stealth mode)
- Large Hedge Fund receiving market data, electronic trading, processing large text files
- Adroll: big data marketing

UFCS: Universal function call syntax

Enables member function call syntax for regular functions.

```
int dividedBy(int x, int y)
{
   return x / y;
}

int a = 42;
   assert(dividedBy(a, 2) == 21);  // regular syntax
   assert(a.dividedBy(2) == 21);  // UFCS syntax
```

```
stdin
.byLine(KeepTerminator.yes)
.map!(a => a.dup)
.array
.sort()
.copy(stdout.lockingTextWriter());
```

The equivalent of the expression above:

Templates

```
stdin
.byLine(KeepTerminator.yes)
.map!(a => a.dup) // ← explicit instantiation
.array
.sort()
.copy(stdout.lockingTextWriter());
```

Template parameters are specified within parentheses:

```
struct Coordinate(T, size_t N)
{
    T[N] values;
}
alias Point3D = Coordinate!(int, 3);
auto mirror(P)(P p)
{
    p.values[] *= -1;
    return p;
}

void main()
{
    auto p = Point3D([ 1, 2, 3 ]);
    assert(mirror(p) == Point3D([ -1, -2, -3 ]));
}
```

Lambdas and delegates

```
stdin
.byLine(KeepTerminator.yes)
.map!(a => a.dup)
.array
.sort()
.copy(stdout.lockingTextWriter());
```

Another way of defining the above lambda:

Ranges

D uses a range abstraction (not iterator) for element access.

The primitives front, empty, and popFront make an InputRange:

Range kinds

The following are the other ranges with the primitives that they require additionally:

Dynamic arrays already satisfy **RandomAccessRange**, the most capable range kind:

```
int[] arr = [ 1, 2, 3 ];
static assert(isRandomAccessRange!(typeof(arr)));
```

Range algorithms

Phobos (D standard library) has a large number of range algorithms:

```
auto r = Fibonacci()
.take(10)
.map!(a => a * 10);
writeln(r); // ← 'r' is lazily consumed here
```

```
[0, 10, 10, 20, 30, 50, 80, 130, 210, 340]
```

static if

Enables code sections depending on compile time conditions:

```
struct Map(alias func, R)
    if (isCallable!func && isInputRange!R)
{
    R range;
    @property bool empty() { return range.empty; }
    @property auto front() { return func(range.front); }
    void popFront() { range.popFront(); }
  static if (isRandomAccessRange!R) {
    auto opIndex(size t i) { return func(range[i]); }
// Convenience function template
auto map(alias func, R)(R range) {
    return Map!(func, R)(range);
void main() {
    auto arr = [ 0, 1, 2 ];
    auto r = arr.map!(a \Rightarrow a * 10);
    assert(r[2] == 20);
```

static if will not be added to C++. (See ""Static If" Considered", document N3613, at http://isocpp.org/files/papers/ by Bjarne Stroustrup and others.)

shared

Data is thread-local by default. **shared** makes it sharable:

shared is an overloadable attribute:

Disclaimer: **shared** spec is not finalized yet (e.g. are memory barriers inserted?).

immutable

• immutable means "this variable never changes"

```
immutable id = 42;
string s = "hi"; // string is immutable(char)[]
```

- No need to lock **immutable** data in concurrency.
- Compiler has more opportunities to optimize.

Both const and immutable are transitive:

(It is possible to rebind **const** class references with **Rebindable**.)

Parallelism

To execute tasks in parallel mainly for performance reason.

```
import std.parallelism;

void foo(int a)
{
    writefln("Working on %s", a);
}

auto arr = [ 1, 2, 3, 4 ];
    arr.parallel.each!foo;
```

```
Working on 1
Working on 4
Working on 2
Working on 3
```

Alternatively:

```
foreach (i; arr.parallel) {
    writefln("Working on %s", i);
}
```

Some functions of std.parallelism

- parallel: Visit the elements of a range in parallel.
- task: Creates tasks that are executed in parallel.
- **asyncBuf**: Iterates the elements of an InputRange semi-eagerly in parallel.
- map: Calls functions with the elements of an InputRange semieagerly in parallel.
- amap: Calls functions with the elements of a RandomAccessRange fully-eagerly in parallel.
- **reduce**: Makes calculations over the elements of a RandomAccessRange in parallel.

