EJERCICIO (21:14)

Demostrar que:

$$\sum_{r=1}^{2}u_{r\left(p\right)}\overline{u_{r\left(p\right)}}=\gamma^{\mu}p_{\mu}+m$$

Donde:

$$u_{1(p)} = \sqrt{E_p + m} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta/2 \\ e^{i\phi} \sin \theta/2 \\ \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m} \cos \theta/2 \\ \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m} e^{i\phi} \sin \theta/2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad u_{2(p)} = \sqrt{E_p + m} \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \theta/2 \\ e^{i\phi} \cos \theta/2 \\ \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m} \sin \theta/2 \\ -\frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m} e^{i\phi} \cos \theta/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

En el formulario https://crul.github.io/CursoTeoriaCuanticaDeCamposJavierGarcia/ (Crul, Roger, Sware), se presentan los estados de helicidad, ver fórmula 42.2:

$$\chi_{+} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta/2 \\ e^{i\phi} \sin \theta/2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\chi_{-} = \begin{pmatrix} -e^{i\phi} \sin \theta/2 \\ \cos \theta/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Expresando los espinores en función de estos estados:

$$\begin{split} u_{1\,(p)} &= \sqrt{E_p + m} \binom{\chi_+}{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m} \chi_+ \\ \text{Como: } e^{i\phi} \chi_- &= e^{i\phi} \binom{-e^{i\phi} \sin\theta/2}{\cos\theta/2} = \binom{-\sin\theta/2}{e^{i\phi} \cos\theta/2} \\ u_{2\,(p)} &= \sqrt{E_p + m} \binom{e^{i\phi} \chi_-}{-\frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m}} e^{i\phi} \chi_- \\ &= \sqrt{E_p + m} \, e^{i\phi} \left(-\frac{\chi_-}{|\vec{p}|} \chi_- \right) \end{split}$$

Sabemos que: $\overline{u_{r(p)}} = u_{r(p)}^{\dagger} \gamma^0$

Debemos calcular primero los transpuestos conjugados de los espinores:

$$u_{1(p)}^{\dagger} = \sqrt{E_p + m} \left(\chi_{+}^{\dagger} \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m} \chi_{+}^{\dagger} \right)$$

$$u_{2(p)}^{\dagger} = \sqrt{E_p + m} e^{-i\phi} \left(\chi_{-}^{\dagger} - \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m} \chi_{-}^{\dagger} \right)$$

Calculamos los dos términos de $u_{r(p)}u_{r(p)}^{\dagger}$

$$u_{1 (p)} u_{1 (p)}^{\dagger} = \sqrt{E_{p} + m} \left(\frac{\chi_{+}}{|\vec{p}|} \right) \sqrt{E_{p} + m} \left(\chi_{+}^{\dagger} \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m} \chi_{+}^{\dagger} \right)$$

$$u_{1 (p)} u_{1 (p)}^{\dagger} = \left(E_{p} + m \right) \left(\frac{\chi_{+}}{|\vec{p}|} \right) \left(\chi_{+}^{\dagger} \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m} \chi_{+}^{\dagger} \right)$$

$$u_{1 (p)} u_{1 (p)}^{\dagger} = \left(E_{p} + m \right) \left(\frac{\chi_{+} \chi_{+}^{\dagger}}{E_{p} + m} \chi_{+}^{\dagger} \right) \left(\frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m} \chi_{+}^{\dagger} + \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m} \chi_{+}^{\dagger} \right)$$

$$u_{1 (p)} u_{1 (p)}^{\dagger} = \left(E_{p} + m \right) \left(\frac{\chi_{+} \chi_{+}^{\dagger}}{E_{p} + m} \chi_{+} + \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m} \chi_{+}^{\dagger} + \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m} \chi_{+}^{\dagger} \right)$$

$$u_{1 (p)} u_{1 (p)}^{\dagger} = \left(E_{p} + m \right) \left(\frac{\chi_{+} \chi_{+}^{\dagger}}{E_{p} + m} \chi_{+} + \chi_{+}^{\dagger} + \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m} \chi_{+} + \chi_{+}^{\dagger} \right)$$

$$\frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m} \chi_{+} \chi_{+}^{\dagger} \left(\frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m} \chi_{+} + \chi_{+}^{\dagger} \right)$$