Message-passing concurrency

To execute multiple tasks concurrently (which usually interact).

```
import std.conv;
import std.concurrency;
struct Exit {}
void converter() // ← (can receive parameters as well)
    bool done = false;
    while (!done) {
        receive(
            (string a) { ownerTid.send(a.to!int);
            (int a) { ownerTid.send(a.to!string); },
            (Exit ) { done = true;
       );
void main()
    auto worker = spawn(&converter);
    worker.send("42");
    assert(receiveOnly!int() == 42);
    worker.send(100);
    assert(receiveOnly!string() == "100");
    worker.send(Exit());
```

Data-sharing concurrency

Prone to race conditions.

```
import core.thread;
import core.atomic;
import std.concurrency;

void incrementor(shared(int) * i)
{
    atomicOp!"+="(*i, 1); // ++(*i) is a compiler warning
}

void main()
{
    shared(int) i = 0;
    spawn(&incrementor, &i);
    thread_joinAll();
    assert(i == 1);
}
```

synchronized

Critical section with implicit lock:

```
synchronized {
    // ...
}
```

Critical section with explicit lock(s):

```
synchronized (a, b) {
    // ...
}
```

core.atomic

• atomicOp: Executes binary operations atomically

```
auto c = atomicOp!"+"(a, b);
```

- cas: Compare and swap; enables lock-free data structures
- *more...*

core.sync

Classic concurrency primitives:

- core.sync.barrier
- core.sync.condition
- core.sync.config
- core.sync.exception
- core.sync.mutex
- core.sync.rwmutex
- core.sync.semaphore

Preemptive multitasking

- Parallelism and concurrency are based on core.thread
- core.thread uses operating system threads
- OS threads are subject to preemptive multitasking

Disadvantages of preemtive multitasking:

- Relinquishing remainder of scheduling quantum due to lock convoy, I/O wait, etc.
- CPU data cache lost
- Memory management unit (MMU) state lost

Enter *cooperative multitasking* with fibers...

Cooperative multitasking

- Same thread, same address space
- Tasks yield to each other explicitly
- Knows as: fiber, green thread, greenlet, goroutine, etc.

Pros:

- Thread safety is not an issue; locking or atomic operations are not necessary (however, watch out for a rogue yield!)
- Faster for various reasons: Cache coherency, no locking, fully utilized time slice

Cons:

- The parent and its fibers run on the same core, while other cores may be idle (consider different threading models like the *M:N threading model*)
- The parent and its other fibers are suspended until the running fiber yields

Call stack

Local state of every function call is kept in a *stack frame*.

The stack frames of currently active function calls is called the *call stack*.

```
void main()
{
    int a;
    int b;

    int c = foo(a, b);
}

int foo(int x, int y)
{
    bar(x + y);
    return 42;
}

void bar(int param)
{
    string[] arr;
    // ...
}
```

Disclaimer: It actually grows downward on all common CPUs.

Call stack is especially useful in recursion

No matter how deep the layers of function calls are, the call stack takes care of it:

Note: You should use **std.algorithm.sum** instead.

Disclaimer: "Tail-call optimization" can eliminate stack frames.

Some data structures and their algorithms are trivial and elegant with recursion

For example, the node structure of a binary tree:

```
struct Node
    int element;
    Node * left;
    Node * right;
    void print() const
        if (left) {
            left.print();
            write(' ');
        write(element);
        if (right) {
            write(<u>' ');</u>
             right.print();
```

Surprising complexity

Implementing a range (or iterator) type for a tree is very hard especially considering how trivial it is with recursion.

```
struct Tree
{
/// ...

    struct InOrderRange
    {
        ... What should the implementation be? ...
    }

    InOrderRange opSlice() const
    {
        return InOrderRange(root);
    }
}
```

Some tree iterator implementations require an additional **Node*** to point at the parent node.

Fiber operations

• A fiber (and its call stack) starts with a callable entity taking no parameter, returning nothing:

```
void fiberFunction() { /* ... */ }
```

 Created as an object of the core.thread.Fiber class hierarchy:

```
auto fiber = new Fiber(&fiberFunction);
```

• Started and resumed by its call() member function:

```
fiber.call();
```

• Pauses itself by Fiber.yield():

```
void fiberFunction() { /* ... */ Fiber.yield(); /* ... */ }
```

 The execution state of a fiber is determined by its .state property:

```
if (fiber.state == Fiber.State.TERM) { /* ... */ }
```

Trivial example: the Fibonacci series

```
import core.thread;
void fibonacciSeries(ref int current) {
   current = 0; // Note: 'current' is the parameter
   int next = 1;
   while (true) {
       Fiber.yield();
       /* Next call() will continue from this point */
        const nextNext = current + next;
        current = next;
       next = nextNext;
void main() {
   int current;
   Fiber fiber = new Fiber(() => fibonacciSeries(current));
   foreach (; 0 .. 10) {
       fiber.call();
        import std.stdio;
       writef("%s ", current);
```

Unfortunately, does not provide a range interface, uses a **ref** variable, and too low level.

Generator to present a fiber as an InputRange

```
import std.stdio;
import std.range;
import std.concurrency;
/* Resolve the name conflict with std.range.Generator. */
alias FiberRange = std.concurrency.Generator;
void fibonacciSeries()
    int current = 0; // <-- Not a parameter anymore</pre>
    int next = 1;
    while (true) {
        yield(current);
        const nextNext = current + next;
        current = next;
        next = nextNext;
    }
}
void main()
    auto series = new FiberRange!int(&fibonacciSeries);
    writefln("%(%s %)", series.take(10));
```

Tree iterator as a fiber

```
struct Node
// ...
    auto opSlice() const
        return byNode(&this);
}
auto byNode(const(Node) * node)
    return new FiberRange!(const(Node)*)(
        () => nextNode(node));
}
void nextNode(const(Node) * node)
    if (node.left) {
        nextNode(node.left);
    yield(node); // <-- Elegant once again! ;)</pre>
    if (node.right) {
        nextNode(node.right);
    writefln("%(%s %)", tree[]);
```

Asynchronous input/output example

A sign-on flow of a service with the following protocol:

```
> hi
                         ← Alice connects
Flow 0 started.
> 0 Alice
> 0 alice@example.com
> 0 20
Flow 0 has completed. ← Alice finishes
Added user 'Alice'.
> hi
                         ← Bob connects
Flow 1 started.
> 1 Bob
> 1 bob@example.com
> 1 30
Flow 1 has completed. ← Bob finishes
Added user 'Bob'.
> bye
Goodbye.
Users:
  User("Alice", "alice@example.com", 20)
  User("Bob", "bob@example.com", 30)
```

```
if (input == "hi") {
    signOnNewUser(); // ← WARNING: Blocking design
}
```

Fibers help with asynchronous input/output

The flow functionality can be implemented in linear fashion:

```
class SignOnFlow : Fiber
// ...
    void run()
        name = inputData ;
        Fiber.yield();
        email = inputData ;
        Fiber.yield();
        age = inputData .to!uint;
}
// The caller:
void handleFlowData(/* ... */) {
// ...
    flow.inputData = input.data;
    flow.call();
    if (flow.state == Fiber.State.TERM) {
        // ... This flow has completed ...
}
```

The users are not blocked anymore

Alice's interaction is highlighted:

```
> hi
                         ← Alice connects
Flow 0 started.
> 0 Alice
> hi
                         ← Bob connects
Flow 1 started.
> hi
                         ← Cindy connects
Flow 2 started.
> 0 alice@example.com
> 1 Bob
> 2 Cindy
> 2 cindy@example.com
> 2 40
                         ← Cindy finishes
Flow 2 has completed.
Added user 'Cindy'.
> 1 bob@example.com
> 1 30
                         ← Bob finishes
Flow 1 has completed.
Added user 'Bob'.
> 0 20
                         ← Alice finishes
Flow 0 has completed.
Added user 'Alice'.
> bye
Goodbye.
Users:
  User("Cindy", "cindy@example.com", 40)
  User("Bob", "bob@example.com", 30)
 User("Alice", "alice@example.com", 20)
```

References

•	Main site:
	http://dlang.org
•	Wiki:
	http://wiki.dlang.org
•	An asynchronous input/output framework based on fibers:
	http://vibed.org
•	Ali's book:
	http://ddili.org/ders/d.en