$$\begin{split} u_{2\,(p)}u_{2\,(p)}{}^{\dagger} &= \sqrt{E_p + m}\,e^{i\phi} \left(-\frac{\chi_-}{|\vec{p}|} \frac{\chi_-}{E_p + m} \chi_- \right) \sqrt{E_p + m}\,e^{-i\phi} \left(\chi_-{}^{\dagger} - \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m} \chi_-{}^{\dagger} \right) \\ u_{2\,(p)}u_{2\,(p)}{}^{\dagger} &= \left(E_p + m \right) \left(-\frac{\chi_-}{E_p + m} \chi_- \right) \left(\chi_-{}^{\dagger} - \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m} \chi_-{}^{\dagger} \right) \\ u_{2\,(p)}u_{2\,(p)}{}^{\dagger} &= \left(E_p + m \right) \left(-\frac{\chi_-}{E_p + m} \chi_-{}^{\dagger} - \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m} \chi_-{}^{\dagger} - \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m} \chi_-{}^{\dagger} \right) \\ u_{2\,(p)}u_{2\,(p)}{}^{\dagger} &= \left(E_p + m \right) \left(-\frac{\chi_-\chi_-{}^{\dagger}}{E_p + m} \chi_-\chi_-{}^{\dagger} - \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m} \chi_-\chi_-{}^{\dagger} \right) \\ u_{2\,(p)}u_{2\,(p)}{}^{\dagger} &= \left(E_p + m \right) \left(-\frac{\chi_-\chi_-{}^{\dagger}}{E_p + m} \chi_-\chi_-{}^{\dagger} - \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m} \chi_-\chi_-{}^{\dagger} \right) \\ -\frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m} \chi_-\chi_-{}^{\dagger} \left(-\frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m} \chi_-\chi_-{}^{\dagger} \right) \\ \end{array}$$

Sumando ambos términos:

$$\begin{split} u_{1\,(p)}u_{1\,(p)}^{\dagger} + u_{2\,(p)}u_{2\,(p)}^{\dagger} &= \\ &= \left(E_{p} + m\right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \chi_{+}\chi_{+}^{\dagger} + \chi_{-}\chi_{-}^{\dagger} & \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m}\chi_{+}\chi_{+}^{\dagger} - \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m}\chi_{-}\chi_{-}^{\dagger} \\ \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m}\chi_{+}\chi_{+}^{\dagger} - \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m}\chi_{-}\chi_{-}^{\dagger} & \left(\frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m}\right)^{2}\chi_{+}\chi_{+}^{\dagger} + \left(\frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m}\right)^{2}\chi_{-}\chi_{-}^{\dagger} \\ \end{split}$$

$$u_{1\,(p)}u_{1\,(p)}{}^{\dagger} + u_{2\,(p)}u_{2\,(p)}{}^{\dagger} = \left(E_{p} + m\right) \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{+}\chi_{+}{}^{\dagger} + \chi_{-}\chi_{-}{}^{\dagger} & \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m} (\chi_{+}\chi_{+}{}^{\dagger} - \chi_{-}\chi_{-}{}^{\dagger}) \\ \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m} (\chi_{+}\chi_{+}{}^{\dagger} - \chi_{-}\chi_{-}{}^{\dagger}) & \left(\frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m}\right)^{2} (\chi_{+}\chi_{+}{}^{\dagger} + \chi_{-}\chi_{-}{}^{\dagger}) \end{pmatrix}$$

La fórmula 43.3 del formulario de Crul et al. previamente citado muestra la resolución de la identidad:

$$\chi_+\chi_+^{\dagger} + \chi_-\chi_-^{\dagger} = \mathbb{I}$$

Donde I es una matriz identidad de 2x2.

En el video del capítulo 43, minuto 33:40, Javier ha demostrado que:

$$\chi_+\chi_+^{\dagger} - \chi_-\chi_-^{\dagger} = \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}$$

Donde σ_i son las matrices de Pauli (fórmula 41.4 del formulario de Crul)

Resulta, entonces, que:

$$u_{1(p)}u_{1(p)}^{\dagger} + u_{2(p)}u_{2(p)}^{\dagger} = (E_p + m) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}) \\ \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}) & (\frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m})^2 \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{2} u_{r (p)} \overline{u_{r (p)}} = u_{1 (p)} u_{1 (p)}^{\dagger} \gamma^{0} + u_{2 (p)} u_{2 (p)}^{\dagger} \gamma^{0} = \left(u_{1 (p)} u_{1 (p)}^{\dagger} + u_{2 (p)} u_{2 (p)}^{\dagger}\right) \gamma^{0}$$

$$\gamma^{0} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{2} u_{r(p)} \overline{u_{r(p)}} = \left(E_{p} + m\right) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}) \\ \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}) & \left(\frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_{p} + m}\right)^{2} \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{2} u_{r(p)} \overline{u_{r(p)}} = (E_p + m) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & -\frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}) \\ \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}) & -\left(\frac{|\vec{p}|}{E_p + m}\right)^2 \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{2} u_{r \, (p)} \overline{u_{r \, (p)}} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(E_{p} + m\right) \mathbb{I} & -|\vec{p}| \, (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}) \\ |\vec{p}| \, (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}) & -\frac{|\vec{p}|^{2}}{E_{p} + m} \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}$$

Recordando que: $E_n^2 = |\vec{p}|^2 + m^2$

Resulta que: $|\vec{p}|^2 = {E_p}^2 - m^2 = (E_p - m)(E_p + m)$, en consecuencia:

$$\sum_{r=1}^{2} u_{r\,(p)} \overline{u_{r\,(p)}} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(E_{p} + m\right) \mathbb{I} & -|\vec{p}| \, (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}) \\ |\vec{p}| \, (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}) & -\frac{\left(E_{p} - m\right)\left(E_{p} + m\right)}{E_{p} + m} \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{2} u_{r(p)} \overline{u_{r(p)}} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(E_{p} + m \right) \mathbb{I} & -|\vec{p}| \ (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}) \\ |\vec{p}| \ (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}) & -\left(E_{p} - m \right) \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{2} u_{r(p)} \overline{u_{r(p)}} = \begin{pmatrix} m \, \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ 0 & m \, \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} E_{p} \, \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ 0 & -E_{p} \, \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -|\vec{p}| \, (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}) \\ |\vec{p}| \, (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{2} u_{r(p)} \overline{u_{r(p)}} = m \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix} + E_{p} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -|\vec{p}| (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}) \\ |\vec{p}| (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Pero como:

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}$$

Entonces:

$$\sum_{r=1}^{2} u_{r(p)} \overline{u_{r(p)}} = m \, \mathbb{I} + E_{p} \, \gamma^{0} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -|\vec{p}| \, (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}) \\ |\vec{p}| \, (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Considerando que: $\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n} = \sigma_1 n_1 + \sigma_2 n_2 + \sigma_3 n_3$

$$\begin{split} \sum_{r=1}^{2} u_{r\,(p)} \overline{u_{r\,(p)}} &= m \, \mathbb{I} + E_{p} \, \gamma^{0} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -|\vec{p}| \, \sigma_{1} n_{1} \\ |\vec{p}| \, \sigma_{1} n_{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -|\vec{p}| \, \sigma_{2} n_{2} \\ |\vec{p}| \, \sigma_{2} n_{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -|\vec{p}| \, \sigma_{3} n_{3} \\ |\vec{p}| \, \sigma_{3} n_{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{2} u_{r(p)} \overline{u_{r(p)}} = m \, \mathbb{I} + E_{p} \, \gamma^{0} + |\vec{p}| n_{1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma_{1} \\ \sigma_{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + |\vec{p}| n_{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma_{2} \\ \sigma_{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + |\vec{p}| n_{3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma_{3} \\ \sigma_{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Como: $|\vec{p}|n_i = p_i$ y $E_p = p_0$

Y las matrices gamma en la representación de Dirac son (fórmula 41.5, formulario Crul et al.):

$$\gamma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_1 \\ -\sigma_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_2 \\ -\sigma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma_3 \\ -\sigma_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Resulta que:

$$\sum_{r=1}^{2} u_{r\,(p)} \overline{u_{r\,(p)}} = m \, \mathbb{I} + p_0 \, \gamma^0 - p_1 \, \gamma^1 - p_2 \, \gamma^2 - p_3 \, \gamma^3$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{2} u_{r(p)} \overline{u_{r(p)}} = m + p_{\mu} \gamma^{\mu}$$

QED (la matríz identidad está implícita).